

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Dacca, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
247	Pargana Kosalpur, 5 annas. Zamindari—Munshi Zamiruddin Chaudhury and others.	1,515 5 0	Residue hisya 11 as. 4 gds. 2 cr. 1 kt. 5 tila. All shares other than those specified above will be excluded from sale. Separate account Nos. 2 and 7 aggregating 4 as. 15 gds. 1 cr. 1 kt. 15 tila.	Bonowry Lal Ray and Chaudhury others.	1,063 9 1	---	7 10 9
552	Maharaj Ujial Chand Protar, Khalsi Taluk Abdulla Jalir.	961 1 1	...	Residue Hisya 1st part— 5 as. 19 gds. share of Madhya Putiali. 2nd part— 7 as. 19 gds. share of Manta. 6th part— 12 as. 16 gds. share of Jaigir Dighali. 7th part— 16 as. share of Chandars, Gior and others. 8th part— 16 as. share of Nabagram and others. 9th part— 12 as. 1 gd. share of Jhukaria and others. 10th part— 7 as. share of Telaba and others. 11th part— 7 as. share of Ohala. All shares other than those specified above will be excluded from sale. Separate account— 10 as. 1 gd. share of 1st part, 8 as. 1 gd. share of 2nd part, 16 as. share of 3rd, 4th and 5th parts, 3 as. 4 gds. share of 6th part, 3 as. 19 gds. share of 9th part, 9 as. share of 10th part, 9 as. share of 11th part, and 16 as. share of 12th part.	Profulla Chandra Das Gupta and others.	684 0 7	---	57 14 11
8380	Tappa Kamrapur Myadi mahal char Chalpara.	615 0 0	16 annas	Jasada Lal Ray Chaudhury and others.	...	18 4 8	---
9059	Pargana Khalilabad, Diara mahal char Kukurhati.	1,788 8 0	16	Mr. K. B. Dutta, Receiver to the estate of Sudhir Chandra Das settled for 15 years from 1st April 1915 to 31st March 1930.	...	60 13 0	---
9422	Pargana Sinduri, Diara mahal char Baghatia.	6,841 0 0	16	Sarat Chandra Biswas, by auction purchase settled from 1919 to 1930.	...	840 0 0	---
9762	Pargana Bardakhat, Myadi mahal char Dilarpur.	4,775 15 3	16	Bepin Behari Saha Sardar and others.	...	1,193 15 3	---
10622	Pargana Sinduri, Myadi mahal char Gobindapur.	2,904 0 0	16	Surendra Krishna Dutta and others.	...	1,400 0 0	---
13546	Pargana Bikrampur, Diara mahal char Saidpur.	564 8 0	16	Bhupendra Chandra Chakrabarty and others.	...	3 15 2	---
15642	Tappa Ran Bhowal, Diara mahal char Saidpur.	555 0 0	16	Jan Mahamed Sarkar	...	45 0 0	---
16037	Pargana Bardakhat, Myadi mahal char Dilarpur, Chandra Nath Saha Bhowmik.	1,788 3 0	16	Chandra Nath Saha Bhowmik and others.	...	442 6 0	---
16088	Pargana Isakabad, Diara mahal kismat Ha: q: j Sakhi Lal Roy Choudhury.	756 4 2	16	Sakhi Lal Ray Choudhury.	...	298 12 2	---

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Noakhali, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		<i>Estates.</i>		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 6 1377	Thak Char Sekandar, pargana Zazira.	604 13 0	Whole	Ram Keshab Poddar and others.	Land Revenue— 66 3 0 Cess— 55 6 2 121 9 2
				<i>Khas mahal tenures.</i>				
Lot No. 13 1376	Nihaki diara, tenure No. 84 ...	941 12 0	Whole	Uma Charan Saha and others.	Rent— 206 0 0 Cess— 24 6 0 230 6 0
Lot No. 15 1627	Char Meher, abad No. 6 ...	1,627 14 0	Do.	Jadav Chandra Bhattacharjee and others.	Rent— 356 2 0 Cess— 53 8 0 409 10 0
Lot No. 16 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 1.	2,999 7 0	Do.	Azmaternoss Chondhurani.	Rent— 651 12 0 Cess— 166 0 0 817 12 0
Lot No. 17 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 24.	692 15 0	Do.	Aptar Ali and others...	Rent— 141 4 0 Cess— 34 12 6 176 0 6
Lot No. 20 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 47.	559 8 0	Do.	Jotirmoy Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 122 3 0 Cess— 18 0 9 140 3 9
Lot No. 21 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 48.	559 8 0	Do.	Sri. Sarala Sundari Mitra.	Rent— 122 6 3 Cess— 14 10 2 137 0 5
Lot No. 22 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 50.	1,240 3 0	Do.	Jotirmoy Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 271 4 6 Cess— 33 2 9 304 7 5

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		Khas mahal tenures—copied.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 27 1658	Char Bangsi, Kismat Laksh, tenure No. 671.	883 11 0	Whole	Hara Lal Bhunia	Rent— 36 14 0 Cess— 0 0 2 36 14 2
Lot No. 31 1665	Char Rahim, tenure No. 185 ...	699 1 0	Do.	Harendra Ranjan Das	Rent— 153 2 0 Cess— 8 4 0 161 6 0
Lot No. 40 1672	Naichira, tenure No. 50 ...	773 3 0	Do.	Munshi Muzaharuddin Ahmed.	Rent— 386 10 0 Cess— 46 3 10 432 13 10
Lot No. 51 1686	Char Alexander, tenure No. 1	940 15 8	Do.	Serajal Haque and others.	Rent— 205 14 0 Cess— 20 0 0 225 14 0
Lot No. 52 1686	Char Alexander, tenure No. 4	534 12 0	Do.	Mahammad Bakht Moah.	Rent— 87 0 6 Cess— 23 0 0 110 0 6

SARAT CH. RAY, for Collector.

Noakhali, the 11th November 1922.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Khulna, will be put up for sale, at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Serial No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.				Rs. A. P.	Rs.	Rs. A. P.
179	Silmabad, pargana Silmabad.	5 2 annas 9 gandas. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Jadab Chandra Roy Chaudhury and others.	885 8 10	...	255 2 6
949	Hariachak, pargana Sundarban.	3,065	The whole	Asa Bibi and others	3,065	...

Khulna, the 13th November 1922.

ILLEGIBLE, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Murshidabad, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 22nd December 1922, at 1 P.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
245	Mohanpur, pargana Mohanpur.	4,390 11 0	Yes	Nirodmohan, Khirodmohan and Asitmohan Ghoshmaulik.	Land revenue— 1,620 4 1 Cess— 227 8 9
261 E.	Madhupur manna, pargana Kulberia.	1,851 0 8	No ...	8 annas ...	Khirodmoan and Nirodmohan Ghoshmaulik.	225 8 4	Land revenue— 157 0 9 Cess— 56 8 0

Berhampore, the 17th November 1922.

W. S. ADIE, Collector of Murshidabad.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Hooghly, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 4th January 1923 at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
72	Gopalnagore, pargana Jahanabad.	2,917 11 2	Nil	8a. 6g. 2c. 2kt. ...	Debendra Nath Ray and 21 others.	1,519 10 5	Nil	228 7 4
72B	Ditto ...	2,917 11 2	Nil	4a. 3g. 1c. 1kt. ...	Surapati Ray and others ...	759 13 0	Nil	112 14 11
4082B	Kalopukuria, pargana Jahanabad.	13,518 0 0	Nil	2a. 13g. 1c. 1kt. share of mauzas Kalupukhuria, Ghosna, Ghosepur, Debthanda, Basantapur, Manindpur and Kestut-Jaykrishnapur.	Srimati Harimati Dasi, guardian of her minor son Nacoo Chander Ray and 5 others, mortgagee Nafar Chandra Mandole Saha and A-hutosh Hazara.	2,253 2 6	Nil	75 8 4

Hooghly, the 17th November 1922.

J. G. DUNLOP, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Birbhum will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 A.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for the share :—

Tansi No.	Name of mahal. and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
23	Damdama, pargana, Ailnagar.	1,970 15 0	Whole estate.	Asit Mohon Ghosh Moulik and others.	...	113 0 0	...
24	Madaipur, pargana, Ailnagar.	2,820 14 0	Do.	Ditto	170 0 0	...
31	Puranderpur, pargana, Puranderpur.	6,299 9 0	...	1 anna share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 8. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Satish Chandra Singh and others.	393 11 6	...	9 4 0
31	Ditto ...	6,299 9 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Kisori Lal Singh and others.	1,574 14 1	...	46 13 9
32	Jenapur, pargana, Ailnagar.	1,267 7 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	316 13 0	...	4 10 0
451	Bakhul, pargana, Kutabpur.	2,806 5 0	...	6 annas share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 7. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Brojo Sundari Debya	1,052 4 5	...	20 15 0
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 3 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Sivo Chandra Das and others.	76 12 4	...	6 3 4
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 3 koras share in separate account No. 3. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	76 11 9	...	2 4 8
1246	Huda Kutabpur, Fatepur with police, pargana Kutabpur, Fatepur.	2,216 0 9	...	14 annas 2 gondas 2 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Asit Mohon Ghosh Moulik, sebaht.	1,946 4 7	...	166 15 4

Suri, the 15th November 1922.

J. R. BLACKWOOD, Collector of Birbhum.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B. C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Tippera will be put up for sale at the Office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 P.M. for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
2026	Char Krishnapura, No. 112, pargana Mahabatpur.	1,065 0 0	Whole	Sayed Abdul Sattar Mia and others.	709 14 8
2090	Dafara Charipara, mauza pargana Bardakhut.	2,161 13 0	Do.	Nabin Chandra Bhadra and others.	47 10 0
2714	Maheshpur mauza, pargana Bardakhut.	1,365 6 0	Do.	Harl Das Rakshit and others.	290 13 3
2716	Malpara mauza, pargana Bardakhut.	617 10 0	Do.	Bipin Chandra Dhar and others.	19 12 0

Comilla, the 14th November 1922.

D. H. WARES, Collector of Tippera.

APPENDIX C.

Advertisement of sale.

(See Rule 161.)

NOTICE is hereby given that the proprietary right of Government as specified in the conditions of sale below, to the undermentioned estate situate in the district of Burdwan, will be put up to sale at the Burdwan Collectorate on Monday, the 8th January 1923, corresponding with 24th Pous 1329 Bengali Era.

The purchasers will be subject to the following conditions of sale :—

Conditions of sale.

1st.—The estate to be sold to the highest bidder above the upset price, which will be fixed by the Collector at the time of sale. The purchaser of this estate will be considered as the proprietor of the estate, and the entire proprietary right of Government in such estate will be transferred to him, revenue-free.

2nd.—The sale to be subject to existing leases and to the right conferred by the settlement proceedings and the laws in force and purchasers to be bound to respect the rights of resident cultivators who have signed the schedule of assessment prepared by the Revenue authorities.

3rd.—If the amount of purchase-money do not exceed Rs. 100, the whole amount to be paid down at once.

4th.—If the amount of purchase-money exceed Rs. 100, one-fourth of the amount bid to be immediately deposited. If the balance be not paid by noon of the fifteenth day after the sale, reckoning the day of sale as one, or, if that day be a close holiday, then by noon of the first succeeding office day, the sale is to be cancelled (the sum deposited being forfeited to Government), and the estate to be again put to sale at the risk of the defaulting purchaser, after issue of advertisement, as in the case of original sale :—

Number on the district roll.	Name of estate and pargana.	Approximate area in acres.	Government Revenue assessed.
1	2	3	4
Tauzi No.—			As.
4227	Ambica, pargana Raipur	13	13
3610	Mandra, pargana Jahangirabad	465	11
5467	Krishnapore, pargana Burdwan	23	12
6694	Budbud old thana site, pargana Champainagor	31	12

Burdwan, the 14th November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR THE PORT OF CALCUTTA.

Notice of Sale under sections 118 and 119 of the Calcutta Port Act, III of 1890 (B.C.).

NOTICE is hereby given that the liability of the Commissioners for the undermentioned goods consigned to order ~~ex~~ the undermentioned vessels has, in terms of section 113 of the abovementioned Act, ceased on the expiration of three clear days from the date of landing. These goods accordingly remain on the Port Commissioners' premises at the sole risk and expense of the owners and, if not cleared on or before the 2nd January 1923, on payment of all charges due, will be sold by public auction :—

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
	Unidentified goods lying at Ahiritollah Manick Bose's Ghat.		
19th Sept. 1922...	No mark	1	Lot jhama.
19th " " ...	Ditto	1	Lot soorkey.
	SHED No. 5.		
	SS. "Orna."		
26th Oct. 1922 ...	A S W 298, 300, 301, 404, 407 ...	8	Bundles plank.
27th " " ...	B P	1	Bale cotton yarn.
27th " " ...	H H D	1	Ditto.
27th " " ...	L J 3-1	1	Case lace work.
27th " " ...	M R & Co	1	Bag arcomets.
27th " " ...	M S C in a diamond	7	Drums (Lying at Hazardous godown) (empty).
	SS. "Brookfield."		
12th Oct. 1922 ...	18 in a diamond, B O on top, & C below, 1-6.	6	Cases hardware.
11th " " ...	B & S in a triangle, C P on top, H below, 15733.	1	Case padlock.
12th " " ...	B A X in a diamond, 134	1	Keg chequered headwire nail.
10th " " ...	C W, 2312-3	2	Cases metal ware.
10th " " ...	H E L, 1970-3, 1975	5	Cases merchandise.
21st " " ...	India M 1 430	1	Case toys.
10th " " ...	10046 in a diamond, N P on top, & Co below.	1	Case Brance.
10th " " ...	10028 in a diamond, N P on top, & Co below, 31790.	1	Case earthenware.
10th " " ...	10058 in a diamond, N P on top, & Co below, 1371.	1	Case Brance.
18th " " ...	Pama 580 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4404-11.	8	Cases necklace beads.
10th " " ...	T C Co in a circle, 915	1	Case post card.
10th " " ...	34 in a diamond, 10778	1	Case beer.
	Unidentified goods of jetties.		
	No mark	1	Bundle padlock.

Port Commissioners' Office, Calcutta, the 22nd November 1922.

W. A. BURNS, Traffic Manager.
(2359—1)

IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA.

Statement of the Affairs of the Imperial Bank of India on the 10th November 1922.

LIABILITIES.				ASSETS.			
		Rs.	A. P.			Rs.	A. P.
Subscribed Capital	...	11,24,84,000	0 0	Government Securities	...	8,23,57,000	0 0
Capital paid up	...	5,62,46,000	0 0	Other authorized securities under the Act	...	1,26,09,000	0 0
Reserve	...	4,11,89,000	0 0	Loans	...	16,76,32,000	0 0
Public Deposits	...	8,22,54,000	0 0	Cash credits	...	25,68,78,000	0 0
Other Deposits	...	70,05,37,000	0 0	Inland bills discounted and purchased	...	4,99,27,000	0 0
Loans against securities per contra	...	1,21,84,000	0 0	Foreign bills discounted and purchased	...	12,86,000	0 0
Contingent liabilities	Bullion
Sundries	...	1,16,67,000	0 0	Dead Stock	...	2,47,75,000	0 0
				Liability of constituents for contingent liabilities per contra
				Sundries	...	52,51,000	0 0
				Balances with other Banks	...	6,17,000	0 0
						59,53,41,000	0 0
				Cash	...	30,87,36,000	0 0
						90,40,77,000	0 0

The above balance sheet includes—

Deposits in London	...	£	201,800
Advances in London	...	£	979,000
Cash and balances at other Banks in London	£	36,000	
Percentage	38.27.		
Bank Rate	5 per cent.		

R. AITKEN,
W. B. HUNTER,
Managing Governors.
(2357—1)

Sheriff's Sale Proclamation.

TO be peremptorily sold pursuant to an order made by the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal in its Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction and dated the 7th August 1922 in execution of the decree dated the 28th June 1922 made in suit No. 1894 of 1921 (wherein A. H. Md. Ismail & Co. are the decree-holders and Dwijendra Nath Dhur is the judgment-debtor) by the Sheriff of Calcutta in his sale-room on the ground-floor of the Court-house by public auction on Saturday, the 2nd day of December 1922, at the hour of 12 o'clock noon, the undermentioned articles of the defendant:—

4 Almirahs.	1 Partition.
1 Sewing Machine.	8 Chairs.
1 Iron Safe.	1 Almirah.
9 Pictures.	3 Book-racks.
1 Chair.	1 Harmonium.
1 Table.	1 Easy Chair.
1 Bird Cage.	1 Secretariat Table.
1 Easel.	1 Tin Box.
1 Small Almirah.	1 Looking glass.
1 Lot bottles.	7 Pictures.
4 Tucktaposhes.	1 Clock.
4 Brass glasses.	1 Electric fan.
3 Lotahs.	1 Steel Trunk.
5 Kotoras.	2 Motor-cars.
1 Kulsee.	

The abovementioned properties are to be sold for realisation of Rs. 5,000 being the decretal amount and costs and also for interest and further costs of execution.

The conditions of sale can be seen at the office of the said Sheriff at the time of the sale.

W. C. CURRIE, Sheriff.

B. P. Chunder, Attorney for the decree-holders.
Sheriff's Office, High Court, Original Side, the 14th day of November 1922. (2344—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

(1) Debendra Laha, son of Haradhan Laha, of Bara Keundi, (2) Kadra Santal, son of Bhagan Santal, of Duli, (3) Kalachand Santal, of Moulboni, (4) Judharam Santal, (5) Indra Santal, of Duli, (6) Nagenbala Dasi, wife of Nabakumar Hajra, of Kotalibajar, town Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 330 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 330 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Duli, thana No. 169, interest No. 43, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order I, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1986—1—2195)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Baranupa Santal, son of Pitam Santal, of Kalinagar, (2) Kaliram Santal himself and brother of Ramdhon Santal, of Arabari, (4) Churka Santal, son of Bara Gurai Santal, of Kosumdauga, (5) Daru Santal, son of Dukha Santal, of Arabari, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 437 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 437 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Arabari, thana No. 303, interest No. 86 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1987—1—2196)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kailash Mahato, son of Gossain Das Mahato, of Sundarpur, (1) Badru Santal, (3) Kotai Santal, (3) Jagannath Santal, son of Chhoku Santal, of Bara Bhurua, (3) Daniram Mahato, son of late Premchand Mahato, of Ghagrasole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 443 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 443 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Digambarpur, thana No. 291, interest No. 9 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 24th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1988—1—2197)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nilambar Santra, son of Guruprasad Santra, (2) Upendra Santra, (3) Mohendra Santra, son of Raj Kumar Santra, (4) Bhuvan Mohini Dasi, wife of Akhil Hajra, of Kasijora, (5) Bhriku Santal, brother of Bhurua Santal, of Radhagobindapur, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 447 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 447 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Mohishara, thana No. 260, interest No. 49, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore the 9th September 1922. (1989—1—2198)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ramesh Chandra Mitra, Receiver for the estate of Mohanta Bharat Ramanuj Das, of Keranitola, town Midnapore, (2) Gora Chand Mahato, son of Thakurdas Mahato, minor, by his guardian mother Athi Dasi, of Beliasole, (3) Ishan Mahato, (4) Rakhal Mahato, (5) Jadu Mahato, son of Biswanath Mahato, of Salboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 528 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 528 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Beliasole, thana No. 84, interest No. 127, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922.

(1990—1—2199)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Sindhubala Dasi, daughter of Poran San, of Godapiasal, (2) Sheikh Enatulla, (3) Sheikh Keramatulla, son of Sheikh Sadatulla, of Abradihi, (4) Bhitari Santal, (5) Bhirga Santal, sons of late Kandan Santal of Naranpur, (5) Nobokumar Hajra of Kotoalibajar, town Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 545 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 545 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Naranpur, thana No. 48, interest No. 68 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1991—1—2200)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Satish Chandra Roy, (2) Brikabhanu Roy, sons of late Rajani Kanta Roy, minors, by their guardian aunt Subhasini Dasi, of Sirshi, of Midnapore, (3) Kanai Mahato, son of Prem Chand Mahato, (4) Gosain Das Mahato, son of Boidya Nath Mahato, of Koyma, (5) Kailash Mahato, son of Hariram Mahato, of Neria, (6) Jiban Mahato, son of Dasiram Mahato, of Koyma, (7) Mohesh Mahato, son of Krista Mahato, of Dhanya-ghori, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 598 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 598 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Koyma, thana No. 61, interest No. 117, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1992—1—2201)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Dhoniram Mahato, (2) Mahadeb Mahato, (3) Sripati Mahato, son of Mathur Mahato, of Jafuli, (4) Gour Nandi, son of Brindabon Nandi, of Satpati, (5) Kinu Mahato, son of Gopal Mahato, of Hatimara, (6) Fulmoni Dasi, wife of Hariram Mahato, of Kadmasole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 600 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 600 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kadmasole, thana No. 181, interest No. 52, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1993—1—2202)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debiprasad Bhakat, son of Sibalakshan Bhakat, of Kornelgola, town Midnapore, (2) Srihori Mahato, son of Ananda Mahato, of Kadamdiha, (3) Bholanath Das, minor son of late Gobinda Das, by his guardian Sm. Khiroda Dasi, of Tilaboni, (4) Prankrista Sing, son of Gopal Ch. Sing, of Tilaboni, (5) Bistu Mahato, son of Joyram Mahato, of Bhutasole, (6) Jibon Mahato, (7) Srimanta Mahato, (8) Choudhuri Mahato, son of late Dhonu Mahato, (7) Mahinti Pal, son of late Kartik Pal, of Payrachali, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 634 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 634 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kadamdiha, thana No. 198, interest No. 60 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1994—1—2203)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Bipin Behari Pal, son of Kalachand Pal, (2) Lal Mohan Dutta, son of Ram Dutta, (3) Mohini Sau, (4) Rakhal Sau, son of Haradhon Sau, (5) Premchand Mahadanda, son of Shyam Chand Mahadanda, (6) Shyama Charan Nag, son of Natabar Nag of Moura, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 638 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 638 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Daba, thana No. 112, interest No. 128 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1925-1-2204)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Balai Mahato, son of Amar Sing Mahato, (2) Chhoto Uchit Mahato, son of Jamar Sing Mahato, of Dhan-sole, (3) Boisuab Kumar, son of Sriram Kamar, (4) Sham Kamar, son of Ananda Kamar, of Sarasbedia, pargana Bhanjabhum, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 800 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 800 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dubrajpur, thana No. 409, interest No. 13, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1926-1-2205)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Shyamtulla Bibi, wife of Soleman, of Barakuli, (2) Chandu Santal, of Golokchak, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 838 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 838 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Golokchak, thana No. 396, interest No. 16, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1927-1-2206)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Joykrista Mal, (2) Rajendra Nath Mal, son of Iawar Mal, of Beunchia, (3) Hori Das, son of Ananda Das, of Beunchia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 882 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 882 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Gobrasole, thana No. 309, interest No. 12, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1928-1-2207)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs.

versus

- (1) Hem Chandra Duari, son of Khudiram Duari, of Batkundri, (2) Gobinda Maity, son of Addoita Maity, of Jamboni, (3) Joykrishna Mal, (4) Rajendra Mal, of Benuchia, (5) Ramesh Chandra Misra, son of Bhairab Chandra Misra, of Godapiasal, (6) Radhanath Pal, son of Khudiram Pal, of Jamboni, pargana Midnapore, defendant.

TITLE SUIT No. 966 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 966 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jamboni, thana No. 51, interest No. 13, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (1999—1—2208)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ramesh Chandra Mitra, Receiver for the estate of Bharat Ramanuj Das Mohonto, of Keranitola, town Midnapore, (2) Ratan Deshali, son of Hikim Deshali, of Joykrishnapur, (3) Rohim Khan, (4) Subash Khan, son of Romjan Khan, of Bara Sijna, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 355 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 355 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Joykrishnapur, thana No. 122, interest No. 42 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2000—1—2186)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Shaikh Kafuruddin, (2) Shaikh Kashimuddin, (3) Shaikh Anisuddin, (4) Shaikh Maijuddin, themselves, (5) Shaikh Rofijuddin, (6) Shaikh Noijuddin, minors, by their guardian mother Sm. Diljan Bibi, of Godamouli, (2) Shaikh Hafijuddin, of Chhota Sijna, (3) Rampada Bej, son of Gungadhar Bej, of Babuibasha, (4) Lakshman Santal, son of Lakha Santal, of Rupaipur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 388 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 388 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Rupaipur, thana No. 4, interest No. 52, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2002—1—2187)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Jyotindra Nath Mandal, son of Hemchandra Mandal, of Bhandi, (2) Rajoni Kanta Mandal, son of Boikunta Nath Mandal, of Chandabila, (3) Jotindra Nath Kolia, son of Haradhon Kolia, of Matgalpara, pargana Bagri, (4) Kalicharan Kamar, son of Thakurdas Kamar, of Modhupur, (5) Guru Charan Patar, son of Durjan Patar, of Dhadkidanga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 394 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 394 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Amlabati, thana No. 21, interest No. 124, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2003—1—2188)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nilambar Santra, son of Ram Kumar Santra, (2) Upendra Santra, (3) Mohendra Santra, son of Raj Kumar Santra, of Beunchia, (4) Turka Santal, son of Surai Santal, of Kashisoletala Kashinagar, (5) Haru Das, son of late Choitan Das, of Kasijora, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 449 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 449 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Borju, thana No. 392, interest No. 68, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2004—1—2189)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Koilash Mahato, (2) Abhi Mahato, (3) Puntia Mahato, of Hatimara, (4) Sotrugna Mahato, (5) Giri Mahato, of Salboni, (6) Sombhu Nath Mahato, of Pirakata, (7) Gayaram Mahato, son of Guru Charan Mahato, of Salboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 532 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 532 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Salboni, thana No. 90, interest No. 105, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2005—1—2190)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nityananda Mahato, son of Jitu Mahato, (2) Akshoy Mahato, (3) Bhagirath Mahato, son of Raghu Mahato, of Amjore, (4) Parau Mal, son of Ganesh Mal, of Singpur, (5) Mihir Ghose, (6) Satish Ghose, (7) Jyotish Ghose, sons of late Arun Chandra Ghose, of Singpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 555 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 555 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kristanagar, thana No. 88, interest No. 6, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2006—1—2191)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Panchanan Mahato, son of Haradhan Mahato, of Mahatapur, (2) Sheikh Enaettulla, son of late Sadihulla, of Abradiha, (3) Bhoirab Mahato, son of Gossain Das Mahato, (4) Sombhunath Das, of Pukhuriashole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 561 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 561 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Pukhuriashole, thana No. 43, interest No. 123, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922. (2007—1—2192)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gopinath Panda, son of late Ram Mohon Panda, of Benchia, (2) Gonesh Kotal, son of Broja Kotal, of Jugdiha, (3) Nimai Charan Kotal, son of Narain Kotal, of Benuchia, (4) Rangamani Dasi, wife of Mohendra Bag, of Baradiha, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 594 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 594 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Baradiha, thana No. 246, interest No. 34 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922.

(2008—1—2193)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Dinanath Mohish, (2) Hinaram Mohish, son of Ajodhyaram Mohish, of Nimtola, (3) Nathu Mahato, (4) Krista Mahato, (5) Srinath Mahato, (6) Choudhuri Mahato, (7) Balaram Mahato, (8) Russick Mahato, son of Kangali Mahato, of Salboni, (9) Gorachand Mahato, son of Manbodh Mahato, of Pathar-nala, (5) Monsaram Mahato, son of Raghu Mahato, of Salboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 603 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 603 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Amjora thana No. 83, interest No. 47 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th September 1922.

(2009—1—2194)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sibdas Doloi, son of Chandra Mohan Doloi, (2) Nohir Bala Dasi, wife of late Iswar Kalia, (3) Sibhu Rana's son Ballab Rana, (4) Bhuban Rana, minor son of above late Sibhu Rana, (5) Sitaram Doloi, son of Haru Doloi, (6) Kumed Doloi, (6) Nodiar Chand Doloi, son of Uday Doloi, (7) Baikunta Poria, of Turepara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 831 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 831 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Tildanga, thana No. 423, interest No. 19 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in suit on 1st December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2272—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Hrishikesh Doloi, son of late Mrittunjoy Doloi, (2) Santosh Kumar Doloi, minor son of Dhononjoy Doloi, by his guardian uncle Jonmejoy Doloi, (3) Gorachand Doloi, (4) Rajendra Doloi, (5) Lakshan themselves, (6) (a) Khoka Doloi, (b) Bhutnath Doloi, minor son of late Bipin Doloi, by their guardian mother Sm. Champaklata Dasi, of Barabakhra, (5) Gossain Das Doloi, son of Narain Doloi, of Barabakhra, (6) Nohir Bala Dasi, wife of Chintamani Kolia's son of Turepara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 833 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 833 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Barabakhra, thana No. 426, interest No. 76 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2273—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Madhab Bhakat, son of Guru Charan Bhakat, of Salboni, (2) Poran Dihidar, son of Churamoni Dihidar, (3) Ashu Hati, minor son of Akhil Hati, deceased, by his guardian mother Srimoti Narain Dasi, of Radhanagari, (4) Gonesh Dey, son of Siboprasad Dey, of Salboni, (5) Ashutosh Ghose, & Amulya Ghose (3) Jamini Ghose, minor son of late Muchiram Ghose, by his guardian mother Srimoti Atarmoni Dasi, of Radhanagari, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 835 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 835 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Radhanagari, thana No. 391, interest No. 40 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2274—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Beniram Mahato, (2) Sahadeb Mahato, (3) Sripati Mahato, son of Mothur Mahato, of Bagliasha, (4) Srimati Mukta Dasi, wife of Guru Charan Mahato, (5) Chinibash Mahato, son of late Purusottom Mahato, (6) Haripada Mahato himself, (7) Bistupada Mahato, minor son of late Kailash Mahato, by his guardian mother Srimati Golapi Mahatani, of Bankiband, (8) Ganesh Chandra Dey, (9) Babulal Mahato, (10) Sanatan Mahato, son of Robi Mahato, deceased, (11) Monsharam Mahato, of Jharbhang, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 837 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 837 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jhar Bhang, thana No. 411, interest No. 106 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2275—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Narayan Patar, (2) Ashutosh Patar, son of late Gurucharan Patar of Dheugsole, (3) Gonesh Chandra Dey, son of Shiba Prasad Dey of Salboni, (4) Haradion Ghose, son of Brindabon Ghose of Salboni, (5) Sm. Puntibala Dasi, wife of late Mahendra Nath Ghose of Serimoni, (6) Amulya Charan Adhikari, son of Gangaram Adhikari, (7) Kanai Samanta, son of Murali Samanta, (8) Pancharam Samanta, son of Hridoy Samanta of Dheugsole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 868 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 868 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dheugsole, thana No. 402, interest No. 72 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 24th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2276—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Joy Chandra Bari, auction purchaser, and Gonesh Chandra Dey, son of Siba Prasad Dey of Salboni, (2) Kirtibash Ghose, (3) Sashi Bhusan Pal, son of Jadabinda Pal of Salboni, (4) Sripati Maji, son of Siru Maji, (5) Sitaram Doloi, son of Haradion Doloi of Turepara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 869 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 869 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Tilakhuli, thana No. 400, interest No. 126 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2277—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Birewar Sirkar, of Khirpai in pargana and thana Chandrakona, (2) Nouda Ram Mahat, of Mirga, (3) Dhani Ram Mahat, (4) Saha Dev Mahat, (5) Sripati Mahat, of Joykuli, (6) Sm. Kshiroda Mayi Dasi, wife of Madhova Chandra Ghose, of Mirjapur in Midnapore, (7) Kartic Mahat, of Jharbhanga, (8) Bourdia Santal, of Shalpatra in Midnapore, thana Salboni, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 870 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 870 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Tarini Chak, thana No. 404, interest No. 75 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2278—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nandaram Mahato, of Mirga, (2) Dhoniram Mahato, (3) Sahadeb Mahato, (4) Sripti Mahato, of Joyfuli, (5) Padma Mahato, son of late Pancharam Mahato, of Mirga, (6) Radhu Mahato, son of Maghan Mahato, (7) Srikanth Mahato, son of late Balai Mahato, (8) Sm. Jalewari Dasi, wife of late Pancharam Mahato, of Pidraleoah, (9) Jiban Mahato, (10) Nandalal Mahato, (11) Haranarain Mahato, (12) Ramanath Mahato, sons of late Sridam Mahato, (13) Porikshit Mahato, of Mirga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 873 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 873 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Mirga, thana No. 340, interest No. 83 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2279—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Probodh Chandra Saoo, son of Sashi Bhusan Saool, of Chirimarsai, (2) Raj Kumar Sing, son of Sudhanna Charan Sing, (3) Nilkanta Dutta, son of Lakshmi Narain Dutta, of Dangarpura, (4) Badal Santal, son of Tainte Santal of Malbandi, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 893 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 893 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Chandua, thana No. 525, interest No. 15 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2280—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sripti Charan Roy, (2) Hem Chandra Roy, son of Ganganarain Roy, of Kashidanga, (3) Chinibash Mahato, (4) Sambhu Mahato, (5) Rakhal Mahato, (6) Rengtu Mahato of Anandanagar, (7) Radhu Mahato, son of Maghan Mahato, (8) (a) Kanai Mahato, (b) Panchanan Mahato's wife Sm. Jaleshwari Dasi of Pidraleoah, (9) Adhar Mahato, son of late Moniram Mahato, of Bagakhali, (10) Lal Mohan Mahato, of Anandanagar, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 896 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 896 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Anandanagar, thana No. 367, interest No. 19 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 1st December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922.

(2281—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kali Charan Hajra, (2) Girish Chandra Hajra, son of Lalmoheon Hajra of Chatui, (3) Sarbeswar Ghosh, son of Haladhar Ghose of Gobindapore, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 903 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company Limited, has instituted suit No. 903 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-right, regarding mouza Kalyanpur, thana No. 33, interest No. 6 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 27th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2282—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ganesh Chandra Santal, son of Badal Santal, (2) Jethu Santal, (3) Lakshman Santal, (4) Tenta Santal, son of Lakshman Santal, (5) Bahadur Santal, son of Hashma Santal of Moharajpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 909 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 909 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Moharajpur, thana No. 343, interest No. 10 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 1st December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2283—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Bhagabati Dasi, wife of Purnendu Saha, of Kotabajar, town Midnapur, (2) Prankristo Tah, (3) Koilash Ch. Tah, son of late Becharam Tah, (4) Manik Masanto, son of Radhamohon Masanto, of Gobru, (5) Nodiar Chand Roy, (6) Sitaram Roy, son of Jadu Roy, (7) Sitaram Mana, of Choita, (8) Romesh Chandra Misra, son of Bhoirab Chandra Misra, of Godapiasal, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 964 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 964 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dhobasole, thana No. 517, interest No. 64 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2284—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sombhu Ch. Roy, of Mirbazar Town, Midnapore, (2) Rajendra Mahato, minor son of Umesh Mahato, by his guardian mother Sm. Prasanna Dasi, of Lalgaria, (3) Radha Krishna Ghose, (4) Krishna Ghose, minor sons of Kunja Behari Ghose, by their guardian mother Sm. Sashi Mukhi Dasi, of Jiripara, pargana Bagri, (4) Karali Charan Ghose, (5) Durga Ram Patra, (6) Sribas Mondal, of Hirasole, (7) Haradhon Mahato, of Mayaband, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 276 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 276 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Hirasole, thana No. 166, interest No. 32, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. N. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2286—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debendra Nath Laha, son of Haradhan Laha, of Chhoto Kenudi, (2) Ananda Laha, son of Siddheswar Laha, of Mianpara, (3) Iswar Mahato, son of Digambar Mahato, of Ludri, (4) Nadiar Chand Tanti, son of Sital Tanti, of Bara Kenudi, (5) Raghab Mahato, son of late Srinath Mahato, of Machbinda, (6) Nitai Mahato, of Sundarpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 322 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 322 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bara Kenudi, thana No. 175, interest No. 101, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2287—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debendra Nath Laha, son of Haradhan Laha, of Chhoto Kenudi, (2) Ananda Laha, son of Siddheswar Laha, of Mianpara, (3) Iswar Mahato, son of Digambar Mahato, of Ludri, (4) Nadiar Chand Tanti, son of Sital Tanti, of Bara Kenudi, (5) Raghab Mahato, son of Srinath Mahato, of Machbinda, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 328 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 328 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bara Kenudi, thana No. 175, interest No. 101 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2288—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Dhukit Ram Mahato, son of Balai Mahato, of Baramesia, (2) Gora Santal, minor son of Gokul Santal, of Sundarpur, (3) Chandra Mahato, son of Gosaidas Mahato, of Salgeria, (4) Chunaram Mahato, son of Fakir Mahato, of Baramesia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 341 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 341 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dhoboni, thana No. 270, interest No. 14 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2289—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Abinash Mahato, (2) Nanda Mahato, (3) Dasarath Mahato, minor sons of Kaliram Mahato, of Birvanpur, (4) Sibhu Mahato, son of Jhori Mahato, (5) Sankar Mahato, son of Bansi Mahato, of Birvanpur, (6) Sombhu Mahato, minor son of Akhoy Mahato, of Birvanpur, (7) Khela Ram Mahato, son of Bical Mahato, of Birvanpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 365 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 365 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Birvanpur, thana No. 26, interest No. 55 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 24th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2290—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gobar Dhan Mahat, son of Dhanu Mahat, (2) Gora Chand Mahat, of Hatisole, (3) Chaitnya Mahat, son of Bouri Mahat, of Hatisole, (4) Gosaye Das Mahat, son of Nadu Ram Mahat, (5) Gour Mahat, son of Indrajit Mahat, of Konyabali in the pargana Bhanjabhum, in thana Salboni, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 506 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 506 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Khandarani, thana No. 75, interest No. 39 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2291—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Purna Chandra Mandal, son of Kartik Chandra Mandal, of Miabajar, town Midnapore, (2) Mangala Santal, (3) Sona Santal, minor sons of Kusal Santal, of Rangmatia, (4) Lakshan Mahato, son of Kandan Mahato, of P'athorpara, (5) Sagar Mahato, son of Guru Charan Mahato, of Gaighata, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 518 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 518 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhangadali, thana No. 64, interest No. 39 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2292—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kirtibash Sarkar, son of Arun Chandra Sarkar, of Khirpai, pargana Chandrakona, (2) Debendra Nath Laba, son of Haradhon Laba, of Kendi, (3) Prabodh Chandra Roy, (4) Subodh Chandra Roy, (5) Sriam Chandra Roy, (6) Kiriti Mohan Roy, minor sons of late Brikodar Roy, by their guardian mother Subhila Dasi, of Murar, (7) Kumed Charan Roy, son of Ajodhya Nath Roy, of Murar, (8) Bhutnath Ghose, son of late Gadadhar Ghose, of Abradihi, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 524 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 524 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Murar, thana No. 99, interest No. 106 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 22nd November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2293—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Uchit Mahato, (2) Bhairab Mahato, son of Swarn Mahato, of Kharikasuli, (3) Ajodhya Nath Dey, (4) Haradhon Dey, son of Radha Krista Dey, of Gohaldanga, (5) Bhuban Mahato, (6) Choitan Mahato, sons of Jitu Mahato, of Sabli, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 541 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 541 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Sabli, thana No. 53, interest No. 72 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2294—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Mathur Mahat, (2) Kanta Mahat, (3) sons of late Balai Mahat of Sakhi Sole, (4) Gobordhan Mahat, (5) Gui Ram Mahat, (6) sons of late Kulu Mahat, of Sakhi Sole, (7) Tara Chand Mahat, son of Lakshmon Mahat, (8) Ram Dhon Mahat, son of Anonda Mahat, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 602 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 602 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Sakhi Sole, thana No. 70, interest No. 14 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2295—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ajudhi Mahato, minor son of Bojsnab Charan Mahato, of Patharpara, (2) Arun Mahato, son of Auanda Mahato, of Patharpara, (3) Baidya Nath Mahato, son of Dundi Mahato, of Andarmara, (4) Paran Mahato, son of Bahadur Mahato, of Patharpara, (5) Dinanath Mohis, (6) Hinaram Mohis, of Nimtata, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 610 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 610 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Patharpara, thana No. 65, interest No. 49 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2296—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Nuftan Nesia Bibi, wife of late Dadar Box, of Pathanmahalla, town Midnapore, (2) Bolai Mahato, son of Sitaram Mahato, (3) Pitambar Mahato, son of Nahu Mahato, (4) Baddi Nath Mahato, son of Haru Mahato, of Hatiasole, at Bahadurpur, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 622 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 622 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Lakshi chak, thana No. 209, interest No. 12 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2297—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kalipada Ghose, son of Madhusudan Ghose, of Arabari, (2) Fokir Mahato, son of Golam Mahato, (3) Gosta Behari Saha, (4) Rash Behari Saha, (5) Kunja Behari Saha, minor sons of Gopal Chandra Saha, by their guardian mother Sm. Basan Bala Dasi, of Barshagihii, (6) Bishnu Mahato, son of Doyal Mahato, of Bhimsole, (7) Roghu Mahato, son of Lakhiram Mahato, of Kristapur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 647 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 647 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhimsole, thana No. 110, interest No. 53 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2298—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Annapurna Debi, wife of Ajodhyaram Chakrabarti, of Satpati, (2) Roghunath Sau, son of Ajodhyaram Sau, of Muchibinda, (3) Poresb Mahata, (4) Puntia Mahata, minor by his guardian mother Ujjala Dasi, of Jhoria Sirsi, pargana Bahadurpur, (4) Radhanath Betal, son of Gopal Betal, of Satpati, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 653 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 653 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Khudra Bankati, thana No. 117, interest No. 29 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2299—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Umesh Chandra Mallik, son of Madhab Chandra Mallik, of Dhanghori, (2) Dwarikanath Mahato, son of Jadu Mahato, (3) Kulada Dasi, (4) Sun. Sukhada Dasi, minor by their guardian mother Kusum Mahatoni, of Basadihi, (5) Dhononjoy Mahato, (6) Surendra Mahato, of Mojurkata, (5) Sm. Gayamoni Dasi, wife of Bhoban Mahato, of Dharnapur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 673 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 673 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Barshadihi, thana No. 109, interest No. 58 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2300—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Dhoniram Mahato, son of Mothur Mahato, of Bagbasa, (2) Mansing Santal, son of Natar Santal, (3) Gora Santal, son of Ratan Santal, (4) Sawrup Santal, son of Babu Ram Santal, of Nutandih, (5) Hari Desali, son of Chintamoni Desali, of Bagbasa, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 760 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 760 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Nutandih, thana No. 316, interest No. 28 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2301—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sridhar Mahato, son of Kali Charan Mahato, of Sarasbedia, (2) Kubir Patra, son of Arun Patra, of Sarasbedia, (3) Adhar Mahato, son of Lalchand Mahato, (4) Uchit Mahato, son of Adhar Sing Mahato, of Dhansole, (5) Adhar Patra, son of Arun Patra, of Sarasbedia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 762 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 762 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dhansole, thana No. 408, interest No. 72 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2302—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm Satyanoyi Dasi, wife of Chintamani Bhandari, (2) Jogendra Nath Ghose himself, (3) Gosta Behari Ghose, minor son of Gourchandra Ghose, by his guardian mother Kironbala Dasi, of Bhandi, (3) Fakir Chandra Ghose, son of Jadu Ghose, (4) Rajon Kanta Dhal, son of Jadu Dhal, (5) Iswar Chandra Ghose, of Kasidanga, (6) Sripati Charan Roy, of Kasidanga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 799 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 799 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kashidanga, thana No. 370, interest No. 56 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 23rd November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2303—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gosthabehari Bisui, himself, (2) Suddir Bisui, (3) Bibhuti Bisui, minors by their guardian mother Sm. Khentamoni Dasi, sons of Boikunta Bisui, (2) Ramchhari Patra, son of Kartik Chandra Patra, (3) Bhajahori Laik, son of Murari Laik, (4) Guiram Laik, (5) Gobardhon Laik, son of Rakhal Laik, (6) Fakir Laik, (7) Kali Charan Laik, son of Uday Laik, of Ramchandrapur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 809 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 809 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Ramchandrapur, thana No. 345, interest No. 20 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 25th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2304—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Joykrishna Mal, (2) Rajendra Nath Mal, son of late Iswar Chandra Mal, (3) Adhar Chandra Hati, (4) Panchananda Hati, (5) Rampada Hati, son of late Haradhan Hati, (6) Gopinath Panda, son of late Ram Mohan Panda, (7) Gopal Chandra Hati, (8) Rakhal Chandra Hati, son of late Hori Charan Hati, of Benchia, (9) Fakir Chandra Kolia, son of late Krista Prasad Kolia, of Choita, (10) Bipin Chandra Das, son of late Madan Das, (11) Ramanath Santra, son of late Madhab Santra, (12) Sriram Chandra Santra, son of late Kristaprasad Santra, (13) Gour Khetia, son of late Ajodhya Khetia, (14) Lal Mohan Mallik, son of late Guru Charan Mallik, (15) Jhotu Ghose, son of late Ramdhon Ghose, (16) Pochu Rana, son of Bhajahori Rana, (17) Rasomoy Santra, son of late Joynarain Santra, (18) Nilambar Santra, son of late Ram Kumar Santra, (19) Upendra Nath Santra, (20) Mahendra Nath Santra, (21) Khudiram Santra, son of late Boikunta Santra, (22) Rakhal Karak, son of late Sishu Karak, of Benchia, (23) Iswar Chandra Chakrabatti, son of late Gobinda Chakrabatti of Patnabajar, town Midnapur, (24) Khetra Mohan Mukerji, son of late Haradhan Mukerji, (25) Bholanath Pal, son of late Gonesh Pal, (26) Gopal Chondhuri, son of late Kartik Choudhuri, (27) Ninai Kotal, son of late Narain Kotal, (28) Sm. Pochi Dasi, wife of late Jibon Roy, (29) Jodunath Kolia, son of late Shyama Charan Kolia, (30) Rakhal Santra, son of late Akhil Santra, (31) Rammooy Mukhopadhyaya, son of Ram Kumar Mukhopadhyaya, (32) Sibaram Mukhopadhyaya, son of Rajkumar Mukhopadhyaya, (33) Ram Chandra Neogi, son of late Haradhan Neogi, of Benchia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 967 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 967 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Benchia, thana No. 473, interest No. 2 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 28th November 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 9th November 1922. (2285—1)

In the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal, in Insolvency.

No. 109 of 1921.

Re Radhanath Chunder, *Ex parte* the debtor.

NOTICE is hereby given that a dividend is intended to be declared in the above matter and that the same will be paid on or after the 2nd day of January 1923 except Saturday and Sunday.

G. M. FALKNER, Official Assignee.

Calcutta, the 14th November 1922. (2345—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 7 of 1921.

Randhan Laik, of Jhanjra, police-station Faridpur, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 6th August 1921, against him be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2346—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 8 of 1921.

(1) Kedarnath Sain, (2) Radhanath Sain, of Purusha, police-station Faridpur, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 6th August 1921, against him be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2347—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 10 of 1921.

(1) Gobinda Dutt, (?) Nathu Dutt, of Mejudi, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 24th August 1921, against him, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of August 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2348—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 17 of 1921.

Kishori Mohan Chatterjee, of Narainpur, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 26th January 1922, against him, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2349—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 20 of 1921.

Alijan Mistri, of Dhemua mine, police-station Neamatpur, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 6th February 1922 against him be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2350—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 21 of 1921.

Pasupati Chatterjee, of Ajodhya, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered, that the order of adjudication dated 6th March 1922 against him be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

(2351—1)

ORDER ANNULLING ADJUDICATION.

[Section 42 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. $\frac{5}{14}$ of 1920.

Ganesh Chandra Gope, of Shaikhpur, police-station Raniganj, subdivision Asansol, district Burdwan, applicant.

THE insolvent abovenamed having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 21st August 1920, against him, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of October 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJEE, Sub-Judge.

In the Court of the District Judge of Bankura.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 6 of 1922.

Baikuntha Nath Garai, applicant.

NOTICE, under section 19(2) of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, is hereby given to his creditors that the insolvency petition filed by Baikuntha Nath Garai, son of late Hira Lal Garai, resident of Vishnupur, thana Vishnupur, district Bankura, has been admitted by this Court and that 25th November 1922 has been fixed for hearing thereof.

H. M. VEITCH, District Judge.

Bankura, the 14th November 1922.

(2343—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Birbhum.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 1 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 22nd January 1922, filed by Girindra Bhushan Chatterji, son of late Jajneswar Chatterji, of Gopegram, chowki Rampurhat, district Birbhum, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading the application and hearing the debtor it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 13th day of November 1922.

J. C. H. MACNAIR, District Judge.
(2356—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Burdwan.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 30 of 1922.

[Notice under the Provincial Insolvency Act V of 1920.]

NOTICE is hereby given to his creditors that the insolvency petition filed by the judgment-debtor Atul Krishna Bishayee, son of Banshidhar Bishayee, of Memari, thana Satgachia, district Burdwan, has been admitted and that 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for hearing thereof.

P. E. CAMMIADÉ, District Judge.
Burdwan, the 13th November 1922. (2352—1—2218)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 71 of 1922.

MAHAMMAD HOSSAIN, son of Ralim Bakas Khalifa, deceased, resident of Tegra, police-station Biroil, district Dinajpur, has applied to this court to be declared insolvent. The 9th day of December 1922 has been fixed for examination of the petitioner at Dinajpur.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.
Dinajpur, the 15th November 1922. (2354—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 69 of 1922.

BHUTU RAM TELI, son of Sepahi Ram Teli, resident of Nitpur, police-station Porsha, district Dinajpur, has applied to this Court to be declared insolvent. The 7th day of December 1922 has been fixed for examination of the petitioner at Dinajpur.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.
Dinajpur, the 15th November 1922. (2355—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act V of 1920, to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Robial Chowdhury, son of Umed Chowdhury, of Puin, thana Arambagh, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 58 of 1922, and that the 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 28th October 1922. (2190—1—2213)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act V of 1920, to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Shome-shed Chowdhury, son of Umed Chowdhury, of Puin, thana Arambagh, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 59 of 1922, and that the 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 28th October 1922. (2191—1—2214)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1922, to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Umed Chowdhury, son of late Golam Chowdhury, of Puin, thana Arambagh, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 60 of 1922 and that the 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 28th October 1922. (2192—1—2215)

In the Court of the District Judge of Jalpaiguri.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 64 of 1922.

LALDHARI MISTRI, son of Mohan Mistri, resident of Gurjanghora, police-station Malbazar, district Jalpaiguri, was adjudicated insolvent on the 2nd day of November 1922.

He must apply for discharge within six months from date.

B. L. SARKAR, for District Judge.
Dinajpur, the 11th day of November 1922. (2330—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 29 of 1922.

Petitioner Belatali Joddar, son of late Haru Joddar, of Amboria, police-station Kumarkhali, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause 2 of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the abovenamed petitioner has filed an insolvency petition and that 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 10th November 1922. (2331—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 30 of 1922.

Petitioner Gopal Joddar, son of late Haru Joddar, of Amboria, police-station Kumarkhali, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given under clause (2) of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the above-named petitioner has filed an insolvency petition and that 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 10th November 1922. (2332—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 31 of 1922.

Petitioner Rohim Joddar, son of late Haru Joddar, of Amboria, police-station Kumarkhali, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given under clause (2) of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the above-named petitioner has filed an insolvency petition and that 25th November 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 10th November 1922. (2333—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 32 of 1922.

Petitioner, Amulya Kumar Pal, son of late Nidhiram Pal, of Bara Muragacha, police-station Hauskhali, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause (2) of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the abovenamed petitioner has filed an insolvency petition and that 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 10th November 1922. (2334—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 11 of 1922.

Petitioner, Ramadhari Ahiri, son of late Tikaram Ahiri, of Ranaghat, police-station Ranaghat, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 43 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the order of adjudication dated 8th May 1922 against Ramadhari Ahiri be and the same is hereby annulled on 9th November 1922.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 10th November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 41 of 1922.

Petitioner Dhonai Biswas, son of late Taribulla Biswas of Ishermari, police-station Mirpur, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 43 of the Provincial Insolvency Act V of 1920 to his creditors that the order of adjudication, dated 17th March 1922, against Dhonai Biswas be and the same is hereby annulled on 3rd November 1922.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 14th November 1922. (2341—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Nadia.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 33 of 1922.

Petitioner Eakub Sha Fakir, son of late Majafar Sha Fakir, of Ararbeg, police-station Kaligunja, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given under clause 2 of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the abovenamed petitioner has filed an insolvency petition and that 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.
Krishnagar, the 14th November 1922. (2342—1)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 137 of 1922.

Vivian Maurice Cleary, of 32, Police Hospital Road, thana Entally, applicant.

To Ananta Lal Dutta, of 27-2, Sovaram Bysak's Lane, Calcutta, and others, creditors.

ON the 24th day of June 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 11th December 1922 and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.
Alipore the 18th August 1922. (1843—1—2216)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Alipore, 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 27 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 19th June 1922, made by Nalini Mahan Chatterjee, of 22, Shahanagore Road, Kalighat, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading his application and hearing his pleader it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He must apply for his discharge within one year.

Dated this 24th day of October 1922.

E. B. H. PANTON, District Judge.
(2193—1—2185)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Alipore, 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 22 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 23rd May 1922, filed by Sheik Abdul Bari (proprietor of the firm of Messrs. Sheik Samiraddin), carrying on business in cloth and other articles at Chitpore, police-station Cossipore, and on the application of Ramdeo Lasmimarayan and some other creditors and on reading their application and hearing their pleader, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He must apply for his discharge within one year.

Dated this 24th day of October 1922.

E. B. H. PANTON, District Judge.
(2197—1—2212)

Notice.

APPLICATIONS for the posts of two temporary Sub-Overseers on a consolidated salary of Rs. 60 per month will be received by the undersigned up to 30th November 1922. None but qualified Sub-Overseers with two years' experience in the District Board or Public Works Department out-door works need apply.

C. G. B. STEVENS,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chittagong Hill Tracts, P. W. D.
Dacca, the 10th November 1922.

APPLICATIONS are invited for the temporary post of Principal, Bethune College, Calcutta, during the absence of the permanent incumbent on leave.

2. The appointment is in the Women's Branch of the Indian Educational Service (Rs. 400—25—850) and carries a duty allowance of Rs. 100 a month and a local allowance of Rs. 200 a month and rent-free quarters.

3. Academic qualifications, experience in the higher teaching of Indian girls and administrative experience will be taken into consideration. Applicants already in Government service should apply through the proper channels.

4. Applications should be addressed to the undersigned and will be received up to 25th November 1922.

W. B. FINNIGAN,

Asst. Director of Public Instruction, Bengal (offg.),
Calcutta, the 13th November 1922.

Notice.

WANTED a B. A. (B. T. preferred) able to teach additional Mathematics in the top classes for the post of Assistant Mistress, Vidyamoyee High School for Girls, Mymensingh, on Rs. 75—5—150 (efficiency bar) —5—200 a month from January 1923.

Applications will be received by the undersigned up to the 30th November 1922.

M. V. IRONS,

Inspectress of Schools, Dacca Circle.

Dacca, the 6th November 1922.

Notice.

WANTED on probation for two years an unpassed accounts clerk on the time-scale of pay, viz, Rs. 40—40—45—2—85 per mensem, for the Eastern Circle, Public Works Department.

None need apply who have not passed the I.A. or I.Sc. Examination of the Dacca or Calcutta University. Preference will be given to Muhammadan candidates having previous experience in office works.

Applications with copies of testimonials will be received by the undersigned up to the 23rd November 1922. The selected candidate will have to produce the original certificates when called for and will have to join at once.

W. J. KERR,

Superintending Engineer, Eastern Circle.

Dacca, the 31st October 1922.

Wanted

BY the District Board of Bankura one Accountant and Head Clerk on a monthly salary of Rs. 80— $\frac{1}{2}$ —100 plus temporary allowance as sanctioned by Government. None need apply who is not qualified according to rules. The selected candidate will have to furnish a security of Rs. 2,000 (two thousand) either in cash or in Government promissory notes. Applications will be received by the undersigned up to 30th November 1922. Preference will be given to a qualified and experienced man.

BAMA CHARAN ROY,

Chairman, Bankura District Board.

The 8th November 1922.

(2252—2)

Jharia Mines Board of Health.

MEDICAL Practitioners possessed of qualification registrable under the Bihar and Orissa Medical Act, 1916, who are desirous of obtaining employment in the Jharia Coalfield should communicate with the Medical Officer of Health, Post Box No. 58, Dhanbad.

G. W. THOMPSON,

Medical Officer of Health.

Dhanbad, the 3rd November 1922.

(2224—2)

Irrigation Department.

NOTICE.

IN accordance with rule 26 of the Navigation Rules for the Midnapore Canal issued under section 11 of the Canals Act (Bengal Act V of 1864), and published under Irrigation Department notification No. 5, dated the 19th June 1917, notice is hereby given for general information that the 6th Reach of the Midnapore Canal from Panskura to Dainan will remain closed to traffic for fifteen days, from the 20th November to the 4th December 1922, both days inclusive, for the purpose of silt clearance and repairs.

G. T. HUNTINGFORD,

Secretary to the Government of Bengal (offg.),
Calcutta, the 18th November 1922.

Notice.

THE Bengal and Madras Service Family Pension Fund which is provisionally managed and assisted by Government has for its object the provision of monthly pensions for the maintenance of the widows and children of subscribers and is open, with certain exceptions, to all active and pensioned members of the Uncovenanted Service of Government (except those serving under the Government of Bombay), and to Local Fund servants earning pensions from Government. Some of the special features of the fund are—(1) that widowed daughters incapable of remarriage or children labouring under such mental or bodily infirmities as incapacitate them from earning their livelihood or preclude the possibility of marriage (in case of a daughter) are admitted to its benefits; and (2) that subscribers to the Widows' and Daughters' branches are entitled to a refund of 80 per cent. of the premia paid, should the nominees predecease them after five years of admission. The second quinquennial valuation of the fund has been completed by the Actuary to the Government of India and his report discloses very favourable results. For forms of application and rules of the Fund apply to the Accountant-General, Central Revenues, Imperial Secretariat Buildings, Calcutta.

BRUCE INSTITUTION.

ANNUAL ELECTION, 1923.

THE Governors of the Bruce Institution will proceed during the first week of January 1923, to the election of at least 21 Anglo-Indian girls to fill the vacancies on the Bruce Foundation. Candidates must not, on the day of election, be under five or over ten years of age. Preference will be given to orphans and to destitute children. Anglo-Indian girls only are eligible.

A statement of the case should be drawn up by the clergyman or minister countersigning the application form and sent by him to the Secretary of the Bruce Institution, along with the application. No application will be considered which is unaccompanied by such a statement and which is not submitted in the form required.

Forms of application may be obtained from Mr. F. D'Silva, Assistant-in-charge, office of the Bruce Institution, Block No. 3, top-floor, Writers' Buildings, Calcutta, and applications must reach the office of the Secretary to the Bruce Institution, office of the Director of Public Instruction, Writers' Buildings, Calcutta, not later than Saturday, the 16th December 1922.

W. W. HORNELL,

Honorary Secretary to the Governors.

Calcutta, the 7th November 1922.

(2223—4)

Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Notes Nos. 073962, 073963 and 070370 of the 3 per cent. loan of 1896-97 for Rs. 100 each, originally standing in the name of Prosad Das Boral and Brothers, and last endorsed to Srish Chandra Sarkar, the proprietor, by whom they were never endorsed to any other person, having been stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above Notes and the interest thereupon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Bank of Bengal, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicates in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the abovementioned security.

Name of advertiser—SRISH CHANDRA SARKAR.

Residence—Kotacole (Jessore).

(18—2221—3—2138).

Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Note No. 006896 of the 5 per cent. loan of 1929-47 for Rs. 200, originally standing in the name of Narendra Nath Coomar, and last endorsed to nil, the proprietor, by whom it was never endorsed to any other person, having been stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above note and the interest thereupon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the abovementioned security.

Name of the advertiser—NARENDRA NATH COOMAR.

Residence—25-26, Clive Street, Calcutta.

(2325—3)

Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Notes Nos. 255447, 258528 and 268018 of the 3½ per cent. loan of 1900-01 for Rs. 500, Rs. 100 and Rs. 500, respectively, originally standing in the name of Ganendra Nath Mittra and the rest in the name of Prosad Das Boral & Brothers and last endorsed to Ganendra Nath Mittra, the proprietor, by whom they were never endorsed to any other person, having been stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above notes and the interest thereupon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the abovementioned securities.

Name of the advertiser—GANENDRA NATH MITTRA.

Address—C-o. Messrs. Mackinnon Mackenzie & Co. (Accounts) 16, Strand Road, Calcutta.

(2326—3)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and**In the matter of D. Waldie & Co., Ltd., Private Company (In liquidation).**

NOTICE is hereby given pursuant to section 217 of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, that a general meeting of the members of the abovenamed Company will be held at No. 5, Fancy Lane, Calcutta, on the 11th day of December 1922 at 10 A.M. for the purpose of having an account laid before them shewing the manner in which the winding up has been conducted and the property of the Company disposed of and of hearing any explanation that may be given by the liquidator and also determining by extraordinary resolution the manner in which the books, accounts and documents of the Company and of the liquidator thereof shall be disposed of.

E. HAYWARD, Liquidator.

5, Fancy Lane, Calcutta, the 11th November 1922.

(2270—1—2211)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, and**In the matter of D. Waldie and Company, Limited (Private Company), in liquidation.**

NOTICE is hereby given, pursuant to section 217 of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, that a general meeting of the members of the abovenamed Company will be held at No. 5, Fancy Lane, Calcutta, on Saturday, the 23rd day of December 1922, at 10 A.M., in the forenoon for the purpose of having an account laid before them shewing the manner in which the winding up has been conducted and the property of the Company disposed of and of hearing any explanation that may be given by the Liquidator and also of passing an extraordinary resolution authorising that the books, accounts and documents of the Company and of the Liquidator thereof shall be disposed of handing them over to D. Waldie and Company, Limited (Public Company). The meeting advertised for December 11th is hereby cancelled.

ERIC HAYWARD, Liquidator.

Calcutta, the 11th day of November 1922.

(2358—1—2217)

Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts.

PARTS I, II, and III of a Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Library of the Calcutta Sanskrit College, prepared by Pandit Hrishikesh Sastri and Babu Siva Chandra Gui, M.A., B.L., of that College, are offered to the public for sale. The Catalogue having to be completed in 23 parts, the price of each part is 12 annas a copy exclusive of postage. Copies can be obtained from the Principal of the Sanskrit College, Calcutta.

POST OFFICE.
Despatch of Sea-borne Mails.

MAILS FOR—	Day or date of closing of mails.	LATEST HOURS OF POSTING AT THE G. P. O.			
		UNREGISTERED LETTERS AND PACKETS.		REGISTERED LETTERS AND PACKETS.	
		Without late fee.	With late fee.*	Without late fee.	With late fee.*
		P.M.	P.M.	P.M.	P.M.
United Kingdom, Europe, Aden, Egypt, East and West Africa and America ...	Thursday ...	6-0	7-0	5-0	5-30
Ceylon ...	Daily ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
† Australia and New Zealand (<i>via</i> Colombo) ...	Nov. 22 ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
Straits Settlements, French Indo-China, Federated Malay States, Philippine Islands, Netherlands India, Siam, China and Japan per SS. "Tanda" ...	" 24 ...	7-30	8-0	5-0	6-0
Ditto ditto (<i>via</i> Negapatam) ...	" 22 ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
Burma ...	" 23 ...	7-30	8-0	5-0	6-0
Port Blair (<i>via</i> Rangoon) ...	" 27 ...	7-30	8-0	5-0	6-0

NOTE.—The latest date and hour for booking Money Orders and Parcels for despatch by the Homeward English mail is Wednesday, 3 P.M. and Thursday noon respectively.

* The late fee is 4 annas for each registered or unregistered article of the Letter Mail to any place in the countries named above except for Aden, Burma, Ceylon and Port Blair, the late fee for which is $\frac{1}{2}$ anna for unregistered articles and 2 annas for registered articles. Letters are accepted with late fee only on occasions when a direct mail is closed by the Calcutta G. P. O. for Foreign countries.

† When there is no direct mail from Calcutta, correspondence for South Africa and Mauritius posted up to 4-45 P.M. in the Calcutta G. P. O. is despatched to Bombay and correspondence for Australia, New Zealand and Japan posted up to 5-15 P.M. to Colombo for transmission by the first available steamer.

Calcutta G. P. O., the 20th November 1922.

C. D. RAE, Presidency Post Master.

CINCHONA FACTORY PRODUCTS.

BY order of the Government of Bengal Cinchona Factory Products will be sold by the Superintendent, Juvenile Jail, Alipore, at the undernoted rates from the 1st November 1922 :—

Sulphate of Quinine.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 27 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 28 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 29 "

Quinine Hydrochloride.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 44 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 45 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 46 "

Quinine Di-Hydrochloride.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 47 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 48 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 49 "
Quinoidine Sulphate for any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 52 "

Cinchonidine Sulphate and Cinchonine Sulphate.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 16 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 17 "

Cinchona Febrifuge Powder.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 8 per lb.
For quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 9 "

Cinchona Febrifuge Tablets and Quinoidine Tablets.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 9 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 10 "

Quinoidine (In Mass).

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 8 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 9 "

Quinine Sulphate is for sale to Government Institutions and Missionaries only. It is not for sale to Private Firms or the General Public.

Cinchona Febrifuge in Powder and Tablet form (when in stock) is for sale to Government Institutions, Missionaries and the General Public.

Quinine Sulphate will be supplied to Hospitals and Dispensaries of this Presidency only at the wholesale rate of Rs. 27 per lb., irrespective of quantities. For all other purchasers the rates given above will apply.

Transit charges are in addition to the above prices in every case.

Drugs are sold for cash only preferably by remittance transfer receipts of Treasury chalang payable in advance. Price and postage must accompany the price of the drug (when the drug is required by post). The name of the Railway and Steamer Station or post-office must be written distinctly when the parcels are required by Rail. Steamer or by Post. A scale of postage is given below.

[For $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. 4 annas, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. 5 annas, 1 lb. 8 annas, $1\frac{1}{2}$ lb. 11 annas, 2 lbs. 14 annas, $2\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-1, 3 lbs. Re. 1-1, $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-4, 4 lbs. Re. 1-7, $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-13, 5 lbs. Re. 1-13, 6 lbs. Rs. 2.]

Local sale at the Jail gate from 7 to 10 A.M., and 2 to 4 P.M.

N.B.—Postage stamps are not accepted as revenue.

Government reserve the right to alter the price without notice.

NOTICE.

Advertisements, Notices etc., intended for insertion in this Part of the Gazette cannot be received after noon on Saturday.



The Calcutta Gazette

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1922.

PART II.

Advertisements.

LAND SALE NOTICES.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Faridpur will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 11 A.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tandi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
3199	Taluk Baga Mali Bose, pargana Telihat.	211 10 6	Whole	Surendra Nath Guha	...	316 9 7	...
6327	Taluk Mohini Mohan Das, pargana Nasti.	1,213 1 0	No ...	Residuary 8 annas share ...	Durga Das alias Shashi Bhushan Hazra and others.	606 8 5	...	2 15 11
				The following shares are excluded from sale :— Separate account No. 1, 2 annas share. Separate account No. 2, 6 annas share.				
6518	Taluk surplus accretion to Salepur, pargana Bahili, Tappa Mohanadpur.	1,568 0 0	Whole	Probbhat Chandra Chatterjee.	...	793 0 0	...

Faridpur, the 16th November 1922.

K. MAITRA, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estates, in the district of Chittagong, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

Tausi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estates.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
41	Thana Satkania, taraf Abul Fazal.	643 3 7	Whole	Fazarlal Sowdagar	190 15 7
64	Thana Fatikchery, Nilam Anandi Manullab.	1,160 0 3	Do.	Sachindra Nath Nandi and Rabindra Nath Nandi.	86 1 6
746	Thana Mirsarai, taraf Chipli doulat.	2,906 9 5	Do.	Sm. Majiba Khatoon and Manager for (a) Sayasta Khan.	237 11 6
1238	Thana Patiya, Hathazari, Raojan and town, taraf Iusaup.	2,265 15 8	Share No. 1	Rajendra Chandra Nag for (a) Keder Nath Tewari.	757 6 10	168 13 3
1242	Thana Satkania, Banskhali, Patiya and Anwara, taraf Imam Baksha.	697 0 6	Whole	Sm. Bina Bibi	206 15 0
1471	Thana Mirsarai, town Hathazari and Sitakund, kimat Kamarali, Babat taraf Ganga Axi.	3,434 4 0	Do.	Shek Delowatali Chowdhury.	1,010 10 4
1747	Thana Hathazari, Patiya, town and Raojan, taraf Monohar Rai.	2,490 10 3	Residuary share	Amar Ch. Kundu for (a) Krishna Das Kundu.	719 13 7	39 14 7
1885	Thana Hathazari and Raojan, taraf Mahamad Rafa Khan-shama.	924 9 6	Whole	Ram Kumar De	189 15 0
2186	Thana Satkania and Patiya, taraf Mohaukshore.	516 12 3	Do.	Tripura Charan Chowdhury for (a) Ananda Charan Chowdhury and others.	62 8 10
2411	Thana Anwara Banskhali, Sitakunda Fatikchery, Hathazari, Patiya, Raojan, town Satkania, Rangunia and Mirsarai, kimat Prababati, Bt. taraf Braja Kishore.	663 5 0	Residue	Ram Ch. Bhattacharjee for (a) Jogendra Ch. Bhattacharjee and others.	557 9 7	10 5 4
2554	Thana Chakaria, Patiya town and Raojan, taraf Ram Sundar Kanungo.	849 6 6	Residue	Sm. Rahimanirra for (a) Sultan Ahamad.	681 6 6	202 3 3
2562	Thana Fatikchery, Sitakund, Hathazari, Raojan, Patiya, town and Satkania, taraf Ram Bhadra Kanungo.	915 14 0	Whole	Shek Siddick Ahamad	26 5 6
33641	Thana Chakaria, mauza Dula Hazara, kaimi taluk (Kashi) Chandra Sen, son of late Debi Das Sen of Goirala.	1,055 3 0	Do.	Nur Ahamad and others.	164 14 0

Chittagong, the 20th November 1922.

H. K. GHOSE, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Noakhali, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tansi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		<i>Estates.</i>		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 6 1577	Thak Char Sekandar, pargana Zandra.	694 13 0	Whole	Ram Kesab Poddar and others.	Land Revenue— 86 3 0 Cess— 55 6 2 <hr/> 121 9 2
				<i>Khas mahal tenures.</i>				
Lot No. 13 1576	Nilaki diara, tenure No. 34 ...	941 12 0	Whole	Uma Charan Saha and others.	Rent— 200 0 0 Cess— 24 6 0 <hr/> 220 0 0
Lot No. 15 1627	Char Meher, abad No. 6 ...	1,627 14 0	Do.	Jadav Chandra Bhatta-charjee and others.	Rent— 386 9 0 Cess— 53 8 0 <hr/> 409 10 0
Lot No. 16 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 1.	2,999 7 0	Do.	Azmaterness Choudhuran.	Rent— 654 12 0 Cess— 166 0 0 <hr/> 820 12 0
Lot No. 17 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 24.	692 15 0	Do.	Aptar Ali and others...	Rent— 141 4 0 Cess— 34 12 6 <hr/> 176 0 6
Lot No. 20 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 47.	559 8 0	Do.	Jotirmoy Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 122 3 0 Cess— 18 0 9 <hr/> 140 3 9
Lot No. 21 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 48.	559 5 0	Do.	Sm. Sarala Sundari Mitra	Rent— 122 6 3 Cess— 14 10 2 <hr/> 137 0 5
Lot No. 22 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 50.	1,240 3 0	Do.	Jotirmay Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 271 4 6 Cess— 33 2 9 <hr/> 304 7 3

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		Khas mahal tenures— conold.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 27 1658	Char Bangsi, Kismat Lakshi, tenure No. 671.	883 11 0	Whole	Hara Lal Bhuiya	Rent— 36 14 0 Cess— 0 0 2 36 14 2
Lot No. 31 1659	Char Rahim, tenure No. 185 ...	699 1 0	Do.	Harendra Ranjan Das	Rent— 153 2 0 Cess— 8 4 0 161 6 0
Lot No. 40 1672	Naichira, tenure No. 50 ...	773 3 0	Do.	Munshi Musaharuddin Ahmed.	Rent— 386 10 0 Cess— 46 3 10 432 13 10
Lot No. 51 1686	Char Alexander, tenure No. 1	940 15 8	Do.	Serajul Haque and others.	Rent— 205 14 0 Cess— 20 0 0 225 14 0
Lot No. 52 1686	Char Alexander, tenure No. 4	534 12 0	Do.	Mahammad Bakht Meah.	Rent— 87 0 6 Cess— 23 0 0 110 0 6

SARAT CH. RAY, for Collector.

Noakhali, the 11th November 1922.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Khulna, will be put up for sale, at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Serial No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.				Rs. A. P.	Rs.	Rs. A. P.
170	Silmabad, pargana Silmabad.	5 2 annas 9 gandas. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Jadab Chandra Roy Chaudhury and others.	885 8 10	...	255 2 6
949	Hariachak, pargana Sundarbans.	3,065	The whole	Asa Bibi and others	3,065	...

Khulna, the 13th November 1922.

ILLEGIBLE, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Murshidabad, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 22nd December 1922, at 1 P.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
343	Mohanpur, pargana Mohanpur.	4,390 11 0	Yes	Nirodmohan, Khirodmohan and Asitmohan Ghoshmaulik.	Land revenue— 1,020 4 1 Cess— 227 8 9
341 E.	Madhupur mauza, pargana Kulberia.	1,351 0 8	No ...	8 annas ...	Khirod-mohan and Nirodmohan Ghoshmaulik.	925 8 4	Land revenue— 157 0 9 Cess— 56 8 0

Berhampore, the 17th November 1922.

W. S. ADIE, Collector of Murshidabad.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Hooghly, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 4th January 1923 at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
73	Gopainagore, pargana Jehanabad.	2,917 11 2	Nil	8a. 6g. 2c. 2kt. ...	Debendra Nath Ray and 21 others	1,519 10 5	Nil	228 7 4
72B	Ditto ...	2,917 11 2	Nil	4a. 3g. 1c. 1kt. ...	Sirapati Ray and others ...	759 13 0	Nil	112 14 11
4022B	Kalupukuria, pargana Jehanabad.	13,518 0 0	Nil	2a. 13g. 1c. 1kt. share of mauzas Kalupukuria, Ghasna, Ghosepur, Debikhandi, Basantapur, Manindpur and Kesmut-Jaykrishnapur.	Srimati Harimati Dasi, guardian of her minor son Nacoor Chander Roy and 5 others, mortgagee Nafar Chandra Mandole Saha and Ashutosh Hazara.	2,255 2 6	Nil	75 8 4

Hooghly, the 17th November 1922.

J. G. DUNLOP, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Birbhum will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 A.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for the share :—

Tasul No.	Name of mahal, and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
23	Damdama, pargana, Alinagar.	1,970 15 0	Whole estate.	Asit Mohon Ghosh Moulik and others.	...	113 0 0	...
24	Madanpur, pargana, Alinagar.	2,820 14 0	Do.	Ditto	170 0 0	...
31	Puranderpur, pargana, Puranderpur.	6,290 9 0	...	1 anna share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 8. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Satish Chandra Singh and others.	393 11 6	...	9 4 0
31	Ditto ...	6,290 9 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Kisori Lal Singh and others.	1,574 14 1	...	46 13 2
32	Jenaiapur, pargana, Alinagar.	1,267 7 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	316 13 9	...	4 10 0
451	Bakhul, pargana, Kutabpur.	2,806 5 0	...	6 annas share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 7. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Brojo Sundari Debya	1,032 4 5	...	20 15 0
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 2 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Siva Chandra Das and others.	76 12 4	...	6 2 4
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 2 koras share in separate account No. 3. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	76 11 9	...	2 4 9
1246	Huda Kutabpur, Fatepur with police, pargana Kutabpur, Fatepur.	2,216 0 9	...	14 annas 2 gondas 2 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Asit Mohan Ghosh Moulik, sebahit.	1,346 4 7	...	166 15 4

Sd/-, the 15th November 1922.

J. R. BLACKWOOD, Collector of Birbhum.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B. C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Tippera will be put up for sale at the Office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 P.M. for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tanzi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
2724	Char Krishnapura, No. 112, pargana Mahabatur.	1,055 0 0	Whole	...	Sayed Abdul Sattar Mia and others.	709 14 8
2690	Dakara Charipara, mauza pargana Bardakhat.	2,161 13 0	Do.	...	Nabin Chandra Bhadra and others.	47 10 0
2714	Maheshpur mauza, pargana Bardakhat.	1,265 6 0	Do.	...	Hari Das Rakshit and others.	290 13 3
2710	Mallpara mauza, pargana Bardakhat.	617 10 0	Do.	...	Bipin Chandra Dhar and others.	19 12 0

Comilla, the 14th November 1922.

D. H. WARES, Collector of Tippera.

APPENDIX C.

Advertisement of sale.

(See Rule 161.)

NOTICE is hereby given that the proprietary right of Government as specified in the conditions of sale below, to the undermentioned estate situate in the district of Burdwan, will be put up to sale at the Burdwan Collectorate on Monday, the 8th January 1923, corresponding with 24th Pous 1329 Bengali Era.

The purchasers will be subject to the following conditions of sale :—

Conditions of sale.

1st.—The estate to be sold to the highest bidder above the upset price, which will be fixed by the Collector at the time of sale. The purchaser of this estate will be considered as the proprietor of the estate, and the entire proprietary right of Government in such estate will be transferred to him, revenue-free.

2nd.—The sale to be subject to existing leases and to the right conferred by the settlement proceedings and the laws in force and purchasers to be bound to respect the rights of resident cultivators who have signed the schedule of assessment prepared by the Revenue authorities.

3rd.—If the amount of purchase-money do not exceed Rs. 100, the whole amount to be paid down at once.

4th.—If the amount of purchase-money exceed Rs. 100, one-fourth of the amount bid to be immediately deposited. If the balance be not paid by noon of the fifteenth day after the sale, reckoning the day of sale as one, or, if that day be a close holiday, then by noon of the first succeeding office day, the sale is to be cancelled (the sum deposited being forfeited to Government), and the estate to be again put to sale at the risk of the defaulting purchaser, after issue of advertisement, as in the case of original sale :—

Number on the district roll.	Name of estate and pargana.	Approximate area in acres.	Government Revenue assessed.
1	2	3	4
Tanzi No.—			As.
4227	Arubica, pargana Raipur	113	13
3610	Mandra, pargana Jahangirabad	465	11
5467	Krishnapore, pargana Burdwan	23	12
6694	Budbud old thana site, pargana Champainagor	31	12

Burdwan, the 14th November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Bakarganj will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 9th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Taxi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1448	Mahal Darihat, Rajbarigaui, pargana Bazargomedpur.	Rs. A. P. 721 13 0	Whole	Parbati Charan Chakrabarty.	Rs. A. P. ...	Rs. A. P. 268 12 6	Rs. A. P. ...
1631	Taluk Hamprosad, pargana Bazargomedpur.	6,526 7 4	...	7 annas 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 5 will be sold and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Lakhmi Pryn Guha and Rai Charan Guha, executors to the estate of late Ambica Charan Guha.	2,956 14 0	...	56 7 0
1631	Taluk Shib Joy Barajja, pargana Bazargomedpur.	6,130 3 4	...	6 annas 4 gandas 1 kara 2 kranis 1 danti residuary share will be sold and 3 annas 11 gandas 1 kranis 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 4 will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Jasada Lal Pal Chaudhury and others.	2,383 15 7	...	376 4 10
1661	Ditto	6,130 3 4	3 annas 11 gandas 1 kranis 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 4 will be sold and 6 annas 4 gandas 1 kara 2 kranis 1 danti residuary share will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Hira Lal Pal Choudhury and another.	1,362 4 8	299 4 1
1720	Zamindari share 8 annas 12 gandas 2 karanas 2 kranis, pargana Chaudradvip and others.	44,640 8 1	3 annas 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 2 will be sold and 1 anna 10 gandas share covered by separate account No. 7 will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Radha Charan Choudhury	2,041 3 3	1,742 2 1
1720	Ditto	44,610 8 1	...	1 anna 10 gandas share covered by separate account No. 7 will be sold and 3 annas 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 2 will be sold separately. All other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Ditto ditto ...	4,173 0 7	...	830 7 11
1725	Mauza Chikni Kandi, share 8 as. 10 gda., pargana Chaudradvip and others.	3,382 8 1	...	9 annas 2 gandas 2 karanas 10 gda. share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold and 6 gandas 1 kara 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 3 and 6 gandas 1 kara 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 5 will be sold separately. All other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Mr. George Joseph Jordan	1,329 15 6	...	808 8 2
6751	Taluk Nara Narayan Ghose, pargana Balarampur, Sujaabad.	1,529 0 3	...	8 annas residuary share will be sold and the remaining 8 as. share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold separately.	Rakhal Chandra Das himself as proprietor and guardian for his brother Broja Gopal Das.	764 8 1	...	230 9 8
6751	Ditto	1,529 0 3	...	8 annas share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold and the remaining 8 as. residuary share will be sold separately.	Ditto ditto ...	764 8 2	...	229 11 10

Barisal, the 21st November 1922.

H. GRAHAM, Collector.

Notification.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13 of Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates or shares of estates in the district of 24 Parganas will be put up to sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 10th January 1923 at 12 noon for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue :—

Tasul No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
351	Kismet Chak Haturia and others, pargana Baridhati and others.	39,787 0 9	16 annas minus 7 as. 16 gds. 3 crs. 1 kt. 1 dt. 3 kgs. share in respect of 103 mauzas (chak Haturia and others) excluding the shares in the mauzas Jadavpore, Masar, Arakpore, Shibpore, Mirzapore and Nona Kutabpore, and 12 as. 8 gds. 3 crs. 1 kt. 2 dts. shares in mauzas Jadavpore, Masar, Arakpore, Shibpore, Mirzapore and Nona Kutabpore and 4 as. 11 gds. 1 cr. 0 kt. 0 dt. shares of 103 mauzas (Chak Haturia and others). Separate account 191 H. S.	Nabo Kisore Mondal and others.	8,854 11 9	607 10 6
384	Taraf Manuraj and others, pargana Baridhati.	7,225 3 0	3 as. 11 gds. 1 kt. 1 dt. share in the estate. Separate account No. 384 H. S.	Shibo Nath Biswas and another.	1,604 9 9	596 2 9
384	Ditto	7,225 3 0	1 a. 15 gds. 2 crs. 2 dts. share in the estate. Separate account No. 384-3. All other shares besides that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Gurudas Biswas	802 13 1	348 1 1

H. CHATTERJI, for Collector.

Alipore, the 17th November 1922.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tasul No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
195	Estate Inalpur, pargana Gilahabari.	1,745 3 6	No	10 as. 6 gds. 2 crs. 2 krs. residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rabimuddin Chaudhury, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Mahamed Mohatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Karimonnese Chaudhury, Bazar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazley Bahaman Chaudhury and Abirannese Chaudhury, Salemanese Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun Chaudhury, Arab Bibi Chaudhury, Kallimonnese Chaudhury, Hason Bann Chaudhury, Gafurannese Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	1,127 1 11	...	44 3 5

Dinajpur, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
469 7	Estate Shyampur, pargana Gilahebari.	Rs. A. P. 1,745 3 6	No	5as. 6g. 2c. 2kr. share separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Sarafuddin Chaudhury and Daimuddin Chaudhury.	Rs. A. P. 581 11 19	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 83 15 19

Dinajpur, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Jessore, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5245	Gangadapur, pargana Matiali	Rs. A. P. 5,105 2 7	Yes	Hazari Lal Paramanik.	Rs. A. P. ...	Rs. A. P. 25 4 3	Rs. A. P. ...

Jessore, the 22nd November 1922.

C. C. V. R. SELLS, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Burdwan, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands for September 1922 kist, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share.

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
19	Gidhgram, etc., pargana Arsha.	Rs. A. P. 7,308 12 11	..	Residuary share 2a. 8g. 2k. 1kr. 2d. is to be sold. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Priotama Debi, scbait of Anra Purna Thakurani and others.	Rs. A. P. 1,572 5 11	Rs. A. P. ...	Rs. A. P. 53 1 7

Burdwan, the 21st November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue. When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Town No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
101	Estate Ramdebpur, pargana Phulbari.	3,187 13 4	No	4 annas residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rahimuddin Chaudhury, Kasim Bibi, Azimunnisa Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Amirunnisa Chaudhury, Saifunnisa Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazley Rahman Chaudhury and Salimunnisa Chaudhury, Saifunnisa Chaudhury and Latifunnisa Chaudhury, proprietresses and guardian of Fazley Rahman Chaudhury and Salimunnisa Chaudhury, Nehaluddin Chaudhury, Ismail Bibi Chaudhury, Ismail Bibi Chaudhury, guardian of Osmanuddin Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun, Khodja Khatun and Chhara Khatun, Sabau Bibi Chaudhury (guardian of Imamuddin Chaudhury), Ekramuddin Chaudhury and Abeda Khatun, Nizamuddin Chaudhury, Sabau Bibi Chaudhury, Kasirunnisa, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Mohamed Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Besar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazley Rahman Chaudhury and Abirunnisa Chaudhury, Shahbannisa Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun, Arshi Bibi Chaudhury, Kalimunnisa Chaudhury, Hason Banu Chaudhury, Gafurunnisa Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	796 12 7	...	28 0 7

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue :— When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Town No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
459	Estate Shyampur, pargana Ghilabari.	1,745 3 6	No	10 as. 6 gds. 2 c. 2 krs. residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rahimuddin Chaudhury, Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Kasim Bibi, Mahomed Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Mahomed Serajuddin Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Mohamed Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Shahbannisa Chaudhury, Besar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Abirunnisa Chaudhury, and Fazley Rahman Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun Chaudhury, Arshi Bibi Chaudhury, Kalimunnisa Chaudhury, Hason Banu Chaudhury, Gafurunnisa Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee and Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	1,127 1 11	75 15 4

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Nadia will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
212 0	Gargari, pargana Shehanzai...	...	No	11as. 5gds. 1c. 3kg. and 11 danti. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Samia Khaton Bibi and others.	3,929 11 0	...	793 13 6
299 6	Ukhra, pargana Ukhra	...	No	13gds. 1c. and 3 danti. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Surindra Nath Banerji and others.	2,557 15 10	...	93 5 8
491 7	Sadipur, pargana Rajpur	...	No	2as. 3kg. 4tils and 8 pon of til. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Padmabati Dasi and others.	2,256 2 7	...	513 8 8
3410	Bill Kachra, pargana Mahmud-shahi.	545 1 10	Whole	Dasi Sundari Dasi and others.	...	12 13 5	...
847	Char H-ripur Sutragar, pargana Santipur.	2,581 0 0	Do.	Maharajah Kshannish Chandra Roy Bahadur.	...	416 8 0	...
910	Char Gadkhali, pargana Ukhra	1,547 0 0	Do.	Hemlata Debi and another.	...	218 8 0	...
921	Char Kharianadi, pargana Chakla, Krishnagar.	756 0 0	Do.	Maharajah Kshannish Chandra Roy Bahadur.	...	55 0 0	...
3635	Dearah taluk Raja Pramatha Nath Roy and others, pargana Hapaula.	1,229 0 0	Do.	Satyendra Nath Tagore and others.	...	97 7 9	...

Krishnagar, the 22nd November 1922.

RAKHAL MOHAN BANERJI, Deputy Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate in the district of Burdwan will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, for September 1923 kist, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
5170	Dahakadanga, pargana Sehabad.	6,421 15 3	Residuary share—5 as. (eightannas) is to be sold. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Mon Mohini Dasi	2,210 15 3	478 1 5

Burdwan, the 21st November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
198 3	Estate Inalpur, pargana Gilahabari.	Rs. A. P. 1,745 3 6	No	5 as. 6 gds. 2 cs. 2 kts. share, separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Sarafuddin Chaudhury, Daimuddin Chaudhury.	Rs. A. P. 681 11 10	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 83 15 10

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. RAY, Collector.

Advertisement of sale.

(See rule 161 of Bengal Government Estates Manual, 1919.)

NOTICE is hereby given that the proprietary right of Government as specified in the conditions of sale below to the undermentioned estate situate in the district of Midnapore, will be put up to sale at the Midnapore Collectorate, on 10th January 1923 corresponding with 26th Paus 1329 (Bengali).

The purchasers will be subject to the following condition of sale :—

Condition of sale.

- 1st.—The estate to be sold to the highest bidder above the upset price which will be fixed by the Collector at the time of sale. The purchaser of this estate will be considered as the proprietor of the estate and the entire proprietary right of Government in such estate will be transferred to him revenue free.
- 2nd.—The sale to be subject to existing leases and to the rights conferred by the settlement proceedings and by the laws in force : and purchasers to be bound to respect the rights of resident cultivators who have signed the schedule of assessment prepared by the revenue authorities.
- 3rd.—If the amount of purchase money do not exceed Rs. 100, the whole amount to be paid down at once.
- 4th.—If the amount of purchase money exceed Rs. 100, one-fourth of the amount bid to be immediately deposited. If the balance be not paid by noon of the fifteenth day after the sale reckoning the day of sale as one or if that day be a close holiday, then by noon of the first succeeding office day the sale is to be cancelled (the sum deposited being forfeited to Government) and the estate to be again put up to sale at the risk of the defaulting purchaser, after issue of advertisement, as in the case of original sale :—

Number on the district roll.	Name of estate and pargana.	Approximate area in acres.	Government revenue assessed.	Remarks.
3053	Estate Sreebara, pargana Mondalghat.	20	Rs. A. P. 0 15 0	Plot No. 322 area in the present settlement 13 kl. 6 ch. or 26 acre.

ILLEGIBLE, for Collector.

Collector's office, Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922.

Notice of sale for arrears of revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868 and Act II (B.C.) of 1871, that the undermentioned Noabad taluks within the Satkania khas mahal in the district of Chittagong, will be put up to public and unreserved sale at the Collector's office of that district on the 10th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cesses remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922 :—

Number.	Name of mauza, thana and mahal.	Name of proprietor.	SADAR JAMA.		AMOUNT OF ARREARS FOR WHICH THE TENURE IS TO BE SOLD.		
			Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
3 403	Thana Bashkhally, mauza, Puichari, mahal Noabad, taluk Moberakali Bakshally.	Makbul Ali Chowdhury, son of Md. Sarif Miaji of Chuta Chanoon, Basharat Ali Chowdhury, son of Jafar Ali of Puichari.	3,125 0 0	462 0 6	1,171 14 0	173 4 0	1,345 2 0
31,1823 35059	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Napora, mahal Noabad, Hal taluk Raj Kumar Rai.	Ijrat Ali Chowdhury and Ali-maddin Chowdhury, sons of Jafar Ali Chowdhury of Puichari.	1,137 7 2	108 0 6	427 2 0	40 8 0	467 10 0
17 420	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Chambal, mahal Noabad, taluk Tajanissa.	Abdul Karim Chowdhury, son of Fazar Ali, of Tailardwip.	1,954 4 0	229 15 0	1,555 0 0	229 15 0	1,784 15 0
62 477	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Chambal, mahal Noabad, taluk Abdul Majid.	Ditto	531 6 0	68 7 3	199 4 0	25 11 0	224 15 0
4051 35000	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Buroonchara, mahal Noabad, Hal taluk Kanta Prasad Ramdin Hazari.	Sm. Mathuria Debye, widow of Ramdin Hazari on behalf of her minor sons Rajnarayan Hazari and Sib Dnyal Hazari, of Andar Killa, thana Town.	1,942 5 6	328 8 0	414 5 6	125 1 0	539 6 6
5415 4796	Thana Satkania, mauza Barhatia, mahal Noabad, taluk Lal Mahamad Daroga.	Sarada Kripa Lala and Giriya Kripa Lala, sons of Pran Hari Lala of Popadia.	1,052 12 0	144 0 9	303 0 0	54 0 3	357 0 3
5685 5080	Thana Satkania, mauza Digar Putibila, mahal Noabad, taluk Nilmani.	Jagat Chandra Bhattacharjee, son of Ram Das Bhattacharjee of Chanhara, Maulvi Basal Rahman of Hasimpur.	566 8 0	101 1 0	566 8 0	101 1 3	667 9 3

Chittagong the 16th November 1922.

L. BIRLEY, Collector.

Notice of sale for arrears of revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act IX of 1859, read with Act VII (B.S.) of 1868, and Act 1871 that the undermentioned Noabad taluks within the Sadar khas mahal office in the district of Chittagong will be put up to public and unreserved sale at Collector's office of that district on the date of 9th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cess remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922 :—

Serial No.	Number of taluk.	Name of taluk with its situation,	Names of proprietors.	SADAR JAMA.		ARREARS.		
				Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	855 20134	Mauza Bakalia, thana Town, taluk Ahmad Ali.	Munshi Mosharaf Ali and Abdul Aziz and others.	1,735 4 0	233 9 6	387 2 3	70 10 9	457 13 0
2	4120 4355	Mauza Compaynagore, thana Mirsarai, taluk Ebar Ali.	Abdul Majid Jaker Hossain.	1,244 14 0	253 11 6	894 7 0	182 4 9	1,076 11 9
3	4618 23929	Mauza Hispania, thana Patlick-cherry, taluk Farad Jafar.	Nepru Chai Mong Raja	825 3 0	38 8 0	81 0 0	14 8 6	95 8 3

Chittagong, the 16th November 1922.

L. RIBLEY, Collector.

Notice of Sale for arrears of Revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868 and Act II (B.C.) of 1871, that undermentioned Noabad taluk within Raozan khas mahal, in the district of Chittagong, will be put up to public and unreserved sale at the Collector's office of that district on the 9th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cesses remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922.

Serial No.	No. of taluk.	Name of taluk with its situation.	Name of proprietor.	SADAR JAMA.		ARREAR.		
				Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	4440 31899	Monza Debipur Kadam-tali, thana Ranguni, mahal Noabad, taluk Kaluda Barua.	Romesh Chandra and others	RS. A. P. 612 13 9	RS. A. P. 51 2 3	RS. A. P. 1,312 2 7	RS. A. P. 139 0 4	RS. A. P. 1,451 2 11

Chittagong, the 16th November 1922.

L. BIRLEY, Collector.

IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA.

Statement of the affairs of the Imperial Bank of India on the 17th November 1922.

LIABILITIES.				ASSETS.			
		Rs.	A. P.			Rs.	A. P.
Subscribed Capital	...	11,24,84,000	0 0	Government Securities	...	8,36,64,000	0 0
Capital paid up	...	5,62,46,000	0 0	Other authorized securities under the Act	...	1,26,09,000	0 0
Reserve	...	4,11,89,000	0 0	Loans	...	16,60,51,000	0 0
Public Deposits	...	9,07,74,000	0 0	Cash credits	...	25,99,18,000	0 0
Other Deposits	...	67,21,58,000	0 0	Inland bills discounted and purchased	...	5,00,65,000	0 0
Loans against securities per contra	...	1,16,92,000	0 0	Foreign bills discounted and purchased	...	16,71,000	0 0
Contingent Liabilities		Bullion	
Sundries	...	1,20,78,000	0 0	Dead Stock	...	2,48,26,000	0 0
				Liability of constituents for contingent liabilities per contra	
				Sundries	...	52,92,000	0 0
				Balances with other Banks	...	5,09,000	0 0
						60,45,45,000	0 0
				Cash	...	27,95,92,000	0 0
						88,41,37,000	0 0
						88,41,37,000	0 0

The above balance sheet includes—

Deposits in London ... £ 187,400

Advances in London ... £ 959,200

Cash and balances at other Banks in London ... £ 28,100

Percentage 35.53.

Bank Rate 5%.

R. AITKEN,

W. B. HUNTER,

Managing Governors.

Calcutta, the 23rd November 1922.

(2403—1)

Statement of Government Promissory Notes enfaced for payment of interest in London

Under deduction of amount re-transferred to India, and outstanding in the Books of the Imperial Bank of India on the 15th November 1922.

Particulars.	3 per cent. of 1895-97.	3½ PER CENT. LOANS					4 PER CENT.		INDIAN WAR LOAN.		
		of 1842-43.	of 1894-95.	of 1895.	of 1879.	of 1900-01.	Terminable Loan of 1915-16.	Conversion Loan of 1916-17.	5 per cent. War Loan, 1920-47.	5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1920.	5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1922.
Balance of 31st October 1922	18,17,100	57,37,300	2,93,78,400	1,20,01,900	37,71,600	21,90,250	27,300	2,40,14,400	22,46,750	100	12,950
ADD—											
Amount of Loan Certificate transferred to Stock in London											
Amount issued in London by conversion under Notification No.											
Amount enfaced at Madras up to											
Amount enfaced at Bombay up to											
Amount enfaced at Calcutta between 1st and 15th November 1922		7,000	2,000	31,300	3,000	3,000					
Total	18,17,100	57,44,300	2,93,78,400	1,20,33,200	37,74,600	21,93,250	27,300	2,40,14,400	22,46,750	100	12,950
DEDUCT—											
Amount written off in the London Registers				12,500							
Balance on 15th November 1922	18,17,100	57,44,300	2,93,78,400	1,20,20,700	37,74,600	21,93,250	27,300	2,40,14,400	22,46,750	100	12,950

Particulars.	SECOND INDIAN WAR LOAN—					5 per cent. Loan, 1945-55.	Ten year 6 per cent. Bonds, 1930.	Five year 6 per cent. Bonds, 1926.	Ten year 6 per cent. Bonds, 1931.	Five year 6 per cent. Bonds, 1927.	Ten year 6 per cent. Bonds, 1932.	Total.
	5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1923.	5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1925.	5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1928.	5 per cent. Loan, 1945-55.	5 per cent. Loan, 1945-55.							
Balance of 31st October 1922	14,400	44,100	93,450	3,76,300	22,72,300	62,59,400	65,18,600	39,58,400	37,90,200			10,45,18,500
ADD—												
Amount of Loan Certificate transferred to Stock in London												
Amount issued in London by conversion under Notification No.												
Amount enfaced at Madras up to												
Amount enfaced at Bombay up to												
Amount enfaced at Calcutta between 1st and 15th November 1922			5,000									51,300
Total	14,400	44,100	93,450	3,76,300	22,72,300	62,59,400	65,18,600	39,58,400	37,90,200			10,45,69,800
DEDUCT—												
Amount written off in the London Registers					6,500							18,000
Balance on 15th November 1922	14,400	44,100	93,450	3,76,300	22,65,800	62,59,400	65,18,600	39,58,400	37,90,200			10,45,50,800

NOTE.—From 9th June 1907 to 15th Sept. 1922—Enfaced from India 13,054 lacs, re-transferred from London 13,383 lacs.
 " 16th Sept. 1922 to 20th " " " " " 1 lac " " " 1 lac.
 " 1st Oct. " to 15th Oct. " " " " " 1 " " " 1 "
 " 16th " " to 31st " " " " " 22 lacs " " " 3 lacs.
 " 1st Nov. " to 15th Nov. " " " " " 1 lac " " " "

13,078 lacs. 13,383 lacs.

A. D. S. HIGHTON,
Superintendent.D. S. MCCLURE,
Secretary & Treasurer (offg.).PUBLIC DEBT OFFICE, IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA,
Calcutta, the 22nd November 1922.

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR THE PORT OF CALCUTTA.

Notice of sale under sections 118 and 119 of the Calcutta Port Act, III of 1890 (B.C.).

NOTICE is hereby given that the liability of the Commissioners for the undermentioned goods consigned to order *ex* the undermentioned vessels has, in terms of section 113 of the abovementioned Act, ceased on the expiration of three clear days from the date of landing. These goods accordingly remain on the Port Commissioners' premises at the sole risk and expense of the owners, and if not cleared on or before the 6th January 1923 on payment of all charges due, will be sold by public auction.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 9.			
SS. "Saigou Maru."			
31st Oct. 1922 ...	C M in a diamond ...	11	Cases insulator.
1st Nov. " ...	Ditto ...	30	Bags iron bolt.
1st " " ...	X in a circle ...	2	Cases glass ware.
1st " " ...	J R M S in a diamond ...	15	Cases copper wire.
31st Oct. " ...	K E ...	50	Cases salmon.
1st Nov. " ...	W 815 in a diamond, Singapur below ...	1	Case merchandise.
SS. "Mandasor."			
23rd Oct. 1922 ...	A B & Co in a diamond ...	1	Case biscuits.
25th " " ...	5055 in a diamond, B T on top, D below.	8	Bales cottons.
25th " " ...	= 5057 = ...	13	Ditto.
25th " " ...	= 5056 = ...	8	Ditto.
25th " " ...	= 5054 = ...	8	Ditto.
25th " " ...	= 5053 = ...	8	Ditto.
25th " " ...	= 5051 = ...	8	Ditto.
27th " " ...	= 5052 = ...	8	Ditto.
23rd " " ...	3034 Co-operative Beneras in a diamond, R L on top, K Co below.	1	Cask (China).
27th " " ...	3044 Co-operative Beneras in a diamond, R D on top, K Co below.	1	Cask (earthenware).
23rd " " ...	4726 in a diamond ...	1	Case (lantern samples).
SHED No. 8.			
SS. "Madura."			
25th Oct. 1922 ...	2978 A K & Sons in a diamond, R L on top, K Co. below.	4	Cases merchandise.
26th " " ...	C 17204 in a diamond, R D on top ...	4	Casks merchandise.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 8.—concl'd.			
SS. "Madura"—concl'd.			
21st Oct. 1922 ...	D M C	1	Case cotton thread.
21st " " ...	F A	87	Drums silicate of soda (H. G.).
27th " " ...	J C in a diamond, Jalpaiguri ...	1	Case salt.
24th " " ...	1002 in a block, M on top, O C on sides	2	Cases cotton.
23rd " " ...	N in a diamond, S on top, S C on sides ...	2	Cases merchandise.
26th " " ...	2983 R L S L in a diamond, R L on top, K Co. below.	2	Ditto.
25th " " ...	3011 S K & Sons in a diamond, R L on top, K Co. below.	1	Case merchandise.
25th " " ...	3011 S K & Sons in a diamond, R L on top, K Co. below.	2	Cases merchandise.
SHED No. 9.			
SS. "Tosa Maru."			
7th Nov. 1922	B K P in a diamond	2	Cases glassware.
6th " " ...	B K D in a diamond	9	Cases merchandise.
6th " " ...	C C in a diamond	7	Ditto.
6th " " ...	C P T in a diamond	7	Ditto.
6th " " ...	H A	4	Bags stick lac.
7th " " ...	J U in a block	2	Packages soap stone ointment.
8th " " ...	To the Japanese Consulate Genl. No. 7 London Street.	2	Cases printed matter.
8th " " ...	K J in a triangle	1	Bag betel nuts.
7th " " ...	500-5 in a diamond, M R on top, I D below, Delhi.	1	Case merchandise.
7th " " ...	M M in a block	2	Cases merchandise.
8th " " ...	P M in a diamond	1	Case silk goods.
8th " " ...	S A R	1	Bag gunny.
8th " " ...	T M in a diamond	1	Case silk goods.
7th " " ...	V & Co in a diamond	3	Cases tin toys.
8th " " ...	Nil	1	Bundle cane (containing 8 pieces canes).
8th " " ...	Nil	2	Pieces Lady's underskirt.
8th " " ...	Nil	1	Package galvanised material.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 8.			
SS. "Hatarana."			
16th May 1921 ...	2883 in a triangle, 27407 ...	1	Case merchandise.
13th " " ...	2883 in a triangle ...	1	Ditto.
16th " " ...	2885 in a triangle, 27407 ...	1	Ditto.
20th " " ...	Nil ...	1	Cask merchandise.
16th " " ...	G S D 92 ...	1	Case merchandise.
SS. "Pruth."			
11th Sept. 1922...	Nil ...	1	Bottle brandy.
11th " " ...	Do. ...	2	Pints brandy.
SS. "Hatarana."			
24th Nov. 1920...	Nil ...	2	Drums merchandise.
SS. "Muttra."			
7th July 1920 ...	Nil	A quantity of stone bricks.
SHED No. 9.			
SS. "Colaba."			
1st Feb. 1922 ...	Nil ...	2	Cases letter papers.
SHED No. 7.			
SS. "Nevasa."			
1st Mar. 1920 ...	Supply Reserve Depot, R A S C ...	1	Case cigarettes.
SHED No. 9.			
SS. "Sangola."			
23rd May 1922 ...	K P ...	1	Bag saltpetre.
SS. "Hatipara."			
9th Dec. 1920 ...	Nil ...	1	Case salt.
30th Nov. 1920 ...	Nil ...	1	Case containing 50 tins tapioca.
SHED No. 2.			
SS. "Perim."			
24th Oct. 1922 ...	Allynugger in a double triangle, D B on top, & Co on side, 3441-45, 3451.	6	Cases merchandise.
24th " " ...	Ditto, 3446-50 ...	5	Casks merchandise.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 2—contd.			
SS. "Perlm"—contd.			
25th Oct. 1922 ...	A C D Calcutta in a block 1 to 20	20	Cases vinegar.
24th " " ...	Chattapara in a double triangle, D B on top, & Co. on side, 3461-66, 3468-69.	8	Cases merchandise.
25th " " ...	—Do.—, 3467	1	Cask medicine.
24th " " ...	3028 Co-operative in a diamond, R L on top, K Co on sides, Banares City below, 674-5.	2	Cases toys.
24th " " ...	Dauracherra in a double triangle, D B on top, & Co. on side, 1-5.	5	Cases medicine.
24th " " ...	D D T C H, 101	1	Crate merchandise.
24th " " ...	—Do.—, 106	1	Case merchandise.
24th " " ...	Deamoolia, 76	1	Case machinery parts.
27th " " ...	D D T C R, 40	1	Case pump fittings.
24th " " ...	Dhullia Calcutta, 304	1	Bag machinery.
24th " " ...	—Do.—, 305	1	Package iron bars.
24th " " ...	6198 in a diamond, D Co below	1	Case merchandise.
27th " " ...	D D T C B, 108	1	Ditto.
28th " " ...	D D T C R Chittagong, 155	1	Bundle rail material.
25th " " ...	Gramophone & Co, Ltd.	1	Case gramophone.
24th " " ...	Kurmah in a double triangle, D B on top, & Co on side, 1-7.	7	Cases merchandise.
26th " " ...	K L S Calcutta in a block 1 to 20	20	Cases vinegar.
24th " " ...	K C M C in a diamond, J K Agrah below, 1-10.	10	Cases printing ink.
24th " " ...	Kunchunparu in a diamond, D B on top, & Co on sides, 1-4.	4	Cases medicine.
24th " " ...	9299 in a diamond, L S on top, 1 below	1	Case writing paper.
24th " " ...	Madabpore in a diamond, D B on top, & Co on sides, 1-7.	7	Cases medicine.
23rd " " ...	P S A C Dibrugarh 300	1	Case provisions.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 2—concl'd. SS. "Perim"—concl'd.			
23rd Oct. 1922	P S A C 162 Dibrugarh ...	1	Case matches (H. godown).
23rd " "	S L B J J L & Co Katmandu ...	33	Cases merchandise.
24th " "	Ditto 37 ...	1	Bag paper.
24th " "	S 343 in a diamond, S C on sides, 700-1	2	Cases needles.
SS. "Nankin."			
2nd May 1922 ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top, 5518-22.	5	Crates machinery.
2nd " " ...	L D in a diamond, S on top, I D on sides, 6490-6522, 7319-35, 7257.	51	Cases bolts and nuts.
2nd " " ...	L D in a diamond, S on top, I X on sides, 5079-99, 5493-97, 5420-21.	28	Ditto.
2nd " " ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top, D below, 6523-29, 6531-46.	23	Crates Gullers pipes.
2nd " " ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top, 5100-2 ...	3	Crates ridging pipes.
2nd " " ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top, 5402 ...	1	Case bolts and nuts.
1st " " ...	Ditto, N-N ...	25	Bundles packages constructural iron works (Police yard).
1st " " ...	Ditto, N-N ...	103	Pieces and packages constructural iron works (Police yard).
9th " " ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top, N N	66	Pieces girder. (M. Ghat.)
1st " " ...	Ditto, 5209-10, 5221-22, 5213-5218.	6	Pieces girder. (Police yard.)
SHED No. 4. SS. "Konde Kirk."			
20th Oct. 1922 ...	B N C M , 36 W P C ...	1	Bundle paper.
20th " " ...	An inverted triangle on circle, 4 on top, 6725.	1	Case essential oil.
20th " " ...	J P S, 20-22 ...	3	Cases wooden ware.
23rd " " ...	20818 in a diamond, K K on top, 28715-24.	10	Cases wonder candles.
20th " " ...	K S 1481 Welter of Ruhe Mach Hagen ...	1	Case merchandise.
22nd " " ...	A diamond, K K on top ...	1	Ditto.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 4—concl'd.			
SS. "Konde Kirk"—concl'd.			
23rd Oct. 1922 ...	Nil	1	Case merchandise
20th " " ...	^A R E A Bagdad, 220. ...	1	Ditto.
20th " " ...	T E in a heart, 229 on top, Bombay below	1	Ditto.
20th " " ...	11 in a triangle, T on top, U C on sides, 5993-94.	2	Cases essential oil.
22nd " " ...	^T W M 2556-65 ... Rangoon	10	Casks merchandise.
21st " " ...	M R & Co, 110 Calcutta	1	Crate machinery.
23rd " " ...	Becoming, 50-21 ...	1	Case merchandise.
SS. "Explorer."			
27th Oct. 1922 ...	B B in a diamond, T on top, Y F below, 2714.	1	Case machinery. (Police yard.)
25th " " ...	D D T C, 20-21, 41 B	3	Crates machinery. (Police yard.)
25th " " ...	Ditto, X ...	1	Crate machinery. (M. Ghat.)
25th " " ...	Ditto, 22, 23, 25, 42	4	Cases machinery. (Police yard.)
25th " " ...	Ditto, 40 ...	1	Case machinery.
25th " " ...	D D T C, 1 ...	1	Case files.
25th " " ...	H in a triangle, R on top, 20	1	Case merchandise.
25th " " ...	J W in a diamond, N-N ...	1	Case Old Tom gin (W. godown.)
25th " " ...	Madan, 7380 ...	1	Case chocolate confectionery.
25th " " ...	W E D in a diamond X ...	1	Case catalogue.
SHED No. 6.			
SS. "Trifels."			
31st Oct. 1922 ...	Brand B in a diamond, A L on top, N below, 23683-23732.	50	Cases hurricane lanterns.
31st " " ...	B & W, 1 25	1	Case Russia brand.
31st " " ...	B & W 12	1	Case merchandise.
1st Nov. " " ...	$\frac{279}{23}$ in a square, Belgica on top, 1-3	3	Cases Petromoso high candle power lamp.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
	SHED No. 6—contd.		
	SS. "Trifels"—contd.		
1st Nov. 1922 ...	C W, 2314	1	Case milk boxes acc.
30th Oct. " ...	F B, 21207	1	Case lamp.
1st Nov. " ...	20G in a diamond, I C on top, 34-66	33	Cases aluminium circles.
30th Oct. " ...	N in a circle, S S C on top, 228-37, 243-247, 262-69, 252-255, 277-84, 288-93, 296-303.	49	Cases sparklers.
6th Nov. " ...	N S S, 835	1	Case inkstand.
2nd " " ...	Pania 651 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4451-57.	7	Cases Gablonz gong.
2nd " " ...	Pania 588 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4170-72, 4185-87, 4202-05.	10	Ditto.
1st " " ...	Pania 572 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4720-24.	5	Ditto.
30th Oct. " ...	Pania 581 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4704-07.	4	Ditto.
31st " " ...	Pania, 4806-19	14	Ditto.
30th " " ...	Physlate 202 in a diamond, O H M S on top	1	Case glassware.
31st " " ...	P C D 22 in a diamond, 23	1	Ditto.
30th " " ...	P N S 3795 in a diamond, K C on top, 2446 55	10	Cases hollow glass.
31st " " ...	P C D 39 in a diamond, OH WS on top, 7	1	Case G'ware.
1st Nov. " ...	39 in a diamond, OH WS on top, 5...	1	Ditto.
2nd " " ...	P C D 39 in a circle, 4	1	Case laboratory goods.
30th Oct. " ...	N 1009 in a diamond, S S on top, 11067-1	1	Case toys.
6th Nov. " ...	B Suthia in a diamond, A B on top, & Co 769 2645 below, 46	2	Cases Gablonz goods.
31st Oct. " ...	S Z K in a diamond, Y I on top ...	1	Case camera.
31st " " ...	240 in a diamond, 98264	1	Case wire.
30th " " ...	11 in a triangle, V P C on top, 6723 24	2	Cases essential oil.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 6—concl'd. SS. "Trifels"—concl'd.			
30th Oct. 1922 ...	1270 in a diamond, W H on top, L C 2165 below, 1-20.	20	Cases iron ware.
SHED No. 4. SS. "Margha."			
26th Aug. 1921	No mark	1	Cask merchandise.
28th June " ...	W in a diamond or no mark	2	Casks merchandise.
28th " " ...	No mark	1	Case containing 2 bags lead shots.
SHED No. 2. SS. "Takada."			
21st Sept. 1921	A T	1	Case sparkling burgandy.
21st " " ...	No mark	1	Keg paint.
SHED No. 5. SS. "Canara."			
3rd March 1922	No mark	3	Bags lead shots.
6th " " ...	Do.		A quantity of sugar sweepings.
SS. "Warfield."			
10th Aug. 1922	No mark	1	Case containing belting syrup.
10th " " ...	Do.	1	Drum merchandise.
SS. "Ethiopia."			
8th Sept. 1922 ...	No mark	1	Bundle books.
SHED No. 27. SS. "Chilka." <i>Cargo from K. P. Docks.</i>			
24th April 1922	No mark	1	Bag containing four bottles vermouth.
SHED No. 25. SS. "Boyne."			
28th July 1922 ...	No mark	1	Bag sugar.
28th " " ...	Do.	2	Empty cases.
Unidentified goods.			
.....	No mark	121	Tins Plasmon arrowroot.
.....	Do.	12	Tins Plasmon arrowroot empty.
.....	Do.	1	Old shirt.
.....	Do.	1	Bundle padlocks.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 2.			
SS. "Clan Murray."			
20th Oct. 1922 ...	Nil	1	Piece round bar.
SHED No. 4 (A GHAT).			
SS. "Konde Kirk."			
20th Oct. 1922 ...	B N G M W P C	12	B S papers.
23rd " " ...	Becomin, 63	8	Pieces wheels.
SHED No. 5 (M. S.).			
SS. "Mahanada."			
8th May 1922 ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top ...	282	Pieces B. materials.
9th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	86	Bundles B. materials.
10th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	23	Ditto.
11th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	86	Pieces B. materials.
15th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	75	Pieces and packages B. materials.
15th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	6	Bundles B. materials.
SHED No. 2 (M. S.).			
SS. "Nankin."			
1st May 1922 ...	L D in a diamond, I S on top ...	91	Bundles B. materials.
2nd " " ...	= Ditto = ...	16	Crates B. materials.
5th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	2	Packages B. materials.
15th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	10	Pieces B. materials.
19th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	6	Bundles B. materials.
23rd " " ...	= Ditto = ...	132	Ditto.
9th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	29	Pieces and packages B. materials.
10th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	8	Pieces B. materials (A. Ghat).
15th " " ...	= Ditto = ...	26	Bundles B. materials.
23rd " " ...	= Ditto = ...	6	Ditto.
23rd " " ...	= Ditto = ...	1	Piece B. materials.
(Police Yard.)			
23rd May 1922 ...	L D in a diamond, I S on sides ...	168	Pieces and packages B. materials.

W. A. BURNS, Traffic Manager.

Port Commissioners' Office, Calcutta, the 29th November 1922.

(2410—1)

SUIT No. 1497 of 1922.

**In the High Court of Judicature at
Fort William in Bengal.**

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Nandramdas Mathuradas *versus* A. W. Perot.

Sheriff's Proclamation of Sale.

TO be peremptorily sold on Saturday, the 9th day of December 1922, at the hour of 12 o'clock noon, by the Sheriff of Calcutta in the Court house pursuant to a decree, dated the 31st day of May 1922, and the order, dated the 11th day of July 1922, made by the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta in the above suit, the under-mentioned moveable property belonging to the defendant :—

1. Ten electric chandeliers.
2. Two hundred and fifty electric bulbs.
3. Electric apparatus and appliances.
4. One dynamo motor.
5. Four hanging electric fans.
6. Fifteen table fans.
7. Two clocks.
8. Three wooden framed glass cases with contents.
9. One iron safe with contents.
10. Ten electric ovens.

The conditions of sale may be seen and other particulars obtained at the office of Mr. J. K. Sarkar, Attorney-at-Law and Attorney for the Plaintiff firm abovenamed, at No. 10, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta, on any day before the sale and will be produced at the sale.

The sum for the recovery of which the sale has been ordered is Rs. 3,700 with interest and costs and the costs of execution.

J. K. SARKAR, Attorney for the Plaintiff firm, High Court, Original Side, 10, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta.

W. C. CURRIE, Sheriff of Calcutta.

Sheriff's Office, the 17th November 1922. (2373—1)

Sheriff's Sale Proclamation.

TO be peremptorily sold pursuant to an order, dated the 28th day of February 1922, made by the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal in its Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction in execution of the decree in suit No. 123 of 1921 wherein Peace's Slipway and Engineering Works, Limited, is the plaintiff and Messrs. Frederic Goebels & Company are the defendants and dated the 1st day of March 1921 by the Sheriff of Calcutta in his sale room on the ground floor of the Court house by public auction, on Saturday, the 9th day of December 1922, at the hour of 12 o'clock noon, the undermentioned moveable properties as per schedule hereunder belonging to the defendant.

The amount for the recovery of which the sale has been ordered is Rs. 6,000 and interest and the costs of execution.

The condition of sale may be seen at the office of the Sheriff of Calcutta on any day before the sale and will be produced at the time of sale.

W. C. CURRIE, Sheriff.

Kesteven Gooding & Co., Attorneys for the Plaintiff,
High Court, Original Side, the 21st day of
November 1922.

Schedule of properties above referred to—

At No. 27, Ezra Mansions.

- | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 7 Tables. | 1 Electric fan. |
| 9 Chairs. | 1 Dirty clothes basket. |
| 1 Almirah. | 1 Foot rug. |
| 1 Letter press. | 1 Wash hand stand. |
| 2 Clocks. | 4 Wooden partitions. |

And at No. 11, Dacres Lane.

1 Motor Car No. 1617.

(2396—1)

NOTICE.

**In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at
Midnapore.**

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nogendra Nath Ghose, son of Akshoy Kumar Ghose, of Kolesbanda, town Midnapore, (2) Nafar Chandra Duari, son of Khudiram Duari, of Choita, (3) Lakshmi Dasi, wife of Kumar Bera, of Jugdiha, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 596 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 596 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Gaigkata Jugdiha, thana No. 245, interest No. 47 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1 Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2043—1—2228)

NOTICE.

**In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at
Midnapore.**

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Jagnewar Mahato, of Dakshinsole, (2) Beharilal Mohish, son of Kartik Chandra Mohish, of Satpati, (3) Lakshinaran Mahato, son of Rotiram Mahato, of Chainpur, (4) Bhutnath Mahato, son of Shyama Charan Mahato, of Bhangaband, (5) Ghana-yam Mahato, son of Gour Mahato, of Dakshinsole, (6) Chhoto Behari Mahato, of Chainpur, (7) Rupai Das, son of Guruprasad Das, of Bhatmore, (8) Upendra Bhumij, minor son of Jogiram Bhumij, by his guardian mother Rojoni Dasi, of Dhanyasole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 652 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 652 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dakshinsole, thana No. 189, interest No. 66, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2048—1—2233)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Santomoyi Dasi, wife of Upendra Nath Kalamuri, (2) Sm. Niroda Dasi, wife of Babulal Kalamuri, of Manikpur, town Midnapore, (3) Russik Lal Samanta, son of Kashinath Samanta, (4) Panchanon Samanta, son of Biprasad Samanta, (5) Rakhal Bhumij, son of Muchiram Bhumij, of Khorikasuli, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 625 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 625 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Khorikasuli, thana No. 232, interest No. 74 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 29th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2044—1—2229)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sm. Thakamoni Dasi, wife of Dinabandhu Das, of Mirjabajar, town Midnapore, (2) Doyal Mahato, son of Bholanath Mahato, (3) Digam Mahato, (4) Giri Mahato themselves, (5) Lal Chand Mahato, minor, by their guardian Sundari Dasi, mother, (6) Jhori Mahato, (7) Surendra Mahato, (8) Nokul Mahato, minors, by their guardian mother Sori Bewa, of Dhapra, (9) Sarat Chandra Sau, son of Haradon Sau, (10) Soshi Maity, son of Mohendra Maity, of Jara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 636 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 636 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Dhapra, thana No. 211, interest No. 62 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2045—1—2230)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Lakshmi Das, (2) Srinibash Das, (3) Srikanta Das, sons of late Nitai Das, of Barakalsibhanga, (4) Arjun Mahato, son of Bikal Mahato, of Sirahi, (5) Uchit Mahato, son of Narain Mahato, (6) Ishan Mahato, son of Nabaghana Mahato, (7) Kinu Mahato, son of Sibul Mahato, of Barakalsibhanga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 648 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 648 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Parur Ayma, thana No. 204, interest No. 23, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 2nd December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2046—1—2231)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Basanta Kumar Saoo, son of Gopal Chandra Saoo, of Chirimarsai, town Midnapore, (2) Girish Mahato, son of Kailash Mahato, of Lalerdihi, (3) Gupi Mahato, son of Modhu Mahato, (4) Gopal Mahato, son of Boishnab Charan Mahato, (5) Mohesh Mahato, son of Sonaton Mahato, of Lalerdihi, (6) Radhanath Betal, son of Gopal Betal, (7) Khudiram Nandi, son of Arun Nandi, of Satpati, (8) Ramanath Das, son of Tarachand Das, (9) Lakshman Hati, minor son of Ram Chandra Hati, by his guardian mother Sm. Sukhodamoyi Dasi, of Satpati, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 650 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 650 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum, in district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhalukmarasole, thana No. 116, interest No. 6, in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 13th September 1922. (2047—1—2232)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Bhutnath Ghose, son of Gadadhar Ghose, of Abradihi, (2) Hem Chandra Das, son of Krista Das, of Murar, (3) Sheikh Enatulla, son of Sheikh Sadatulla, of Abradihi, (4) Behari Mahato, son of Sibn Mahato, of Damakata, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. ⁵¹⁰₅₆₆ OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. ⁵¹⁰₅₆₆ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kanksole, thana No. 103, interest No. 56 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2306—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Mahammad Hossein, son of late Khodabuksh of Bibigang, town Midnapore, (2) Ananda Chandra Laha, son of late Siddheswar Laha of Miyapara, (3) Dukhitram Santal, of Chhoto Kenudi, (4) Matangini Dasi, wife of late Kunda Bauri of Kenudi, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 446 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 446 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Chhoto Keundi, thana No. 177, interest No. 38 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2308—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Joyram Mahato, son of Haradhan Mahato, of Jarka, pargana Midnapore, (2) Prasanna Kumar Hajra, son of Ramkalpa Hajra, pleader, Jhargram Munsif's Court, (3) Haradhan Mana, son of Debu Mana, of Salboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 489 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 489 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jarka, thana No. 38, interest No. 54 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2307—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kunja Behari Dey, son of late Hriday Nath Dey of Keshia, pargana Bagri, (2) Gonesh Chandra Gorai, son of Sankar Gorai of Rautara, (3) Bangu Santal, son of late Jadu Santal, (4) Chandra Santal, minor son of Chuna Santal by his guardian uncle Bangu Santal of Boya, (5) Kunja Paramanik, (6) Uttam Paramanik, (7) Umesh Paramanik, son of late Hriday Paramanik of Jogulara, (8) Nilmadhab Patra, son of Gura Charan Patra, (9) Ananta Lal Patra, son of Chintamani Patra, (10) Radha Krista Patra, son of Gurucharan Patra of Jantgari, (11) Chandu Santal, son of Jugal Santal, (12) Dukhia Santal, son of Lochu Santal of Mankuri, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 390 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 390 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Boya, thana No. 141, interest No. 117 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2309—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Romesh Chandra Mitra, son of late Kartik Chandra Mitra, Receiver for the estate of Bharat Ramanuj Das Mahato, of Keranitola, town Midnapore, (2) Gossain Das Mahato, son of late Boidyanath Mahato of Koyma, (3) Poran Mahato, son of Kartik Mahato of Jhitka, (4) Kashiram Mahato, son of Poran Mahato of Kasidanga, (5) Lakhiram Mahato, son of Nibaran Mahato of Babuidanga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 394 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 394 of 1922, in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jhitka, thana No. 33, interest No. 19 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2310—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nilambar Santra, son of late Ramkumar Santra of Benuchia, (2) Bhoirab Mahata, (3) Soirab Mahato, son of Rabi Mahato of Gangadapur, (4) Gobinda Mahato (4) Motilal Mahato, son of Kinu Mahato of Gārrāh, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 496 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 496 of 1922, in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Gārrāh, thana No. 80, interest No. 38 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2311—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debiprasad Bhakat, son of Lakshman Bhakat, of Cornelgola, town Midnapore, (2) Dinobandhu Paramanik, son of Hori Charan Paramanik, of Dherua, pargana Bahadurpur, (3) Abinash Sau, (4) Bonbehari Sau, son of Haradhon Sau, of Mailda pargana Midnapore, (5) Nagendra Nath Laha, son of Sadananda Laha, of Mianpara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 500 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 500 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Mayildah, thana No. 97, interest No. 85 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of the notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2312—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Satish Chandra Nag, son of Uma Charan Nag, of Barabajar, town Midnapore, (2) Markus Mahato, (3) Joti Mahato, (4) Bankim Mahato, minor sons of late Kartick Mahato, by their guardian-mother Smt. Kunti Mahatani, of Mohishara, (5) Sridam Mahato, son of Motiram Mahato, of Boikuntapur, (6) Sushilabala Dasi, wife of Gayaram Santra, of Deulkuunda, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 480 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 480 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Boikuntapur, thana No. 259, interest No. 29 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2313—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Kedar Nath Palmal, son of Nodiarchand Palmal, of Abradihi, (2) Dinanath Mohish, (3) Hinaran Mohish, son of Ajodhyaram Mohish, of Nimtola, (4) Shaikh Akbar, son of Shaikh Jalaluddin, of Nimtola Bazar, town Midnapore, (5) Bhutnath Ghose, son of late Gadadhar Ghose, of Abradihi, (6) Kartik Bisui, son of late Kemu Bisui, of Murar. pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 495 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 495 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Chhoti Bhimpur, thana No. 56, interest No. 23 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2315—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Nimai Bhuia, (2) Nitai Bhuia, son of Uday Bhuia, of Gaighata, (3) Hiru Mahato, son of Bhogi Mahato, of Boikuntapur, (4) Ramanath Mahato, (5) Umacharan Mahato, sons of Ishan Mahato, (6) Jagannath Mahato, son of Hiru Mahato, of Ghagrasole, (6) Chunaram Santal, son of Subal Santal, of Boikuntapur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{479}{442}$ OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{479}{442}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Ghagrasole, thana No. 293, interest No. 38 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2316—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Natobar Mahato, (2) Babulal Mahato, son of late Busik Mahato, (3) Lakshmiram Mahato, son of Koilash Mahato, (4) Kaliram Mahato, son of Narain Mahato, (5) Dibru Mahato, (6) Adhar Mahato, son of Bhakta Mahato, deceased, of Jamirgote, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 472 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 472 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jamirgote, thana No. 15, interest No. 39 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2314—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Janoki Nath Roy, (2) Lalit Kumar Roy, (3) Sotish Chandra Roy, (4) Brikavanu Roy, (5) Ram Renu Roy, minors, by their guardian mother Sm. Subhasini Dasi, of Sirshi, (6) Kadra Santal, (7) Fakir Chandra Mandal, (8) Rasamoy Mandal, (9) Jyotindra Mandal, minors, by their guardian mother Sm. Uthubala Dasi, (10) Dibakar Mandal, (11) Bibhuti Bhusan Mandal, (12) Sarat Kumar Mandal, (13) Ashutosh Mandal, (14) Atul Krista Mandal, (6) Bhutnath Ghose, of Abradihi, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{507}{556}$ OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{507}{556}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Sirshi, thana No. 60, interest No. 79 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2317—18)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Krista Santal, son of Budhu Santal, (2) Charan Santal, of Patharchati, (3) Dulah Santal, son of Dhona Santal, of Chonmatha, (4) Retah Santal, of Patharchati, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 482 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 482 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Khas jangal, thana No. 304, interest No. 11 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order I, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922.

(2318—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gangaprasad Bhakat, minor son of Nagendra Prasad Bhakat, by his guardian mother Sm. Lakshminoni Dasi, by executor Debiprasad Bhakat, of Kornelgola, (2) Ramesh Chandra Misra, son of late Bhoirab Chandra Misra, of Godapiasal, (3) Sm. Khirode Kumari Dasi, wife of Rojani Kanta Paramanik, of Jalhori, (4) Nogendra Nath Ghose, son of Ishan Chandra Ghose, (5) Guiram Ghose, (2) Sambhunath Ghose, (3) Upendra Nath Ghose, sons of Naran Ch. Ghose, of Jara, (6) Rammooy Mukerji, (7) Rambrabha Mukerji, (8) Ramdas Mukerji, (9) Ramrenu Mukerji, sons of Ram Kumar Mukerji, of Benuchia, (10) Guiram Ghose, son of Naran Ghose, (11) Thelu Santal, son of Jagu Santal, of Mirga, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 504 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 504 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Mirga, thana No. 227, interest No. 129 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order I, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922.

(2319—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Chuna Santal, of Baikuntapur, (2) Parmeswar Santal, son of Chohe Santal of Deulkunda, (3) Damu Santal, (4) Budharoy Santal, (5) Muruk Mahato, (6) Jyoti Mahato, (7) Bankim Mahato, minor sons of Kartik Mahato by their guardian mother Srimati Kunti Dasi, (8) Sona Mahato, (9) Golam Mahato, (10) Sonaton Mahato, (11) Srinath Mahato, son of Digam Mahato of Mohishara, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 403 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 403 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Khasjungle, thana No. 300, interest No. 8 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order I, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922.

(2320—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Umesh Ch. Paramanik, (2) Bhubon Ch. Paramanik, (3) Umesh Ch. Paramanik is the guardian and the cousin of the minor boy, (3) Srimati Priyo Sakhi Das, is the wife of late Ashu Toah Paramanik of Patna bazar in Midnapore, (4) Jogat Mahat, son of Kali Ram Mahat of Asnasuly, (5) Din Mahat, son of Guru Ch. Mahat of Lakhsompur, (6) Dhani Ram Mahat of Belya Sole, (7) is the son of late Kailas Mahat, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 337 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 337 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Taldanga, thana No. 67, interest No. 11 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order I, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922.

(2321—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Koilash Mahato, of Hadangsole, (2) Arun Mahato, of Joypur, (3) Nimai Bhuia, (4) Nitai Bhuia, of Gaighata, (5) Mangal Santal, deceased, his brother Blandi Santa of Shalgeria, (6) Ashutosh Laha, of Mianpara, (7) Dinanath Saoo, son of Kalpatara Saoo, (8) Jagannath Dey, of Satpati, (9) Nilambar Santra, of Beunchia, (10) Kripasindhu Biswas, son of Arun Chandra Biswas, of Moupal, (11) Prohlad Mahato, son of Jadu Mahato, of Chengsole, (12) Raghu Nath Mal, deceased, his brother Pancharam Mal, of Deuli, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 437 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 437 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding manza Boramatha, thana No. 288, interest No. 4 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2322—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Jhori Mahato, son of Guru Charan Mahato (2) Radhu Mahato, son of late Kangali Mahato. (3) Poran Chandra Maity, son of Sitanath Maity, of Jemua, pargana Bagri, (4) Chandra Maity, son of Raghu Nath Maity, deceased, of Jemuasole, pargana Bagri, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 436 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 436 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhangaband, thana No. 334, interest No. 82 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2323—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Rakhal Chandra Ghose, son of Ananda Ghose of Romaichok, pargana Chandrakona, (1), Sri Hari Mahato, son of late Bhiku Mahato of Dhobasole, (3) Monsa Ram Mahato, son of Jhanglu Mahato of Pirrakuli, (4) Guru Charan Mahato, son of Choitan Mahato, (5) Dulal Mahato, son of Charan Mahato of Garra, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. ³⁹³/₅₀₃ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. ³⁹³/₅₀₃ of 1922 in the

1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Pedrakuli, thana No. 57, interest No. 119 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 4th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. A. AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 8th November 1922. (2324—1)

NOTICE.

In the 1st Court of Subordinate Judge of Midnapore.

- (1) Setal Prasad Ghosh, (2) Tripura Charan Ghosh, (3) Romesh Chandra Ghosh, mouza Gokulpur khachari, pargana Khandhar, Khargapur thana, district Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Surendra Nath Dutta, (2) Srimati Burnamai Dasi, (3) Bhupati Mann, (4) Raghu Nath Sahu, (5) Rajendra Nath Chaudhuri, (6) Ajodhi Nath Chaudhuri, (7) Srimati Barada Dasi, Satranji, pargana Khandar, defendants.

TAKE notice that Sital Prasad Ghosh, Tripura Charan Ghosh and Romesh Chandra Ghosh have instituted Title Suit No. 237 of 1922 in the 1st Sub-Judge's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of mauza Satranji, pargana Khandar, district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of mauza Satranji in the measurement of Sanjaman and trees of plaintiffs in that mauza. The plaintiffs seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Satranji, interest No. 460 in pargana Khandar, is *ultra vires* and wrong and that the tenants have no such rights. They also ask for a permanent injunction against the tenants. As all the tenants of the said mauza Satranji are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them any persons having any land in mauza Satranji, pargana Khandhar, and desirous of defending their rights may appear in the suit on 30th November 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C.

AMRIT LAL MUKERJEE, Sub-Judge.

Midnapore, the 18th November 1922. (2361—1)

NOTICE.

In the 1st Court of Subordinate Judge of Midnapore.

(1) Shital Prasad Ghosh, (2) Tripura Charan Ghosh, (3) Romesh Chandra Ghosh, mauza Gokulpur kachari, pargana Khandar, Kharagpur thana, district Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

(1) Bhagobati Charan Bhattacharga, (2) Kali Pada Chakravarti, (3) Bhaja Gobinda Chuduri, (4) Sarat Chandra Saut, (5) Basanta Kumar Saut, (6) Baikuntha Nath Das, village Gokulpur, pargana Khandar, thana Kharagpur, defendants.

TAKE notice that Shital Prasad Ghosh, Tripura Charan Ghosh and Romesh Chandra Ghosh have instituted Title Suit No. 288 of 1922 in the 1st Sub-Judge's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of mauza Gokulpur, pargana Khandar, district Midnapore, various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of mauza Gokulpur in the measurement of Sanjaman and trees of plaintiffs in that mauza. The plaintiffs seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Gokulpur, interest No. 240 in pargana Khandar, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. They also ask for a permanent injunction against the tenants. As all the tenants of the said mauza Gokulpur are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against them any persons having any land in mauza Gokulpur, pargana Khandar, and desirous of defending their rights may appear in the suit on 5th December 1922, and contest if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C.

AMRIT LAL MUKERJEE, Sub-Judge.

Midnapore, the 18th November 1922. (2362—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

(1) Amulya Charan Khatua, (2) Radhanath Khatua, *of Anandapur, (3) Sahadeb Mahato, son of Chhoto Bhukta Mahato, of Baramesha, (4) Chintamani Ghose, son of late Ram Chand Ghose, of Tilaboni, (5) Sadhu Charan Ghose, son of late Beni Madhab Ghose, minor by his guardian mother Sm. Nonibala Dasi, of Sundarpur, (5) Sm. Monika Dasi, son of late Sridhar Roy, of Baramesha, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{381}{352}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{381}{352}$ of 1922 in the

1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Baramesha, thana No. 171, interest No. 73 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAH AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2388—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

(1) Narendra Nath Mandal, son of Rameswar Mandal, of 154, Upper Circular Road, Calcutta, (2) Anantlal Patra, son of late Chandi Charan Patra, of Jantgari, (3) Karali Charan Ghose, son of late Narain Chandra Ghose, (4) Sripati Malash, son of Pancharam Malash, of Rautara, (5) Akshoy Patar, son of late Naran Patar, of Muchiberia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 425 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 425 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Muchiberia, thana No. 149, interest No. 83 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAH AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2389—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

(1) Pramatha Nath Laha, son of Nagendra Nath Laha, of Miyajora, (2) Ananda Santal, son of Khar Santal, (3) Umesh Mahato, son of Sankar Mahato, (4) Keshab Sau, (5) Ajodhyam Sau, (6) Ramanath Sau, son of Paran Sau, of Chaudabila, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{448}{323}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{448}{323}$ of 1922 in the

1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Chaudabila, thana No. 45, interest No. 72 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any person having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAH AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2390—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Janaki Sing, son of late Gayaram Sing, (2) Atul Sing, son of late Pochu Sing, (3) Akhil Chandra Roy, son of Pundarik Roy, (4) Nodiarchand Ghose, son of late Chintamani Ghose, (5) Srimanta Mahato, son of Bhajahori Mahato, (6) Nogendra Nath Hajra, son of late Akhil Hajra, all of Kashijora, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 477 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 477 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Kashijora, thana No. 247, interest No. 209 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2391—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Dhonopati Mahato, son of Nandalal Mahato, (2) Sm. Basanabala Dasi, wife of Gagan Mahato, deceased, of Ashnasuli, (3) Purna Mahato, son of Durgaram Mahato, of Nutandih, (4) Sripati Mahato, son of Roghu Nandan Mahato, of Nutandih, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 492 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 492 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in the district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Nutandih, thana No. 194, interest No. 64, in the Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2392—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Satya Kinkar Sarkar, son of Himangsu Sarkar, of Habibpur, town Midnapore, (2) Gopinath Panda, son of Ramnohan Panda, (3) Panchanan Hati, son of Haradhan Hati, (4) Parag Das, son of Nobin Das, of Beunchia, (5) Narain Sar, (6) Abhi Sar, son of late Arun Sar, of Kuchakala, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 502 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 502 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhagabatipur, thana No. 242, interest No. 42 in Bhanjabhum pargana, is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 10th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 15th November 1922. (2393—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Bakarganj.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 7 of 1922.**

PRESENT :

D. C. Patterson, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

NOTICE is hereby given that one Odu Howladar, son of late Hassan Howladar, of Hasanpur, police-station Mehendiganj, has applied to this Court to be adjudged an insolvent and 7th December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the petition and for examination of the petitioner.

D. C. PATTERSON, District Judge.

Barisal, the 21st November 1922. (2400—1)

NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF THE DATE OF HEARING OF AN INSOLVENCY PETITION.

[Section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1922.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Chittagong.**INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 31 of 1922.**

WHEREAS Jamini Kumar De and Nalini Kumar De, sons of Hara Chandra De, deceased, of Palgiri, district Noakhali, ordinarily residing for business at Sadarghat, Chittagong, has applied to this Court, by a petition, dated 18th September 1922, to be declared an insolvent under the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give notice that the Court has fixed the 2nd day of December 1922 for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

J. W. NELSON, District Judge.

(2406—1)

NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF THE DATE OF HEARING OF AN INSOLVENCY PETITION.

[Section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Chittagong.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 32 of 1922.

WHEREAS Mahammad Abdul Jabbar, son of Basir Mahammad Sadagar, of Patharghata, police-station Sadar, district Chittagong, has applied to this Court, by a petition, dated 25th October 1922, to be declared an insolvent under the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give notice that the Court has fixed the 9th day of December 1922 for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

J. W. NELSON, District Judge.
Chittagong, the November 1922. (2407—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 73 of 1922.

HACHHIMULLA SARKER, son of Patanu Sarker-resident of Chakdelen, known as Hayratpur, police-station Parbatipur, district Dinajpur, has applied to this Court to be declared insolvent. The 22nd day of December 1922 has been fixed for examination of the petitioner at Dinajpur.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.
Dinajpur, the 22nd November 1922. (2401—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Nitya Charan Nag, son of late Jibon Chandra Nag, of Bhadrakali, thana Uttarparah, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 56 of 1922, and that the 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 13th November 1922. (2335—1—2238)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Abdul Bari Mullik, son of late Sabu Mullik, of Chatra, thana Serampur, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 61 of 1922, and that the 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 13th November 1922. (2336—1—2239)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

INSOLVENCY PETITION No. 37 of 1921.

NOTICE is hereby given that Manik Lall Pain, son of late Abodhota Pain, of Dhanyagbari, thana Khanakul, district Hooghly, was on the 16th September 1922 adjudged an insolvent. The 9th December 1922 has been fixed for framing a schedule of creditors and debts and creditors are required to prove their claims on that day.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 13th November 1922. (2337—1—2235)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause (2) of section 12 of the Provincial Insolvency Act V of 1920 to his creditors that the insolvency petition of Shital Prosad Saw, son of late Shew Prosad Saw, of Teliniparah, thana Bhadreswar, district Hooghly, has been admitted by this Court as No. 62 of 1922 and that the 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 13th November 1922. (2338—1—2240)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

INSOLVENCY PETITION No. 31 of 1922.

NOTICE is hereby given that Bhupoti Caran De, son of late Rajaram De, of Panpit, thana Arambagh, district Hooghly, was, on the 12th September 1922, adjudged an insolvent. The 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for framing a schedule of creditors and debts and creditors are required to prove their claims on that day.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 11th November 1922. (2339—1—2237)

In the Court of the District Judge of Hooghly.

INSOLVENCY PETITION No. 30 of 1922.

NOTICE is hereby given that Sambhunath De, son of Bhupoti Charan De, of Panpit, thana Arambagh, district Hooghly, was, on the 12th September 1922, adjudged an insolvent. The 2nd December 1922 has been fixed for framing a schedule of creditors and debts and creditors are required to prove their claims on that day.

S. C. MALLIK, District Judge.
Chinsura, the 11th November 1922. (2340—1—2236)

In the Court of the District Judge of Mymensingh.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 18 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, date 16th June 1922, filed by (1) Gobinda Chandra Kapali, (2) Nabin Chandra Kapali and (3) Raj Chandra Kapali, sons of late Ram Chandra Kapali, of Khudirjangal, thana Karimganj, district Mymensingh, and on the application of the debtors themselves and on reading their application and on hearing their pleader it is ordered that the debtors be and said debtors are hereby adjudged insolvents.

It is further ordered that the debtors do apply for their discharge within six months from this date.

Dated this 18th day of November 1922.

H. C. MAITLAND, District Judge.
(2397—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Mymensingh.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 37 of 1921.

NOTICE is hereby given that the order of adjudication, dated the 14th day of January 1922, against Gobinda Chandra Khasnavis, son of late Galak Chandra Khasnavis, of Sidhla Para Tatrakanda, police-station Fulpur, district Mymensingh, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated 18th November 1922.

H. C. MAITLAND, District Judge.
(2398—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Mymensingh.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 38 of 1921.**

NOTICE is hereby given that the order of adjudication, dated the 14th day of January 1922, against Kedar Chandra Khasnavis, son of late Golak Chandra Khasnavis, of Sidhla Para Tatrakanda, police-station Fulpur, district Mymensingh, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated 18th November 1922.

H. C. MAITLAND, District Judge.

(2399—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Pabna and Bogra.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 29 OF 1922.**

WHEREAS Gendu Mandul, son of late Janik Mandul, of Niz Titparal, police-station Sariakandi, district Bogra, has applied to this Court by a petition dated the 6th November 1922, to be declared an insolvent, this is to give notice to all his creditors that the Court has fixed the 20th December 1922 for hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

G. C. SEN, District Judge.

Pabna, the 14th November 1922. (2363—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Pabna and Bogra.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 30 OF 1922.**

WHEREAS Hafizuddin Mondal, son of late Janik Mondal, of Niz Titparal, police-station Sariakandi, district Bogra, has applied to this Court by a petition, dated the 6th November 1922, to be declared an insolvent, this is to give notice to all his creditors that the Court has fixed the 20th December 1922 for hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

G. C. SEN, District Judge.

Pabna, the 14th November 1922. (2364—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Pabna and Bogra.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 31 OF 1922.**

WHEREAS Dayemulla Mondal, son of late Janik Mondal, of Kuripara, police-station Sariakandi, district Bogra, has applied to this Court by a petition, dated the 6th November 1922, to be declared an insolvent, this is to give notice to all his creditors that the Court has fixed the 20th December 1922 for hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

G. C. SEN, District Judge.

Pabna, the 14th November 1922. (2365—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 35 OF 1922.****PRESENT :**

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

ON the application of the debtor Karimuddin, son of late Ketu Akanda, of Airmari, police-station Badarganj, in Rangpur for being adjudged an insolvent, the 12th day of December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 18th November 1922. (2368—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.**INSOLVENCY CASE No. 39 of 1922.****PRESENT :**

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

ON the application of the debtor Sree Das, son of late Chand Mohan Das, of Khamar Nayabari, police-station Pirgachha in Rangpur for being adjudged an insolvent, the 11th day of December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 18th November 1922. (2369—1)

NOTICE.**In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.****INSOLVENCY CASE No. 22 OF 1922.****PRESENT :**

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

SHAHAR-UDDIN, son of late Pagla Sheikh, of Dukhiya, police-station Gangachara, in the district of Rangpur, was, on the 11th day of November 1922, adjudged an insolvent by this Court. He will apply for his final discharge within six months.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 18th November 1922. (2370—1)

NOTICE.**In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.****INSOLVENCY CASE No. 26 OF 1922.****PRESENT :**

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

SHIB CHARN BARMAN, son of late Baniram Barman, of Batrish-hazari, police-station Kaliganj, in the district of Rangpur, was, on the 6th day of November 1922, adjudged an insolvent by this Court. He will apply for his final discharge within six months.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 18th November 1922. (2371—1)

NOTICE.**In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.****INSOLVENCY CASE No. 29 OF 1922.****PRESENT :**

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

PANIRUDDIN, son of late Ful Mamud Shaikh, of Khamar Gadai, police-station Ulipur, in the district of Rangpur, was, on the 14th day of November 1922, adjudged an insolvent by this Court. He will apply for his final discharge within six months.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 18th November 1922. (2372—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 40 OF 1922.

PRESENT :

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

ON the application of the debtor Pushoo Das, son of late Jalbil Das, of Bhatibari Bramhottar, police-station Lalmanirhat, in Rangpur for being adjudged an insolvent, the 12th day of December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 21st November 1922. (2394—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Rangpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 41 OF 1922.

PRESENT :

S. K. Ghose, Esq., I.C.S., District Judge.

ON the application of the debtor Ayez-ullah Sheikh, son of late Hazaratullah Sheikh, of Hashdhara, police-station Gaibandha, in Rangpur, for being adjudged an insolvent, the 13th day of December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor.

S. K. GHOSE, District Judge.

Rangpur, the 21st November 1922. (2395—1)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 170 OF 1922.

Tarak Nath Das, of Baraset, thana Baraset, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Jatindra Mohan Halder, of Bawali, thana Budge-Budge, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 11th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 18th day of December 1922 and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2201—1—2220)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 173 OF 1922.

Makhamlal Karmakar, residing at No. 8, Ganesh Sarkar Lane, thana Watgunj, Kidderpore, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Bhutnath Mondal, of Sorsa, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 21st day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 11th day of December 1922 and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

M. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2202—1—2221)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 180 OF 1922.

Shaikh Kudrat Ali, of Batikal, Metiabruz, thana Metiabruz, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Dalu Khan, of Metiabruz, thana Metiabruz, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 17th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 11th day of December 1922, and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2203—1—2222)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 181 OF 1922.

Bhupal Khan, of Sadagorpaty, Chitpur, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Mithu Benia, of Sadagorpaty, Chitpur, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 17th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 11th day of December 1922, and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2204—1—2223)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 182 OF 1922.

Mangu Khan, of Sadagorpaty, Chitpur, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Mithu Benia, of Sadagorpaty, Chitpur, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 17th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 11th day of December 1922, and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2205—1—2224)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 186 OF 1922.

Khairat Bakhat, of 49-1, Dent Mission Road, thana Ekbalpore, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Torabas Khan Kabuli, of 12, Gorburi Road, Kidderpur, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 17th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 18th day of December 1922, and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922. (2206—1—2225)

NOTICE.

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge of 24-Parganas.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 190 OF 1922.

Shaikh Abdul *alias* Abdul Rahaman, of Manasatola, Kidderpur, 24-Parganas, applicant.

To Shaikh Nazir, of 7-1, Miajan Ostager Lane, Kidderpur, 24-Parganas, and others, creditors.

ON the 18th day of August 1922 it was ordered that the matter of the petition of the applicant be heard on the 18th day of December 1922, and that the said applicant do attend to be examined by this Court on that date.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.

Alipore, the 31st October 1922, (2207—1—2226)

Notice.

APPLICATIONS are invited for the post of Government Pleader, Faridpur. Candidates should give full details of their qualifications and experience, and applications should be sent to the undersigned on or before the 10th December 1922. All candidates reasonably qualified to hold the post will be given a personal interview by appointment only, and meantime no candidate should attempt to see either the District Judge or the undersigned on the subject.

G. P. HOGG, Magistrate-Collector.

Faridpur, the 23rd November 1922.

Notice.

THE Bengal and Madras Service Family Pension Fund which is provisionally managed and assisted by Government, has for its object the provision of monthly pensions for the maintenance of the widows and children of subscribers and is open, with certain exceptions, to all active and pensioned members of the Uncovenanted Service of Government (except those serving under the Government of Bombay), and to Local Fund servants earning pensions from Government. Some of the special features of the fund are—(1) that widowed daughters incapable of remarriage or children labouring under such mental or bodily infirmities as incapacitate them from earning their livelihood or preclude the possibility of marriage (in case of a daughter) are admitted to its benefits; and (2) that subscribers to the Widows' and Daughters' branches are entitled to a refund of 30 per cent. of the premia paid, should the nominees predecease them after five years of admission. The second quinquennial valuation of the fund has been completed by the Actuary to the Government of India and his report discloses very favourable results. For forms of application and rules of the Fund apply to the Accountant-General, Central Revenues, Imperial Secretariat Buildings, Calcutta.

BRUCE INSTITUTION.

ANNUAL ELECTION, 1923

THE Governors of the Bruce Institution will proceed during the first week of January 1923, to the election of at least 21 Anglo-Indian girls to fill the vacancies on the Bruce Foundation. Candidates must not, on the day of election, be under five or over ten years of age. Preference will be given to orphans and destitute children. Anglo-Indian girls only are eligible.

A statement of the case should be drawn up by the clergyman or minister countersigning the application form and sent by him to the Secretary of the Bruce Institution, along with the application. No application will be considered which is unaccompanied by such a statement and which is not submitted in the form required.

Forms of application may be obtained from Mr. F. D'Silva, Assistant-in-charge, office of the Bruce Institution, Block No. 3, top-floor, Writers' Buildings, Calcutta, and applications must reach the office of the Secretary to the Bruce Institution, office of the Director of Public Instruction, Writers' Buildings, Calcutta, not later than Saturday, the 16th December 1922.

W. W. HORNELL,

Honorary Secretary to the Governors.

Calcutta, the 7th November 1922.

(2223—4)

Lost.

THE allotment letter No. 487B of the 5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1922, for Rs. 100, issued in the name of Gagan Chandra Mana, the proprietor, by whom it was never endorsed to any other person, having been lost, notice is hereby given that the issue of security in lieu of it has been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Calcutta, and that application for its issue in favour of the proprietor is about to be made. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the above-mentioned allotment letter.

CYRIL E. GWYHER,

Deputy Controller of the Currency.

Calcutta, the 24th November 1922.

Lost.

THE Government Promissory Note No. E. O. 22204 of the 5½ per cent. War Bonds, 1921, for Rs. 200, originally standing in the name of the Accountant-General, Bengal, and last endorsed to Sashi Bhushan Shaha, the proprietor, by whom it was never endorsed to any other person, having been lost, notice is hereby given that payment of the above note and the interest thereon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the above security.

Name of the Advertiser—SASHI BHUSHAN SHAHA.

Residence—20, Hurochandra Mallick Lane, Hatkhola, Calcutta.

(2327—3—2219)

Lost or Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Note No. 276807 of the 3½ per cent. loan of 1900-01 for Rs. 500, originally standing in the name of Prosad Das Boral and Brothers, and last endorsed to Ananda Chandra Chakrabutty, the proprietor, by whom it was never endorsed to any other person, having been lost or stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above Note and the interest thereon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the above-mentioned security.

Name of the Advertiser—Ananda Chandra Chakrabutty.
Residence—Andul-Mouri post-office (Howrah).

(2408—3—2234)

Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Note No. 006896 of the 5 per cent. loan of 1929-47 for Rs. 200, originally standing in the name of Narendra Nath Coomar, and last endorsed to nil, the proprietor, by whom it was never endorsed to any other person, having been stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above note and the interest thereupon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the abovementioned security.

Name of the advertiser—NARENDRA NATH COOMAR.

Residence—25-26, Clive Street, Calcutta.

(2325—3)

Stolen.

THE Government Promissory Notes Nos. 255447, 258628 and 268018 of the 3½ per cent. loan of 1900-01 for Rs. 500, Rs. 100 and Rs. 500, respectively, the first note originally standing in the name of Ganendra Nath Mittra and the rest in the name of Prosad Das Boral & Brothers and last endorsed to Ganendra Nath Mittra, the proprietor, by whom they were never endorsed to any other person, having been stolen, notice is hereby given that payment of the above notes and the interest there upon have been stopped at the Public Debt Office, Imperial Bank of India, Calcutta, and that application is about to be made for the issue of duplicate in favour of the proprietor. The public are cautioned against purchasing or otherwise dealing with the abovementioned securities.

Name of the advertiser—GANENDRA NATH MITTRA.

Address—C-o. Messrs. Mackinnon Mackenzie & Co. (Accounts), 16, Strand Road, Calcutta.

(2326—3)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, VII of 1913, and

In the matter of the Peace's Slipway and Engineering Works, Ltd.

NOTICE is hereby given that a petition for the winding up of the abovenamed Company by the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal was on the 24th day of November 1922 presented to the said Court by Messrs P. Banerjee & Co., of No. 36, Clive Street, Calcutta, a creditor of the said Company. And that the said petition is directed to be heard before His Lordship the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Greaves on the 18th day of December 1922, and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an order for winding up of the said Company under the above Act should appear at the time of hearing by himself or his Counsel for that purpose, and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or contributory of the said Company requiring the same by the undersigned on payment of the regulated charge for the same.

N. C. GUPTA & Co., Attorneys for the petitioner.

No. 11, Old Post Office Street, dated the 24th day of November 1922. (2409—1)

Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts.

PARTS I, II, and III of a Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Library of the Calcutta Sanskrit College, prepared by Pandit Hrishikesh Sastri and Babu Siva Chandra Gu, M.A., B.L., of that College, are offered to the public for sale. The Catalogue having to be completed in 23 parts, the price of each part is 12 annas a copy exclusive of postage. Copies can be obtained from the Principal of the Sanskrit College, Calcutta.

POST OFFICE.**Despatch of Sea-borne Mails.**

MAILS FOR—	Day or date of closing of mails.	LATEST HOURS OF POSTING AT THE G. P. O.			
		UNREGISTERED LETTERS AND PACKETS.		REGISTERED LETTERS AND PACKETS.	
		Without late fee.	With late fee.*	Without late fee.	With late fee.*
1		P.M.	P.M.	P.M.	P.M.
United Kingdom, Europe, Aden, Egypt, East and West Africa and America ...	Thursday ...	6-0	7-0	5-0	5-30
Ceylon ...	Daily ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
† Australia and New Zealand (via Colombo) ...	Nov. 30 ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
Straits Settlements, French Indo-China, Federated Malay States, Philippine Islands, Netherlands India, Siam, China and Japan per SS. "Hosang" ...	Dec. 2 ...	6-0	7-0	3-0	5-0
Burma ...	Nov. 30 ...	7-30	8-0	5-0	6-0
Port Blair (via Madras) ...	Dec. 8 ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45
† Mauritius, Réunion, Mayotte and Nossi-Béper S. S. "Sangola" from Colombo.	Nov. 29 ...	5-15	5-45	4-15	4-45

NOTE.—The latest date and hour for booking Money Orders and Parcels for despatch by the Homeward English mail is Wednesday, 3 P.M. and Thursday noon respectively.

* The late fee is 4 annas for each registered or unregistered article of the Letter Mail to any place in the countries named above except for Aden, Burma, Ceylon and Port Blair, the late fee for which is ½ anna for unregistered articles and 2 annas for registered articles. Letters are accepted with late fee only on occasions when a direct mail is closed by the Calcutta G. P. O. for Foreign countries.

† When there is no direct mail from Calcutta, correspondence for South Africa and Mauritius posted up to 4-45 P.M. in the Calcutta G. P. O. is despatched to Bombay and correspondence for Australia, New Zealand and Japan posted up to 5-15 P.M. to Colombo for transmission by the first available steamer.

Calcutta G. P. O., the 27th November 1922.

C. D. RAE, Presidency Post Master.

CINCHONA FACTORY PRODUCTS.

By order of the Government of Bengal Cinchona Factory Products will be sold by the Superintendent, Juvenile Jail, Alipore, at the undernoted rates from the 1st November 1922:—

Sulphate of Quinine.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 27 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 28 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 29 "

Quinine Hydrochloride.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 44 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 45 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 46 "

Quinine Di-Hydrochloride.

For quantities 60 lbs. and above in one delivery	Rs. 47 per lb.
For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. but below 60 lbs. in one delivery	" 48 "
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 49 "
Quinoldine Sulphate for any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 52 "

Cinchonidine Sulphate and Cinchonine Sulphate.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 16 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 17 "

Cinchona Febrifuge Powder.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 8 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 9 "

Cinchona Febrifuge Tablets and Quinoldine Tablets.

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 9 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 10 "

Quinoldine (in Mass).

For quantities of not less than 6 lbs. in one delivery	Rs. 8 per lb.
For any quantity less than 6 lbs.	" 9 "

Quinine Sulphate is for sale to Government Institutions and Missionaries only. It is not for sale to Private Firms or the General Public.

Cinchona Febrifuge in Powder and Tablet form (when in stock) is for sale to Government Institutions, Missionaries and the General Public.

Quinine Sulphate will be supplied to Hospitals and Dispensaries of this Presidency only at the wholesale rate of Rs. 27 per lb., irrespective of quantities. For all other purchasers the rates given above will apply.

Transit charges are in addition to the above prices in every case.

Drugs are sold for cash only preferably by remittance transfer receipts of Treasury chalang payable in advance. Price and postage must accompany the price of the drug (when the drug is required by post). The name of the Railway and Steamer Station or post-office must be written distinctly when the parcels are required by Rail, Steamer or by Post. A scale of postage is given below.

[For $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. 4 annas, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. 5 annas, 1 lb. 8 annas, $1\frac{1}{2}$ lb. 11 annas, 2 lbs. 14 annas, $2\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-1, 3 lbs. Re. 1-1, $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-4, 4 lbs. Re. 1-7, $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. Re. 1-13, 5 lbs. Re. 1-13, 6 lbs. Rs. 2.]

Local sale at the Jail gate from 7 to 10 A.M., and 2 to 4 P.M.

N.B.—Postage stamps are not accepted as revenue.

Government reserve the right to alter the price without notice.

GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS FOR SALE.

At the Bengal Secretariat Book Depot, Writers' Buildings, Calcutta, and by the following Agents:—

AGENTS IN INDIA.

- | | |
|---|---|
| Messrs. Thacker, Spink & Co., Calcutta and Simla. | Messrs. Ramchandra Govind & Son, Booksellers and Publishers, Kalbadevi, Bombay. |
| Messrs. Newman & Co., Calcutta. | Messrs. Butterworth & Co. (India), Ltd., Calcutta. |
| Messrs. Higginbotham & Co., Madras. | The Weldon Library, 18-5, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta. |
| Messrs. Thacker & Co., Ltd., Bombay. | Rai M. C. Sarkar Bahadur & Sons, 90-2A, Harrison Road Calcutta. |
| Messrs. A. J. Combridge & Co., Bombay. | The Proprietor of the Newal Kishore Press, Lucknow. |
| The Superintendent, American Baptist Mission Press, Rangoon. | The Standard Literature Company, Limited, 13-1, Old Court House Street, Calcutta. |
| Mrs. Radhabai Atmarara Sagoon, Bombay. | Mr. G. N. Haldar, Calcutta. |
| Messrs. R. Cambray & Co., Calcutta. | Messrs. Vas & Co., Madura. |
| Rai Sahib M. Gulab Singh & Sons, Proprietors of the Mufid-i-am Press, Lahore, Punjab. | Messrs. A. H. Wheeler & Co., Allahabad, Calcutta and Bombay. |
| Messrs. Thompson & Co., Madras. | M. R. Ry. E. M. Gopalakrishna Kone, Madura. |
| Messrs. S. Murthy & Co., Madras. | Messrs. Rama Krishna & Sons, Anarkali Street, Lahore. |
| Messrs. Gopal Narayan & Co., Bombay. | The Manager, "Hitavada," Nagpur. |
| Messrs. B. Banerjee & Co., 25, Cornwallis Street, Calcutta. | The Young Men's Christian Association Press, Calcutta. |
| Messrs. S. K. Lahiri & Co., Printers and Booksellers, College Street, Calcutta. | Messrs. Lal Chand & Sons, 76, Lower Circular Road, Calcutta. |
| Messrs. V. Kalyanarama Iyer & Co., Booksellers, etc., Madras. | The Standard Bookstall, Karachi. |
| Messrs. D. B. Taraporewalla & Sons, Booksellers, 190, Hornby Road, Fort, Bombay. | Mr. Mangaldas Harkisandas, Surat. |
| Messrs. G. A. Natesan & Co. Madras. | Messrs. Karsandas Narandas & Sons, Surat. |
| The Indian School Supply Depot, 309, Bowbazar, Calcutta. | Munshi Seeta Ram, Managing Proprietor, Indian Army Book Depot, Juhu, Cawnpore. |
| Mr. Ramnath Sunder, Bombay. | The Proprietor, New Kitabkhana, Poona. |
| Messrs. A. M. and J. Ferguson, Ceylon. | Babu Jadunath Haldar, Muktear and Revenue Agent, Gorabazar, Murshidabad. |
| Messrs. Temple & Co., Madras. | The Proprietor, International Buddhist Book Depot, 4, Chandney Chowk, 1st Lane, Calcutta. |
| Babu S. C. Talukdar, Proprietor, Students & Co., Cooch Behar. | |

AGENTS IN GREAT BRITAIN.

- | | |
|--|--|
| Messrs. A. Constable & Co., 10, Orange Street, Leicester Square, London, W.C. | Mr. B. H. Blackwell, 50-51, Broad Street, Oxford. |
| Messrs. Grindlay & Co., 54, Parliament Street, London, S.W. | Messrs. Deighton Bell & Co., Ltd., Trinity Street, Cambridge. |
| Messrs. Kegan, Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co., 68-74, Carter Lane, London, E.C.; Oriental Department, 39, New Oxford Street, London, W.C. | Messrs. Luzac & Co., 46, Great Russell Street, London, W.C. |
| Mr. B. Quaritch, 11, Grafton Street, New Bond Street, London, W. | Messrs. Oliver and Boyd, Tweeddale Court, Edinburgh. |
| Messrs. W. Thacker & Co., 2, Creed Lane, Ludgate Hill, London, E.C. | Messrs. E. Ponsonby, Limited, 116, Grafton Street, Dublin. |
| Messrs. P. S. King & Son, 2 & 4, Great Smith Street, Westminster, London, S.W. | Mr. T. Fisher Unwin, Limited, 1, Adelphi Terrace, London, W.C. |
| Messrs. H. S. King & Co., 65, Cornhill, London, E.C. | Messrs. J. Wheldon and Wesley, Limited, 28, Essex Street, London, W.C. |

AGENTS ON THE CONTINENT.

- | | |
|--|---|
| Mr. Ernest Leroux, Rue Bonaparte, Paris, France. | Mr. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, Holland. |
| Mr. Otto Harrassowitz, Leipzig. | Messrs. R. Friedländer & Sohn, Berlin. |

NOTICE.—Books required for private use can be purchased. Applications should be accompanied by remittance in each case.

All remittances on account of subscriptions to or prices of Gazettes, Indian Law Reports or other Government publications available for sale at the Bengal Secretariat Book Depot, or for cost of advertisements published in the Gazette should be made payable to the "Treasurer, Bengal Secretariat, Calcutta." Advices of such remittances, if separately sent, should be addressed to the "Accountant, Bengal Secretariat." All advertisements intended for publication in the *Calcutta Gazette* should be sent direct to the Superintendent, Government Printing, Bengal.

Books required for the Public Service should be obtained through the Heads of Departments.

NEW PUBLICATIONS ISSUED DURING THE CURRENT QUARTER.

Acts, Bills and Legislative Council Proceedings.

- Bengal Act IX of 1879 [Court of Wards] as modified up to 1st June 1922. As. 8. (1a.)
- India Act II of 1910 [Indian Paper Currency] as modified up to 1st December 1921, in Bengali. As. 12. (1a.)
- India Act II of 1922 [Indian Factories (Amendment)] in Bengali. As. 8. (1a.)
- India Act XIV of 1922 [Indian Press Law Repeal and Amendment] in Bengali. As. 12. (1a.)
- A Bill further to amend the Indian Penal Code, 1860, in Bengali. Foolscap. As. 8. (6p.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meetings held on the 18th, 20th to 24th and 29th to 31st March 1922, Vol. VII, No. 5. Rs. 2. (6½a.)

MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS.

Administration—

Report on the—of Bengal during 1919-20. Foolscap, board, cloth. Rs. 7. (12a.)

Civil List—

Quarterly—for Bengal, as corrected up to 1st July 1922. Super royal 8vo. Paper cover. Rs. 3. (11a.)

Co-operative—

List of—Societies in the district of Jessore, corrected up to 31st December 1920. Foolscap, paper cover. Rs. 5-8. (11a.)

Irrigation—

Classified List and Distribution Return of Establishment of—Department corrected up to 1st April 1922. Re. 1-6. (2a.)

Jails—

Rules for the superintendence and management of—and subsidiary—in Bengal, Vol. II, Appendices. Sixth edn. Super royal 8vo. Board paper cover. Rs. 4-8. (12a.)

Motor—

List of—Vehicles registered in Calcutta, corrected up to 31st March 1922. Royal 8vo, Board paper cover. Rs. 3. (6a.)

Public Works—

Classified List and Distribution Return of — Department Establishment corrected up to 1st April 1922. Re. 1-5. (2a.)

Records—

Catalogue of the English —, 1758-1858, preserved in the Historical Record Room of the Government of Bengal. Demy 4to, board, paper cover. Rs. 3-12. (5a.)

Proceedings of the Controlling Council of Revenue at Murshidabad, Vol V, 1st April to 15th July 1771. Foolscap, paper cover. Rs. 11-8. (14a.)

Trade—

Report on the—carried by rail and river in Bengal during 1920-21. Foolscap, board, paper cover. Rs. 2-8. (7a.)

Trade of Calcutta during April 1922. Foolscap, paper cover. As. 2. (1a.)

NEW PUBLICATIONS ISSUED BETWEEN 1st JANUARY 1922 and 30th JUNE 1922.

Acts, Bills and Legislative Council Proceedings.

- Bengal Act I of 1922 [Calcutta Improvement Amendment]. 3 pies. (6p.)
- Bengal Act II of 1922 [Bengal Children]. As. 3. (1a.)
- Bengal Act III of 1922 [Bengal Stamp Amendment]. As. 3-6. (1½a.)

- Bengal Act IV of 1922 [Bengal Court-fees Amendment].** A. 1-6. (1a.)
- Bengal Act V of 1922 [Bengal Amusement Tax].** A. 1-6. (6p.)
- India Act II of 1899 [Indian Stamp] as modified by India Acts up to the 1st August 1921 and by the Bengal Stamp (Amendment) Act, 1922.** As. 14. (4a.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meeting held on the 19th December 1921, Vol. VI.** As. 7. (2a.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meeting held on the 17th to 20th, 23rd to 27th, 30th and 31st January and 1st, 6th and 7th February 1922, Vol. VII, No. 1.** Rs. 3-12. (10a.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meeting held on the 20th to 23rd February 1922, Vol. VII, No. 2.** Re. 1-4. (4a.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meeting held on the 27th and 28th February and 1st to 3rd March 1922, Vol. VII, No. 3 and Appendix.** Re. 1-6. (5½a.)
- Proceedings of the Bengal Legislative Council for the meeting held on the 8th to 10th and 14th to 16th March 1922, Vol. VII, No. 4.** Re. 1-8. (5½a.)

MISCELLANEOUS.

Education—

- Annual Report on the Progress of—, Burdwan Division, 1920-21.** Rs. 24-10. (6a.)
- Annual Report on the Progress of—, Dacca Division, 1920-21.** Rs. 17. (7a.)
- Annual Report on the Progress of—, Rajshahi Division, 1920-21.** Rs. 11. (5a.)

Mines—

- General—Rules made under section 20 of the Indian Mines Act applicable to Mica, Manganese and Limestone Mines. In Bengal. In sheet or pamphlet form.** As. 2. (1a.)

Pharmacopœia—

- Of the Medical College Hospital, Calcutta, Revised Edition, 1921.** As. 8. (1½a.)

Public Works Department—

- Classified List and Distribution Return of establishment of the—, Bengal, corrected up to 1st January 1922.** Re. 1-5. (2a.)

29-11-1922.

NOTICE.

Advertisements, Notices etc., intended for insertion in this Part of the Gazette cannot be received after noon on Saturday.



The Calcutta Gazette

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1922.

PART II.

Advertisements.

LAND SALE NOTICES.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Faridpur will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 11 A.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi number	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS. A. P.				RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1199	Taluk Bana Mali Bose, pargana Telihat.	911 10 0	Whole	Surendra Nath Guha	...	315 9 7	...
6327	Taluk Mohini Mohan Das, pargana Nasti.	1,213 1 0	No ...	Residuary 8 annas share ...	Durga Das alias Shashi Bhushan Hazra and others.	606 8 5	...	2 15 11
				The following shares are excluded from sale :— Separate account No. 1, 2 annas share. Separate account No. 2, 6 annas share.				
6318	Taluk surplus accretion to Salepur, pargana Habili, Tappa-Mohamadpur.	1,568 0 0	Whole	Probhat Chandra Chatterjee.	...	793 0 0	...

Faridpur, the 16th November 1922.

K. MAITRA, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estates, in the district of Chittagong, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

Taxi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estates.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
41	Thana Satkania, taraf Abul Fazal.	643 3 7	Whole	Fazarrall Sowdagar	192 15 7
64	Thana Patickchery, Nilam Anandi Manullab.	1,160 0 3	Do.	Sachindra Nath Nandi and Rabindra Nath Nandi.	86 1 6
746	Thana Mirsarai, taraf Chipi doulat.	2,906 9 5	Do.	Sm. Majiba Khatoon and Manager for (a) Sayasta Khan.	237 11 6
1238	Thana Patiya, Hathazari, Raojan and town, taraf Imam.	2,265 15 8	Share No. 1	Rajendra Chandra Nag for (a) Keder Nath Tewari.	757 6 10	168 12 2
1242	Thana Satkania, Banskhali, Patiya and Anwara, taraf Imam Baksha.	697 0 6	Whole	Sm. Bia Bibi	206 15 0
1471	Thana Mirsarai, town Hathazari and Sitakund, kismet Kamarali, Babat taraf Ganga Azi.	3,434 4 0	Do.	Shek Delowarali Chowdhury.	1,019 10 4
1747	Thana Hathazari, Patiya, town and Raojan, taraf Monohar Rai.	2,490 10 3	Residuary share	Amar Ch. Kundu for (a) Krishna Das Kundu.	719 13 7	29 16 7
1885	Thana Hathazari and Raojan, taraf Mahamad Raza Khan-shama.	924 9 6	Whole	Ram Kumar De	189 15 0
2186	Thana Satkania and Patiya, taraf Mohankuldhore.	518 12 3	Do.	Tripura Charan Chowdhury for (a) Annadi Charan Chowdhury and others.	62 8 10
2411	Thana Anwara, Banskhali, Sitakunda, Patickchery, Hathazari, Patiya, Raojan, town Satkania, Rangunia and Mirsarai, kismet Prababati, Bt. taraf Braja Kishore.	863 3 0	Residue	Ram Ch. Bhattacharjee for (a) Jogendra Ch. Bhattacharjee and others.	557 9 7	10 5 4
2534	Thana Chakaria, Patiya, town and Raojan, taraf Ram Sundar Kanungo.	849 6 6	Residue	Sm. Rahimansara for (a) Sultan Ahamad.	681 6 6	202 2 8
2562	Thana Patickchery, Sitakund, Hathazari, Raojan, Patiya, town and Satkania, taraf Ram Bhadra Kanungo.	915 14 0	Whole	Shek Siddick Ahamad	26 5 6
33641	Thana Chakaria, mauza Dula Hazara, kaimi taluk Kasbi Chandra Sen, son of late Debi Das Sen of Gollala.	1,055 3 0	Do.	Nur Ahamad and others.	164 14 0

Chittagong, the 20th November 1922.

H. K. GHOSE, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Noakhali, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tandi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		<i>Estates.</i>		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 6 1577	Thak Char Sekandar, pargana Zaira.	604 13 0	Whole	Ram Keshab Poddar and others.	Land Revenue— 66 3 0 Cess— 55 6 2 121 9 2
				<i>Khas mahal tenures.</i>				
Lot No. 13 1576	Nilaki diara, tenure No. 84 ...	941 12 0	Whole	Uma Charan Saha and others.	Rent— 206 0 0 Cess— 24 6 0 230 6 0
Lot No. 15 1627	Char Meher, abad No. 6 ...	1,627 14 0	Do.	Jadav Chandra Bhattacharjee and others.	Rent— 386 2 0 Cess— 53 8 0 409 10 0
Lot No. 16 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 1.	2,999 7 0	Do.	Asmaternessa Choudhury.	Rent— 651 12 0 Cess— 166 0 0 820 12 0
Lot No. 17 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 24.	692 15 0	Do.	Aptar Ali and others...	Rent— 141 4 0 Cess— 34 12 6 176 0 6
Lot No. 20 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 47.	559 8 0	Do.	Jotirmoy Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 122 3 0 Cess— 18 0 9 140 3 9
Lot No. 21 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 48.	559 8 0	Do.	Sri. Sarala Sundari Mitra.	Rent— 122 6 3 Cess— 14 10 2 137 0 5
Lot No. 22 1636	Char Iswar Ray, Part I, tenure No. 50.	1,240 3 0	Do.	Jotirmoy Sen Gupta and others.	Rent— 271 4 6 Cess— 33 2 9 304 7 3

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the Sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.		Khas mahal tenures— concid.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Lot No. 27 1638	Char Bangal, Kismat Lakshil, tenure No. 671.	883 11 0	Whole	Hara Lal Bhunia	Rent— 36 14 0 Cess— 0 0 2 36 14 2
Lot No. 31 1663	Char Rahim, tenure No. 185 ...	699 1 0	Do.	Harendra Nanjan Das	Rent— 153 2 0 Cess— 8 4 0 161 6 0
Lot No. 40 1672	Nalchira, tenure No. 30 ...	773 3 0	Do.	Munshi Musaharuddin Ahmed.	Rent— 386 10 0 Cess— 46 3 10 432 13 10
Lot No. 51 1686	Char Alexander, tenure No. 1	940 15 8	Do.	Serajul Haque and others.	Rent— 208 14 0 Cess— 20 0 0 228 14 0
Lot No. 62 1688	Char Alexander, tenure No. 4	534 12 0	Do.	Mahammad Bakht Moah.	Rent— 87 0 6 Cess— 23 0 0 110 0 6

SARAT CH. RAY, for Collector.

Noakhali, the 11th November 1922.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Khulna, will be put up for sale, at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Serial No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
170	Silmabad, pargana Silmabad.	Rs.	5 annas 9 gandas. All other shares than that speci- fied will be excluded from sale.	Jadab Chandras Roy Chaudhury and others.	Rs. A. P. 885 8 10	Rs. ...	Rs. A. P. 255 2 6
949	Hariachak, pargana Sundarbana.	3,065	The whole	Asa Bibi and others	3,065	...

Khulna, the 13th November 1922.

ILLEGIBLE, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Murshidabad, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 22nd December 1922, at 1 P.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
345	Mohanpur, pargana Mohanpur.	4,390 11 0	Yes	Nirodmohan, Khrod-mohan and Asitmohan Ghoshmaulik.	Land revenue— 1,620 4 1 Cost— 227 8 9
361 E.	Madhupur mauza, pargana Kulberia.	1,851 0 8	No	8 annas	Khrod-mohan and Nirodmohan Ghoshmaulik.	925 8 4	Land revenue— 157 0 9 Cost— 56 8 0

Berhampore, the 17th November 1922.

W. S. ADIG, Collector of Murshidabad.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Hooghly, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 4th January 1923 at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
72	Gopalnagore, pargana Jehanabad.	2,917 11 2	Nil	8a. 6g. 3c. 2kt.	Debendra Nath Ray and 21 others.	1,519 10 5	Nil	228 7 4
72B	Ditto	2,917 11 2	Nil	4a. 8g. 1c. 1kt.	Surapati Ray and others	759 13 0	Nil	112 14 11
4982 B	Kalupukuria, pargana Jehanabad.	13,518 0 0	Nil	2a. 1lg. 1c. 1kt. share of mauzas Kalupukuria, Ghazna, Ghosepur, Debhanda, Basantapur, Manindpur and Kismet-Jaykrishnapur.	Srimati Harimati Das, guardian of her minor son Nacoo; Chander Roy and 5 others, mortgagees. Nafar Chandra Mandole Saha and Ashutosh Hazara.	2,253 2 6	Nil	76 8 4

Hooghly, the 17th November 1922.

J. G. DUNLOP, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Birbhum will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 5th January 1923, at 12 A.M., for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for the share :—

Tansi No.	Name of mahal, and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
23	Damdama, pargana, Alinagar.	1,970 15 0	Whole estate.	Asit Mohon Ghosh Moulik and others.	...	113 0 0	...
24	Madanpur, pargana, Alinagar.	2,820 14 0	Do.	Ditto	170 0 0	...
31	Purandarpur, pargana, Purandarpur.	6,299 9 0	...	1 anna share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 8. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Satish Chandra Singh and others.	393 11 6	...	2 4 0
31	Ditto ...	6,299 9 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Kisori Lal Singh and others.	1,074 14 1	...	46 13 9
33	Jenailpur, pargana, Alinagar.	1,267 7 0	...	4 annas share of separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	316 13 9	...	4 10 0
451	Bakhal, pargana, Kutabpur.	2,806 5 0	...	6 annas share of residuary share except separate account Nos. 1 to 7. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Brojo Sandari Debye	1,052 4 5	...	20 15 0
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 3 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Sivo Chandra Das and others.	76 12 4	...	6 2 4
451	Ditto ...	2,806 5 0	...	8 gandas 3 koras share in separate account No. 3. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Ditto ...	76 11 9	...	2 4 9
1246	Huda Kutabpur, Fatepur with police, pargana Kutabpur, Fatepur.	2,216 0 9	...	14 annas 2 gondas 2 koras share in separate account No. 1. All other shares than that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Asit Mohan Ghosh Moulik, sebaht.	1,946 4 7	...	168 16 4

Suri, the 15th November 1922.

J. R. BLACKWOOD, Collector of Birbhum.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B. C.) of 1868, that the under-mentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Tippera will be put up for sale at the Office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 P.M. for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
2026	Char Krishnapura, No. 112, pargana Mahabatpur.	1,065 0 0	Whole	Sayed Abdul Sattar Mia and others.	709 14 8
2690	Dajara Charipara, mauza pargana Bardakhat.	2,161 13 0	Do.	Nabin Chandra Bhadra and others.	47 10 0
2714	Maheshpur mauza, pargana Bardakhat.	1,265 6 0	Do.	Hari Das Rakshit and others.	290 13 3
2716	Malipara mauza, pargana Bardakhat.	617 10 0	Do.	Bipin Chandra Dhar and others.	19 12 0

Comilla, the 14th November 1922.

D. H. WARES, Collector of Tippera.

APPENDIX C.

Advertisement of sale.

(See Rule 161.)

NOTICE is hereby given that the proprietary right of Government as specified in the conditions of sale below, to the undermentioned estate situate in the district of Burdwan, will be put up to sale at the Burdwan Collectorate on Monday, the 8th January 1923, corresponding with 24th Pous 1329 Bengali Era.

The purchasers will be subject to the following conditions of sale :—

Conditions of sale.

1st.—The estate to be sold to the highest bidder above the upset price, which will be fixed by the Collector at the time of sale. The purchaser of this estate will be considered as the proprietor of the estate, and the entire proprietary right of Government in such estate will be transferred to him, revenue-free.

2nd.—The sale to be subject to existing leases and to the right conferred by the settlement proceedings and the laws in force and purchasers to be bound to respect the rights of resident cultivators who have signed the schedule of assessment prepared by the Revenue authorities.

3rd.—If the amount of purchase-money do not exceed Rs. 100, the whole amount to be paid down at once.

4th.—If the amount of purchase-money exceed Rs. 100, one-fourth of the amount bid to be immediately deposited. If the balance be not paid by noon of the fifteenth day after the sale, reckoning the day of sale as one, or, if that day be a close holiday, then by noon of the first succeeding office day, the sale is to be cancelled (the sum deposited being forfeited to Government), and the estate to be again put to sale at the risk of the defaulting purchaser, after issue of advertisement, as in the case of original sale :—

Number on the district roll.	Name of estate and pargana.	Approximate area in acres.	Government Revenue assessed.
1	2	3	4
Tauzi No.—			As.
4227	Ambica, pargana Raipur	13	13
3610	Mandra, pargana Jahangirabad	465	11
5467	Krishnapore, pargana Burdwan	23	12
6694	Budbud old thana site, pargana Champainagor	31	12

Burdwan, the 14th November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Bakarganj will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 9th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1448	Mahal Darihat, Rajbarigaui, pargana Bazargomedpur.	721 13 0	Whole	Parbati Charan Chakrabarty.	...	268 12 0	...
1631	Taluk Hemrosad, pargana Bazargomedpur.	6,525 7 4	...	7 annas 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 3 will be sold and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Lakhmi Prya Guha and Rai Charan Guha, executors to the estate of late Ambika Charan Guha.	2,958 14 0	...	56 7 0
1661	Taluk Shib Joy Barajja, pargana Bazargomedpur.	6,130 3 4	...	6 annas 4 gandas 1 kara 2 kantis 1 danti residuary share will be sold and 5 annas 11 gandas 1 kanti 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 4 will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Jasada Lal Pal Chaudhury and others.	2,383 15 7	...	376 4 10
1661	Ditto	6,130 3 4	3 annas 11 gandas 2 kanti 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 4 will be sold and 6 annas 4 gandas 1 kara 2 kantis 1 danti residuary share will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Hira Lal Pal Choudhury and another.	1,362 4 8	299 4 1
1720	Zamindari share 8 annas 12 gandas 2 kantas 2 kantis, pargana Chandradvip and others.	44,510 8 1	3 annas 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 2 will be sold and 1 anna 10 gandas share covered by separate account No. 7 will be sold separately and all other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Radha Charan Choudhury	9,041 3 3	1,742 9 1
1720	Ditto	44,510 8 1	...	1 anna 10 gandas share covered by separate account No. 7 will be sold and 3 annas, 5 gandas share covered by separate account No. 2 will be sold separately. All other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Ditto ditto ...	4,173 0 7	...	830 7 11
1720	Mauza Obikni Kandi, share 8 as. 10 gda., pargana Chandradvip and others.	3,382 8 1	...	9 annas 2 gandas 2 kantas 19 1/2 this share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold and 6 gandas 1 kara 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 3 and 6 gandas 1 kara 1 danti share covered by separate account No. 5 will be sold separately. All other share than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Mr. George Joseph Jordan	1,929 15 0	...	888 3 9
6751	Taluk Nara Narayan Ghose, pargana Balarampur, Sujabad.	1,529 0 3	...	8 annas residuary share will be sold and the remaining 8 as. share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold separately.	Rakhal Chandra Das himself as proprietor and guardian for his brother Broja Gopal Das.	764 8 1	...	280 9 8
6751	Ditto	1,529 0 3	...	8 annas share covered by separate account No. 1 will be sold and the remaining 8 as. residuary share will be sold separately.	Ditto ditto ...	764 8 2	...	229 11 10

Barisal, the 21st November 1922.

H. GRAHAM, Collector.

Notification.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13 of Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates or shares of estates in the district of 24-Parganas will be put up to sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 10th January 1923 at 12 noon for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
251	Kismat Chak Haturia and others, pargana Baridhati and others.	39,787 0 9	16 annas minus 7 as. 16 gds. 3 crs. 1 kt. 1 dt. 3 kgs. share in respect of 103 mauzas (Chak Haturia and others), excluding the shares in the mauzas Jadavpore, Masar, Arakpore, Shibpore, Mirzapore and Nona Kutabpore, and 12 as. 8 gds. 3 crs. 1 kt. 2 dts. shares in mauzas Jadavpore, Masar, Arakpore, Shibpore, Mirzapore and Nona Kutabpore and 4 as. 11 gds. 1 cr. 0 kt. 0 dt. shares of 103 mauzas (Chak Haturia and others). Separate account 151 R. S.	Nabo Kismore Mondal and others.	8,854 11 9	607 10 6
384	Taraf Manura and others, pargana Baridhati.	7,225 3 0	3 as. 11 gds. 1 kt. 1 dt. share in the estate. Separate account No. 384 R. S.	Shibo Nath Biswas and another.	1,605 9 9	696 2 9
384	Ditto	7,225 3 0	1 a. 15 gds. 2 crs. 2 dts. share in the estate. Separate account No. 384-5. All other shares besides that specified above will be excluded from the sale.	Gurudas Biswas	802 13 1	348 1 1

H. CHATTERJI, for Collector.

Alipore, the 17th November 1922.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
198	Estate Isaltpur, pargana Gilahabari.	1,745 3 6	No	10as. 6gds. 2crs. 2krs. residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rahimuddin Chaudhury, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Mahomed Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Karimnnessa Chaudhury, Besar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazky Bahaman Chaudhury and Abirannessa Chaudhury, Salimannessa Chaudhury, Jaloda Khatun Chaudhury, Arash Bibi Chaudhury, Kalimnnessa Chaudhury, Ha-on Banu Chaudhury, Gafuranessa Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	1,127 1 11	...	44 3 5

Dinajpur, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
469 2	Estate Shyampur, pargana Gilahabari.	Rs. A. P. 1,745 3 6	No	5as. 6g. 2c. 2kr. share separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Sarafuddin Chaudhury and Daimuddin Chaudhury.	Rs. A. P. 581 11 10	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 83 15 10

Dinajpur, the 20th November 1922

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Jessore, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
5295	Gangadaspur, pargana Matlari	Rs. A. P. 5,103 3 7	Yes	Hazari Lal Paramanik.	Rs. A. P. ...	Rs. A. P. 33 4 3	Rs. A. P. ...

Jessore, the 22nd November 1922.

C. C. V. R. SELLS, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Burdwan, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands for September 1922 kist, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
19	Giddigram, etc., pargana Arsha.	Rs. A. P. 7,308 12 11	...	Residuary share 3s. 8g. 2k. 1kr. 3d. is to be sold. All other share than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Priotama Debi, sebast of Anna Purna Thakurani and others.	Rs. A. P. 1,572 5 11	Rs. A. P. ...	Rs. A. P. 53 1 7

Burdwan, the 21st November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
161	Estate Ramdebpur, pargana Phulbari.	3,187 13 4	No	4 annas residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rahimuddin Chaudhury, Kasiram Bibi, Azimunnisa Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Amirunnisa Chaudhury, Safirunnisa Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazley Rahaman Chaudhury and Salimunnisa Chaudhury, Safirunnisa Chaudhury and Latifunnisa Chaudhury, proprietresses and guardian of Fazley Rahaman Chaudhury and Salimunnisa Chaudhury, Nehaluddin Chaudhury, Ismail Bibi Chaudhury, Ismail Bibi Chaudhury, guardian of Osmanuddin Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun, Khodeja Khatun and Chhara Khatun, Saban Bibi Chaudhury guardian of Imanuddin Chaudhury, Ekramuddin Chaudhury and Abeda Khatun, Nezamuddin Chaudhury, Saban Bibi Chaudhury, Kasirunnisa, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Mohamed Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Besar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Fazley Rahaman Chaudhury and Abirunnisa Chaudhury, Shahebanunnisa Chaudhury, Jabeda Khatun, Arash Bibi Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Hason Bann Chaudhury, Gafurunnisa Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	796 12 7	...	28 0 7

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue :—

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tausi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
409	Estate Shyampur, pargana Gilahbari.	1,745 3 6	No	10 as. 6 gds. 2 c. 2 krs. residue share. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Rahimuddin Chaudhury, Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Kasiram Nesabibi, Mahamed Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Mahamed Serajuddin Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee, Baroda Kanta Ganguli, Mahamed Mahatabuddin Chaudhury, Mafizuddin Chaudhury, Serajuddin Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Shahebanunnisa Chaudhury, Besar Bibi Chaudhury, proprietress and guardian of Abirunnisa Chaudhury, and Fazley Rahaman Chaudhury, Jobeda Khatun Chaudhury, Arash Bibi Chaudhury, Karimunnisa Chaudhury, Hason Bann Chaudhury, Gafurunnisa Chaudhury, Narmada Charan Banerjee and Baroda Kanta Ganguli.	1,127 1 11	75 15 4

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

N. N. Roy, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Nadia will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
212 0	Gargari, pargana Shshauziah...	---	No	11as. 5gds. 1c. 3kg. and 11 danti. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Samia Khatun Bibi and others.	3,929 11 0	---	793 12 6
399 6	Ukhra, pargana Ukhra	---	No	13gds. 1c. and 3 danti. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Surandra Nath Banerji and others.	2,557 15 10	---	93 5 8
461 7	Sadipur, pargana Rajpur	---	No	2as. 3kg. 4tils and 8 pon of til. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Padmabati Das and others.	2,286 2 7	---	513 8 8
3410	Bil Kachra, pargana Mahmud-shahi.	549 1 10	Whole	-----	Das Sundari Das and others.	---	12 13 5	---
847	Char Haripur Sutragar, pargana Santipur.	2,981 0 0	Do.	-----	Maharajah Kshaunish Chandra Roy Bahadur.	---	416 8 0	---
910	Char Gudkbali, pargana Ukhra	1,547 0 0	Do.	-----	Hemlata Debi and another.	---	218 8 0	---
921	Char Kharlanadi, pargana Chakla, Krishnagar.	756 0 0	Do.	-----	Maharajah Kshaunish Chandra Roy Bahadur.	---	56 0 0	---
3835	Dearah taluk Raja Pramatha Nath Roy and others, pargana Hapania.	1,229 0 0	Do.	-----	Satyendra Nath Tagore and others.	---	97 7 9	---

Krishnagar, the 22nd November 1922.

RAKHAL MOHAN BANERJI, Deputy Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate in the district of Burdwan will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands, for September 1923 kist, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
5170	Debukadanga, pargana Salsabad.	6,491 15 3	-----	Residuary share—8 as. (eight annas) is to be sold. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Mon Mohini Das	3,210 15 3	-----	478 1 3

Burdwan, the 21st November 1922.

S. G. HART, Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Pabna, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Taxi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
104	Pargana Islampur.	Rs. A. P. 24,326 10 6	Residuary share 2 as. 13 gds. 1 c. and 1 kt. shares of the estate (1) Arkandi, police-station Pabna, (2) Borkhapur, police-station Dui, (3) Biswanathpur, police-station Kumarkhali, (4) Gabbhakandi, alias Gafuriabad, police-station Belgachhi, (5) Lakshimpur, police-station Chatmohar, (6) Makarimpur Dear, police-station Kushtia.	Bejoyendra Narayan Singha and others.	Rs. A. P. 4,052 9 4	Rs. A. P. 519 6 3
232	Dearah mahal Narendra Narayan Singh and others, pargana Begamabad.	830 0 0	Whole	Gopi Sundari and others.	Rs. A. P. 311 4 0
3	Dehi Sharatali, pargana Isfahali.	10,767 2 0 Police— 11 14 6 108 13 0	*Residuary share 3 as. 5 gds. 2 crs. 3 kags. 17½ tils share of Kuradapour, Chak Kurabari alias Kurabari, Chak Daitabari, Jangla Khamar, Bhonghoribari, Kow Neoragha, Khamarpara, 1 a. 1 c. 3 kags 17½ tils of Bithari alias Bithari, Neoragha, Nandiganti, Senganti, 3 as. 5 gds. 2 crs. 3 kags 17½ tils of Krishnapur Chak, Nagarboyra, Paugashi, Farid, Shoratala, Shybari, Durgapur, Haludgharpara, Sitapati, Putia, Bhagirath, Harap Raghabpur alias Bhagpur and Rakhalgachha, 1 a. 15 gds. 3 crs. 1 kag 15 tils share of Balidpara, Janglibari K. alias Jangli, 2 as. 15 gds. 2 crs. 1 kag 3½ tils of Shyampur, 1 a. 15 gds. 3 crs. 1 kag 15 tils of Tejendrabari, Maricha and Bamangaon 2 as. 16 gds. 3 crs. 1 kag 17½ tils of Uchal Satbaria alias Uchal Sanbaria, 5 as. 5 gds. 3 crs. 3 kags of Dwacipur, Damsarath Dhar and Khanandiar 3 as. 5 gds. 2 crs. 3 kags 17½ tils of Kachharibari, Narnia, Alokdia 11 as. 2 gds. 2 crs. of Hemantabati, Salpa alias Hemantabati, Bailkola, Hamkola, Monakdai and Pestak, 11 as. 5 gds. 3 crs. 1 kag 6½ tils of Jamirita Chak, Satbaria, Saham (2 as. 4½ gds.) Aloiyan Chak, Jote Syamchaibaria and Nischintapur 11 as. 5 gds. 3 kags 1 kag 6½ tils of Nowhatta 8 as. 17 gds. 1 kag 2½ tils of Penchakola 9 as. share of Shahbbazpur 9 as. 11 gds. 3 kags 7½ tils of Sontosha 9 as. share Shoratali 9 as. 17 gds. 3 kags 2 kags 1½ tils of Lahribari, Paksha 11 as. 5 gds. 3 crs. 1 kag 6½ tils of Parkola 9 as. 1 gd. 2 crs. 2 kags 7½ tils share of Majgram alias Latibpur, 8 as. 1 gd. 1½ tils share of Chak Tebaria with Chandpur, 11 as. 7 gds. 2 crs. 2 kags 14½ tils of Arjondia Hissya 6 as. alias Khamangaon 8 as. 12 gds. 3 crs. 12 tils 10 tils panda of Gopinathpur, 11 as. 4 gds. 2 crs., 2 kags 6 tils, 1 til pan share of Pakurtola, 9 as. 6 gds. 3 crs. 12½ tils of Tentula alias Ekrampur, 12 as. 6 gds. 2 crs. 2 kag 13½ tils share of Balshabari 15 as. 6 gds. 1 cr. 2 kags 10 tils of Satbaria Chala (13 as. 15½ gds.) 9 as. 6 gds. 2 crs. 9½ tils of Thakurbari 8 as. 11 gds. 1 cr. 2 kags 19½ tils of Chaharapara Gohailbari alias Gohailbari, 5 as. 5 gds. 3 crs. 3 kags of Dasdaspur, 9 as. 6 gds. 3 crs. 9½ tils of Dasantapur and 5 as. 5 gds. 3 crs. 3 kags of Khidrapieta 7 as. 13 gds. 3 crs. 3 kags 5½ tils of Banahbaria 5 as. 15 gds. 3 crs. 10 tils of Dandana 3 as. 5 gds. 2 crs. 3 kags 17½ tils of Koyra Khamarpara, Kachrapara, Nardinnachanda Misiganti, Thorpotajia, Barhatia, Bhatta Pat. khanda, Modeshpur, Mahamadpur, 10 as. 16 gds. 1 cr. 2 kags 10 tils of Govindapur, 8 as. 12 gds. 1 cr. 1 kag 5½ tils of Betil Hissya (7 as.) and Arjondia Hissya (7 as.) 11 as. 7 gds. 2 crs. 1 kag 19½ tils of Chala Betil Kiamat alias Betil (6 as.) 3 as. 5 gds. 2 crs. 3 kags 17½ tils of Udaykrishnapur, Bionjam, Ichhamati Kansoona Kurapetak, Kumarpura alias Sontola Gadhibari, Jagtala alias Jokshati, Baniagantibonum Naikhan Mohajitpara, Hijlia, Horshoratali, Kuradapour, Bilhati, Belal, Bipra, Tentulla, alias Kalinja, Barobar, alias Faridpur, Barobar, Bonbaria, alias Kadai, Bonbaria, Beara, Baniaganti, Beugund, Bhutgachha, Barobar and Ghosanti.	Kashitish Bhushan Ray and others.	Rs. A. P. 3,278 7 0 Police— 32 5 0	Rs. A. P. 718 9 3 Police— 11 14 6

* All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1730	Resumed K. Omar Dhala, Part I, pargana Birahimpar.	Rs. A. P. 1,313 0 0	Whole	Pramatha Nath Saha Chandhury and others.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 30 0 0	Rs. A. P.

H. QUINTON, Collector (*offg.*).

Pabna, the 24th November 1922.

Notification.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13 of Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates or shares of estates in the district of 24-Parganas will be put up to sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement, it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
151	Kismat Chak Haturia, pargana Baridhati and others.	39,787 0 9	4 gds. 11 kags share in 103 mauzas, Chak Haturia and others. All other shares besides those specified will be excluded from the sale.	Radha Benode Mondal	555 14 5	67 10 11
151	Ditto	39,787 0 9	6 gds. 3 crs. 7 dt. share in 103 mauzas, Chak Haturia and others. All other shares besides those specified will be excluded from the sale.	Kherode Moyee Dasl ...	821 7 6	221 10 4
151	Kismat Chak Haturia and others.	39,787 0 9	...	4g. 3c. 5 dt. 3kg. 3l. 1kara 1kt. share in 103 mauzas (Chak Haturia and others). All other shares besides those specified will be excluded from the sale.	Giribala Dasl ...	605 13 8	...	90 2 7
642	Kismat mauza Pura, pargana Anirabad.	1,093 8 7	...	12as. 8g. 3c. 1kt. 2dt. share in the estate. All other shares besides those specified will be excluded from the sale.	Satindra Nath Ray and others.	780 8 7	...	40 15 4

Alipore, the 28th November 1922.

H. C. CHATTERJI, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Rajshahi will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 8th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi number.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
3381	Kaligaon Kallisofo, pargana Kaligaon Kallisofo	549 4 0	Yes	1. Krishna Gopal Sanyal ... 2. Ram Gopal Sanyal.	Land revenue — 119 14 0 Road and Public Works cess— 137 4 0

Rajshahi, the 28th November 1922.

S. N. DASS, Collector (offg.).

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates in the district of Midnapore will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 10th January 1923 at 12 o'clock for arrears of revenue and other demands, which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
312	Pargana Battirahi, mahal Palgera.	630 1 7	Entire	Kedernath Pal and others, Sebait of Lakshi Janardan Jew.	36 0 7	...
2246	Pargana Sabong, mahal Mohar.	1362 1 0	...	Separate account No. 2— The following share of the mauza will be sold :— Mauza— Balarampur As. Banshani Dakhin 12 Gopal Jauabar 12 Lachman chak 12 Madhab chak 12 Mohar 12 Nimki-Mohar 12 Sabong 12 Sitarampur 8 All other shares other than that specified will be exempted from sale.	Syed Bohljad Ali and another.	957 8 2	74 15 2 June and September 1922.
2688	Pargana Patashpur, mahal Manpore.	720 0 0	Entire	Brimatya Goyamoni Das and five others.	26 11 0	...

Midnapore, the 1st December 1922.

K. M. SEN, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estate and shares of estate, in the district of Bogra, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 8th January 1923, at noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears for land revenue :—

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
169	Kusumoo and others, pargana Khetlal.	Rs. A. P. 2,246 12 4	The residuary share of the estate comprising of 5 annas share of mauzas Akdaia, Polta Palka and Sasigach, police-station Khetlal; 5 as. 3 gds. 1 kr. 1 kt. share of mauzas Boyera, Kusumshaw, Khalisa Kusl, Pagachhi and Sarail, police-station Khetlal, Dhulatair, police-stations Panchbibi and Gopalpur, Bhar village Gopinathpur, police-station Ghorughat; 1 a. 2 gds. 2 krs. share of mauza Bohabagarl, police-station Khetlal; 6 as. 17 gds. 2 krs. share of mauza Gobindapur, police-station Khetlal; 7 as. 15 gds. share of mauza Kadwa, police-station Jaipurhat; 7 as. 6 gds. share of mauza Sahalapara, police-station Khetlal; and 1 a. 10 gds. share of mauzas Hastanabad and Kurail, police-station Khetlal. The principal mauza being Kadwa, police-station Jaipurhat will be sold. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Santosh Kumar B and padhya, Syed Reazuddin Quazi and others.	Rs. A. P. 736 11 1	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 196 5 5 as detailed below— Kist. Rs. A. P. 1921. June ... 15 12 1 Sept. ... 174 7 2 1922. Mar. ... 2 12 2 Sept. ... 2 4 0 196 5 5

Bogra, the 25th November 1922

M. R. KHAN, for Collector.

Notification B.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 5 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that unless the arrears mentioned below are paid on or before the next latest date of payment, viz., the 12th January 1923, the undermentioned estates or shares of estates in the district of Midnapore will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district on the 26th March 1923 at 12 o'clock for the said arrears.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share, and that the other share or shares in the estate are excluded from the sale.

Tauzi No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	Nature and amount of demand for which to be sold.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2376	Ph. Sahapore, mahal Baragar.	Rs. A. P. 3,220 6 7 including Police and Palkan.	Residuary share excluding S. A. Nos. 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. The following share of the mauzas will be sold :— Mauzas— A. GDS. Baragar ... 10 0 Dinabekharpur ... 1 5 Debra Kienat ... 10 0 Gahindapur ... 1 5 Kachuaan Palkan ... 8 0 Kanchichak ... 8 0 Langulla chat ... 8 0 Pachgeria ... 8 0 Ramgere ... 19 0 All other shares than that specified will be excluded from sale.	Kamini Dasi and four others.	Rs. A. P. 1,339 7 5 including Police and Palkan.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 89 0 9 including Police January 1921 to September 1922.	Revenue— 73 0 0 Police— 16 0 0 Cess— 125 14 0 Embk.— 451 8 0

Midnapore, the 1st December 1922.

K. M. SEN, for Collector.

Notification A.

NOTICE is hereby given, under sections 6 and 13, Act XI of 1859, that the undermentioned estates and shares of estates, in the district of Dinajpur, will be put up for sale at the office of the Collector of that district, on the 10th January 1923, at 12 noon, for arrears of revenue and other demands which by law are realizable as arrears of land revenue.

When in columns 5, 7 and 9 of the following statement it is stated that only a share is to be sold, it is to be understood that a separate account is kept for that share :—

Tasul No.	Name of mahal and pargana.	Sadar jama of whole estate.	Whether the whole estate is to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, specification of such share or shares.	Names of proprietors of property to be sold.	If only a share is to be sold, the sadar jama of such share.	If the whole estate is to be sold, the arrears due from it.	If only a share is to be sold, the arrears due from it.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
198 2	Estate Inaitpur, pargana Gilahabari.	Rs. A. P. 1,745 3 6	No ...	5 as. 6 gds. 2 cs. 2 kts. share, separate account No. 2. All other shares than that specified will be excluded from the sale.	Sarafuddin Chaudhury, Daimuddin Chaudhury.	Rs. A. P. 581 11 10	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 83 15 10

N. N. Ray, Collector.

Dinajpur Collectorate, the 20th November 1922.

Advertisement of sale.

(See rule 161 of Bengal Government Estates Manual, 1919.)

NOTICE is hereby given that the proprietary right of Government as specified in the conditions of sale below to the undermentioned estate situate in the district of Midnapore, will be put up to sale at the Midnapore Collectorate, on 10th January 1923 corresponding with 26th Paus 1329 (Bengali).

The purchasers will be subject to the following condition of sale :—

Condition of sale.

- 1st.—The estate to be sold to the highest bidder above the upset price which will be fixed by the Collector at the time of sale. The purchaser of this estate will be considered as the proprietor of the estate and the entire proprietary right of Government in such estate will be transferred to him revenue free.
- 2nd.—The sale to be subject to existing leases and to the rights conferred by the settlement proceedings and by the laws in force; and purchasers to be bound to respect the rights of resident cultivators who have signed the schedule of assessment prepared by the revenue authorities.
- 3rd.—If the amount of purchase money do not exceed Rs. 100, the whole amount to be paid down at once.
- 4th.—If the amount of purchase money exceed Rs. 100, one-fourth of the amount bid to be immediately deposited. If the balance be not paid by noon of the fifteenth day after the sale reckoning the day of sale as one or if that day be a close holiday, then by noon of the first succeeding office day the sale is to be cancelled (the sum deposited being forfeited to Government) and the estate to be again put up to sale at the risk of the defaulting purchaser, after issue of advertisement, as in the case of original sale :—

Number on the district roll.	Name of estate and pargana.	Approximate area in acres.	Government revenue assessed.	Remarks.
3053	Estate Sreebara, pargana Mondalghat.	20	Rs. A. P. 0 15 0	Plot No. 322 area in the present settlement 13 kl. 6 ch. or 26 acre.

ILLEGIBLE, for Collector.

Collector's office, Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922.

Notice of sale for arrears of revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868 and Act II (B.C.) of 1871, that the undermentioned Noabad taluks within the Satkania khas mahal in the district of Chittagong, will be put up to public and unreserved sale at the Collector's office of that district on the 10th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cesses remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922 :—

Number.	Name of mauza, thana and mahal.	Name of proprietor.	SADAR JAMA.		AMOUNT OF ARREARS FOR WHICH THE TENURE IS TO BE SOLD.		
			Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
3 408	Thana Bashkhally, mauza, Puichari, mahal Noabad, taluk Moberakali Bakshally.	Makbul Ali Chowdhury, son of Md. Sarif Mijai of Chuta Chancoo, Basharat Ali Chowdhury, son of Jafar Ali of Puichari.	3,125 0 0	462 0 6	1,171 14 0	173 4 0	1,345 2 0
31,1823 35939	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Napora, mahal Noabad, Hal taluk Raj Kumar Rai.	Ijlat Ali Chowdhury and Ali-maddin Chowdhury, sons of Jafar Ali Chowdhury of Puichari.	1,137 7 9	108 0 6	437 2 0	40 8 5	487 10 0
17 420	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Chambal, mahal Noabad, taluk Tajanissa.	Abdul Karim Chowdhury, son of Fazar Ali, of Tailardwip.	1,934 4 0	229 15 0	1,553 0 0	229 15 0	1,784 15 0
63 477	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Chambal, mahal Noabad, taluk Abdul Majid.	Ditto	531 6 0	68 7 3	199 4 0	23 11 0	224 15 0
4061 35000 1	Thana Bashkhally, mauza Buroonchara, mahal Noabad, Hal taluk Kanta Prasad Ramdin Hazari.	Sm. Mathuria Debya, widow of Ramdin Hazari on behalf of her minor sons Rajnarayan Hazari and Sib Dayal Hazari, of Andar Killa, thana Town.	1,942 5 6	328 8 0	414 5 6	125 1 0	539 6 6
5415 4796	Thana Satkania, mauza Borhatia, mahal Noabad, taluk Lal Mahamad Daroga.	Sarada Kripa Lala and Girija Kripa Lala, sons of Pran Hari Lala of Popadina.	1,052 12 0	144 0 9	303 0 0	54 0 3	357 0 3
5685 5080	Thana Satkania, mauza Dagar Potibila, mahal Noabad, taluk Nilmaul.	Jagat Chandra Bhattacharjee, son of Ram Das Bhattacharjee of Chanbara, Maulvi Basal Bahaman of Hasimpur.	566 8 0	101 1 0	566 8 0	101 1 3	667 9 3

Chittagong, the 16th November 1922.

L. BIRLEY, Collector.

Notice of sale for arrears of revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act IX of 1859, read with Act VII (B.S.) of 1868, and Act 1871 that the undermentioned Noabad taluks within the Sadar khas mahal office in the district of Chittagong will be put up to public and unreserved sale at Collector's office of that district on the date of 9th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cess remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922 :—

Serial No.	Number of taluk.	Name of taluk with its situation.	Names of proprietors.	RADAR JAMA.		ARREARS.		
				Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	855 20134	Mauza Bakalia, thana Town, taluk Ahmad Ali.	Munshi Mosharaf Ali and Abdul Aziz and others.	1,755 4 0	233 9 6	387 2 3	70 10 9	457 13 0
2	4120 4355	Mauza Compagnagore, thana Mirsari, taluk Ebar Ali.	Abdul Majid Jaker Hossain.	1,244 14 0	253 11 6	894 7 0	182 4 9	1,076 11 9
3	4618 23929	Mauza Hapania, thana Faticacherry, taluk Farad Jafar.	Nepru Chai Mong Raja	825 3 0	38 8 0	81 0 0	14 8 6	95 8 3

Chittagong, the 16th November 1922.

L. RIRLEY, Collector.

Notice of Sale for arrears of Revenue.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 6, Act XI of 1859, read with Act VII (B.C.) of 1868 and Act II (B.C.) of 1871, that undermentioned Noabad taluk within Raozan khas mahal, in the district of Chittagong, will be put up to public and unreserved sale at the Collector's office of that district on the 9th day of January 1923 for arrears of rent and cesses remaining unpaid on the 28th September 1922.

Serial No.	No. of taluk.	Name of taluk with its situation.	Name of proprietor.	SADAR JAMA.		ARREAR.		
				Rent.	Cess.	Rent.	Cess.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	4440 51899	Mouza Debipur Kadam-tali, thana Ranguni, mohal Noabad, taluk Kaluda Barua.	Ramesh Chandra and others	612 13 9	51 2 3	1,312 2 7	139 0 4	1,451 2 11

Chittagong, the 16th November 1922.

L. BIRLEY, Collector.

IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA.

Statement of the affairs of the Imperial Bank of India on the 24th November 1922.

LIABILITIES.				ASSETS.			
		Rs.	A. P.			Rs.	A. P.
Subscribed Capital	...	11,24,84,000	0 0	Government Securities	...	8,56,35,000	0 0
Capital paid up	...	5,52,46,000	0 0	Other authorized securities under the Act	...	1,26,09,000	0 0
Reserve	...	4,11,89,000	0 0	Loans	...	16,24,22,000	0 0
Public Deposits	...	10,84,05,000	0 0	Cash credits	...	25,92,02,000	0 0
Other Deposits	...	63,53,45,000	0 0	Island bills discounted and purchased	...	4,29,96,000	0 0
Loans against securities per contra	...	1,17,15,000	0 0	Foreign bills discounted and purchased	...	16,73,000	0 0
Contingent liabilities	Bullion
Sundries	...	1,24,99,000	0 0	Dead Stock	...	2,48,89,000	0 0
				Liability of constituents for contingent liabilities per contra
				Sundries	...	53,36,000	0 0
				Balances with other Banks	...	3,79,000	0 0
						59,51,41,000	0 0
				Cash	...	27,02,58,000	0 0
						86,53,99,000	0 0

The above balance sheet includes—

Deposits in London	...	£	184,100
Advances in London	...	£	959,400
Cash and balances at other Banks in London	£		20,100
Percentage	35.19.		
Bank Rate	5 per cent.		

R. AITKEN,
W. B. HUNTER,
Managing Governors.
(2476—1)

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR THE PORT OF CALCUTTA.

Notice of Sale under sections 118 and 119 of the Calcutta Port Act, III of 1890 (B.C.).

NOTICE is hereby given that the liability of the Commissioners for the undermentioned goods consigned to order *ex* the undermentioned vessels has, in terms of section 113 of the abovementioned Act, ceased on the expiration of three clear days from the date of landing. These goods accordingly remain on the Port Commissioners' premises at the sole risk and expense of the owners and, if not cleared on or before the 19th January 1923, on payment of all charges due, will be sold by public auction :—

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 8.			
SS. "Mathura."			
3rd Nov. 1922 ...	A B D Fyzabad ...	3	Cases knitting machinery.
3rd " " "	B T C G ...	34	Bales cotton yarn.
3rd " " "	5056 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Bales cotton.
4th " " "	5054 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Ditto.
3rd " " "	5052 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Ditto.
3rd " " "	5053 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Ditto.
3rd " " "	5051 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Ditto.
3rd " " "	5055 in a diamond, B T on top, D below	5	Ditto.
6th " " "	B G in a diamond ...	2	Cases screws.
7th " " "	B S B D Lucknow ...	1	Case books.
3rd " " "	B S D P in a diamond, A B on top, & Co below, Benares.	1	" cotton yarn.
4th " " "	353 in a diamond, D D on top, S Co below.	6	Cases cottons.
8th " " "	G & S in a diamond, Lucknow ...	1	Case hardware.
3rd " " "	H C M S in a diamond, A B on top & Co below, Benares.	1	" Cotton yarn.
6th " " "	K N G ...	38	Bags bolts and nuts.
6th " " "	Do ...	1	Bag bolts and nuts.
3rd " " "	M G P L, 516 ...	1	Crate casting.
9th " " "	P & Co in a diamond ...	1	Case matches (H. G.).
9th " " "	S C M 282 in a diamond, P B on top, & Co below.	2	Cases machinery (Police yard).
4th " " "	Standard in a diamond, W C on top, & B below.	1	Case shuttle covers.
6th " " "	T E T & Co, Ltd. ...	2	Cases carpenter's tools.
6th " " "	123 in a diamond, U C on top, A I below.	4	Casks hoe heads.
6th " " "	Y in a diamond, J M on top ...	1	Case electric machinery.
8th " " "	Y in a diamond, B J on top ...	1	Case wooden spools.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
	SHED No. 8—concl'd.		
	SS. "Mathura"—concl'd.		
7th Nov. 1922...	P & Co in a triangle ...	5	Cases whisky (W. godown).
9th " " ...	Ditto ...	1	Case heather.
	SHED No. 7.		
	SS. "Mandala."		
21st Nov. 1922 ...	G D C, 153, 154 ...	2	Cases lametta.
	SHED No. 5.		
	SS. "Defender."		
11th Nov. 1922 ...	40 in a heart, B B on top, 72 ...	1	Case galvanized bolts and nuts.
8th " " ...	E & Co ...	7	cases brandy (lying in wine godown).
8th " " ...	H G in a triangle, 17571-91 below, 1 ...	1	Case tools.
8th " " ...	J C S Shillong, 475-6 ...	2	Cases merchandise.
14th " " ...	J S & S in a diamond ...	1	Cask tanner's finishing paste (lying in H. godown).
8th " " ...	R & Sons in a diamond, Allahabad below, 1350-1351.	2	Cases cycles.
8th " " ...	R C S in a triangle, 310-15 ...	6	Cases cotton yarn.
8th " " ...	S W & Co Saboya ...	2	Casks hoes.
	SS. "Mahsud."		
24th Oct. 1922 ...	T C R 672 in a diamond, B H on top, Tools 1685.	1	Case machinery.
25th " " ...	Ditto, 1686 ...	1	Case shellac.
30th " " ...	G in a diamond, 1690 ...	1	Case beer.
2nd Nov. " ...	S M & Co, Ld in a diamond, L P on top, 100.	1	Case printing ink.
1st " " ...	SS in a diamond, G A on top, & Co below.	1	Case sample table salt, etc.
6th " " ...	U N B 53 empty ...	1	Dog kennel.
	SHED No. 6.		
	SS. "Imani."		
7th Nov. 1922 ...	Peltz 0135, 28-29 ...	2	Cases iron safes (one lying at P. yard).
	SS. "Penang Maru."		
16th Nov. 1922 ...	359 in a diamond, A R on top, 1597 1600 ...	4	Cases bronze powder.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 6—concl'd.			
SS. "Penang Maru"—concl'd.			
16th Nov. 1922...	$\frac{271}{1240}$ in a diamond, A K on top, & Co below, 1-3.	3	Cases socks.
16th " " ...	$\frac{271}{1340}$ in a diamond, A K on top, & Co below, 1.	1	Case socks.
16th " " ...	357 in a diamond, A R on top, 1601-05	5	Cases cutlery ware.
16th " " ...	$\frac{102}{A}$ in a triangle, C M on top, 4-6 ...	3	Cases glassware.
17th " " ...	B K P C in a diamond, Laboratory below, 146.	1	Case porcelain.
16th " " ...	C M in a diamond, 56-68 ...	13	Cases porcelain insulators.
16th " " ...	$\frac{D D S}{337}$ in a diamond, 5765-68 ...	4	Cases electric goods.
17th " " ...	D W & Co in a diamond, Konnagar below.	1	Case glassware.
17th " " ...	H B Ld in a diamond, H B on top, E L below, 1-4.	4	Cases photographic materials.
17th " " ...	H A T	1	Bundle motor tyre.
16th " " ...	K S in a diamond, K C on top, 55 ...	1	Case toilet soap.
17th " " ...	Nil	2	Packets combs.
17th " " ...	Nil	2	Bundles combs.
17th " " ...	Nil	3	Pieces mirror with frame.
17th " " ...	Nil	1	Piece mirror without frame.
17th " " ...	Nil	9	Pieces under-shirts (full size).
17th " " ...	Nil	1	Piece under-shirt (small size).
17th " " ...	Nil	1	Piece under-shirt (torn).
17th " " ...	Nil	1	Bundle merchandise.
16th " " ...	$\frac{R B}{48}$ in a diamond, 5769-73 ...	5	Cases cotton goods.
16th " " ...	$\frac{52}{012}$ in a diamond, S M on top, I C below, 12-15.	4	Cases antimony whistles.
16th " " ...	$\frac{52}{016}$ in a diamond, S M on top, I C below, 16-17.	2	Ditto.
16th " " ...	W M C in a diamond, M I on top, M R below, 2511, 1.	1	Case combs.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
SHED No. 2.			
SS. "Liebenfels."			
10th Nov. 1922 ..	<u>2093-4</u> 43-140 in a block. Belgica on top ...	1	Case provisions.
8th " " ...	Extra in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1036-38.	3	Cases ironware.
7th " " ...	GD Calcutta, 1421 ...	1	Case perfumery.
8th " " ...	239 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1028-30, 1035.	4	Cases glassware.
8th " " ...	413 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1033.	1	Case glassware.
8th " " ...	240 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1034.	1	Ditto.
8th " " ...	412 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1031-32.	2	Cases glassware.
8th " " ...	165 in a triangle, L E on top, 1-4078 ...	1	Case padlocks.
10th " " ...	231 in a triangle, L E on top, 1 ...	1	Ditto.
10th " " ...	M in a diamond, 527-8 ...	2	Cases needles.
8th " " ...	3654 in a triangle, S A K & Co on top, 505-08.	4	Cases pencils.
8th " " ...	3654 in a triangle, S A K & Co on top, 500-04.	5	Ditto.
7th " " ...	S S B & Co, 1-3, 14 ...	4	Cases merchandise.
10th " " ...	0208 in a diamond, SM on top, A K below, 2338-1.	1	Case buttons.
7th " " ...	S S B & Co, 4-13 ...	10	Cases merchandise.
7th " " ...	<u>T & C L E</u> 448-119 in a block, 1-8 ...	8	Cases hardware.
SS. "Lalsang."			
13th Nov. 1922...	M E & Co ...	1	Case canned fruits.
13th " " ...	<u>Saleh</u> 1252 in a diamond, S A on top, K & Co below, 7128.	1	Case tinware.
13th " " ...	<u>Saleh</u> 1248 in a diamond, S A on top, K & Co below, 7380-1.	2	Cases nickelware.
SHED No. 4.			
SS. "Tysla".			
17th Nov. 1922...	1863 in a diamond, B X on top, Ran- goon below, 52764, 52705.	2	Kegs merchandise.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
	SHED No. 4—contd.		
	SS. "Tysia"—contd.		
17th Nov. 1922 ...	1867 in a diamond, B on top, Rangoon below, 53649.	1	Keg merchandise.
13th " " ...	$\frac{279}{23}$ in a block, Belgica on top, 4-6...	3	Cases merchandise.
15th " " ...	D A H G in a diamond, B K on top, 530 R S below, 102901-950.	50	Cases hurricane lamps.
15th " " ...	Extra in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1015-16, 1025-27.	5	Cases merchandise.
15th " " ...	G Calcutta, 488	1	Case glassware.
13th " " ...	298 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1017-19.	3	Cases glassware.
13th " " ...	299 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1023-24.	2	Ditto.
13th " " ...	295 in a triangle, H D on top, S below, 1020-22.	3	Ditto.
17th " " ...	A diamond, H S on top, & Co below, Rangoon, 26.	1	Keg merchandise.
16th " " ...	M A in a triangle, P B below, Taferja 70953-60.	8	Cases toys.
15th " " ...	K H K, 1-50	50	Cases merchandise.
15th " " ...	Ditto, 51-100	50	Ditto.
17th " " ...	M A Y Bombay, 45	1	Keg merchandise.
17th " " ...	Nil	1	Ditto.
16th " " ...	Pama in a diamond, D K on top, & Co below, 4820-29.	10	Cases glass beads.
16th " " ...	Pama in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4475-78, 4572-77, 4502-3, 4593, 4626-31.	19	Cases Gablonz goods, coral glass beads.
16th " " ...	Pama in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4412-15.	4	Ditto.

Date of landing.	Marks and numbers.	Quantity.	Description.
	SHED No. 4—concl'd. SS. "Tysla"—concl'd.		
16th Nov. 1922...	Pama 577 in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4937-40.	4	Cases Gablonz goods.
16th " " ...	Pama 577 in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4933-36.	4	Cases Gablonz goods, coral glass beads.
16th " " ...	Pama 588 in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4212.	1	Gablonz goods.
16th " " ...	Pania 581 in a diamond, D K on top, & Co below, $\frac{4708}{12}$.	5	Cases Gablonz goods, coral glass beads.
16th " " ...	Pania 589 in a diamond, D K on top & Co below, 4990.	1	Case Gablonz goods, coral glass beads.
15th " " ...	Pania 646 in a diamond, D on top & C below, 4955-6, 4953.	3	Cases merchandise.
15th " " ...	Pania 588 in a diamond, D K on top & C below, 4195.	1	Case merchandise.
15th " " ...	Pania 646 in a diamond, D K on top, & C below, 4954.	1	Ditto.
16th " " ...	B Sethia in a diamond, A C on top, 782 & Co below, 2647-48.	2	Cases Gablonz goods.
16th " " ...	B Sethia in a diamond, A C on top, 783 & Co below, 2650.	1	Case Gablonz goods.
13th " " ...	9378 in a diamond, S M on top, A K below, 3054.	1	Case padlocks.
13th " " ...	9378, in a diamond, S M on top, A K below, 3054-2-3.	2	Cases padlocks.
16th " " ...	Sethia 779 in a diamond, A C on top, & Co below, 2651-52.	2	Cases Gablonz goods.
16th " " ...	Sethia 785 in a diamond, A C on top, & Co below, 2649.	1	Case ditto.
16th " " ...	205 in a block, 1-2 ...	2	Cases cigarettes.
16th " " ...	Trading Company Orient, 12, Dalhousie Square East.	1	Packet sample matches (H. Go- down).

Postponed Sheriff's Sale Proclamation.

TO be peremptorily sold pursuant to an order made by the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal, in its Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction, and dated the 29th day of July 1919 in suit No. 1609 of 1918 (wherein the Hon'ble Brojendra Kishore Roy Choudhuri was the plaintiff and the Hon'ble Nawabzada Syed Altaf Ali was the defendant) by the Sheriff of Calcutta, in his sale-room on the ground floor of the court-house by public auction on Friday, the 5th day of January 1923, at the hour of 12 o'clock noon, the right, title and interest of the defendant the Hon'ble Nawabzada Syed Altaf Ali, in the undermentioned properties:—

1. All that partly one and partly two-storied brick-built structure, together with the piece or parcel of land on part whereof the same is built and erected containing by estimation 6 cottahs 12 chitaks 35 square feet, be the same a little more or less, situate, lying at and being premises No. 40, Metcalfe Street, in block No. 16, holding No. 277, in the South Division of the town of Calcutta, and which is butted and bounded in manner following:—

On the north by premises No. 42, Metcalfe Street, on the east by premises No. 27, Weston Street, on the south by premises No. 25, Weston Street, and on the west by Metcalfe Street.

2. All that partly one and partly two-storied brick-built structure, together with the piece or parcel of land on part whereof the same is built and erected, containing by estimation 5 cottahs 10 chitaks and 40 square feet, be the same a little more or less, situate, lying at and being premises No. 42, Metcalfe Street, in block No. 16, holding No. 277, in the South Division of the town of Calcutta, and which is butted and bounded in manner following:—

On the north by premises No. 44, Metcalfe Street, on the east by 27, Weston Street, on the south by No. 40, Metcalfe Street.

3. All that partly one and partly two-storied brick-built structure together with the piece or parcel of land on part whereof the same is built and erected containing by estimation 3 cottahs 4 chitaks and 30 square feet, be the same a little more or less, situate, lying at and being premises No. 25, Weston Street, in block 16, holding No. 285, in the South Division of the town of Calcutta, and which is butted and bounded in manner following:—

On the north by premises No. 40, Metcalfe Street, on the east by premises No. 27, Weston Street, on the south by Weston Street, and on the west by Metcalfe Street.

4. All that partly one, partly two and partly three-storied brick-built structure together with the piece or parcel of land on part whereof the same is built and erected containing by estimation 1 bigha 11 cottahs 4 chitaks and 25 square feet, be the same a little more or less, situate, lying at or being premises No. 27, Weston Street, in block No. 16, holding No. 285, in the South Division of the town of Calcutta, and which is butted and bounded in manner following:—

On the north by premises Nos 46, 52 and 54, Metcalfe Street, on the south by Weston Street, and on the west by premises No. 25, Weston Street, and Nos. 40 and 42 Metcalfe Street. All the above properties are revenue-free.

It appears from searches made in the Calcutta Registry office from 1865 to 10th February 1920 that there are no incumbrances affecting the above properties save, and except an Indemnity Bond in respect of the last named premises for Rs. 28,000 executed by Syed Faizal Bari, Mohamed Altaf Ali Choudhuri, in suit No. 13 of 1913, in the Court of the 3rd Subordinate Judge of 24-Parganas at Alipore, and also in connection with suit No. 992 of 1915 at the High Court of Calcutta and which is registered in Book I, Vol. 94, at pages 23 to 26 and is No 3403 for the year 1916. The abovementioned properties are to be sold for the realization of the decretal amount, viz., Rs. 1,29,583-1-9, with interest thereon at 6 per cent. per annum from the date of the decree until realization.

The conditions of sale may be seen at the office of the said Sheriff on any day before the sale and will be provided at the said sale.

W. C. CURRIE, Sheriff.

Dutt & Sen, Attorneys for the plaintiff.

High Court, Sheriff's Office, the 29th November 1922.

(2475—1)

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Jessore.**MONEY EXECUTION CASE No. 107 of 1922.**

The Jessore United Bank, Limited, registered office at Jessore town, decree-holder,

versus

Babu Gopalhari Ghosh Chowdhury, Zeminder of Ramnagar, police-station Katawali, district Jessore, judgment-debtor.

IN the above case the undermentioned immoveable property to be sold by the Nazir of that Court, at 12 noon, on 16th January 1923, by an auction sale for realization of the decretal amount Rs. 478-3 only.

Schedule of property.

Tauzi No. 275 of Jessore Collectorate, in the district Jessore, police-station Katawali, pargana Isafpore, taraf Talbaria and others, mauzas Talbaria, Gopalpore, Dhanghata, Ghurulia, Sultanpore and others. Annual revenue is Rs. 1,329-5-6 pies of which 3 annas 7 gandas 3 kaks and 4 tils share of the judgment-debtor is the subject-matter of sale. Valuation Rs. 450.

B. B. Roy, Munsif.

Jessore, the 30th November 1922.

(2480—1)

NOTICE.**In the Subordinate Judge's 2nd Court at Howrah.****RENT EXECUTION CASE No. 6 of 1922.**

(Claim Rs. 5,795-3 as. 19 gandas.)

Gurudas Kundu Choudhuri and others, of Mohiary, decree-holders,

versus

Kunjabehari Bhuiya and others, of Tehatta, judgment-debtors.

IT is hereby notified for general information that the mokarari mairasi ijarah rights of the judgment-debtors under the decree-holders of the following properties will be put up for sale at public auction in the Court premises of the Subordinate Judge's 2nd Court at Howrah, at 12 noon, on the 11th day of December 1922, in the above Rent Execution Case:—

Name of estate and village in which the property is situate.	Name of pargana.	Name of thana.	Quantity of land.	Annual rental.	Approximate value.
			B. c. ch.	Rs.	Rs.
Lat. Tehulia and village Tehatta.	Balla ...	Thana Uluberia, district Howrah.	24 11 6	2,181	10,000
Village Bar-harishpur.	Do. ...	Do. ...	38 1 1		
Total	62 12 7		

DURGA KANTA ROY, Subordinate Judge.

Howrah, the 24th November 1922.

(2464—1)

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Benares.

EXECUTION CASE No. 186 of 1922.

Kedar Nath Daga, degree-holder,

versus

Raj Narayan Misra, judgment-debtor.

To Raj Narain Misra, son of Ram Adhar Misra, of mauza Baharawa, pargana Kaswar Raja, district Benares, now a prisoner at the Presidency Jail, Calcutta.

WHEREAS the abovenamed degree-holder has applied for the sale of your property; you are hereby informed that 9th day of December 1922 has been fixed for settling the terms of the proclamation of sale.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 10th day of November 1922.

By order,

AJUDHYA SINGH, Munsareem.

(2328—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Abdul Rohim, of Mirjabajar, town Midnapore, (2) Gora Santal, son of Jagu Santal, of Tilakhulia, (3) Mothur Mahato, (4) Jyoti Mahato, (5) Bankim Mahato, minor sons of Kartick Mahato, by their guardian mother Sm. Kunti Dasi, of Mohishara, (6) Ananda Chandra Laha, son of Siddheswar Laha, (7) Kinnu Mahato, son of Sibru Mahato, of Miapara, (8) Sotish Chandra Nag, son of Umacharan Nag, of Barabazar, town Midnapore, (9) Probodh Pal, (10) Sotish Chandra Paul, son of late Damu Paul, of Deulkunda, (11) Mohesh Chandra Ghose, son of Iswar Chandra Ghose, of Deulkunda, (12) Ram Mudi, son of Rupai Mudi, of Asurkhulia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 510 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 510 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mouza Bonisole, thana No. 289, interest No. 11 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2416—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Lalu Santal, son of Mohon Santal, (2) Dullav Acharja, son of Saday Acharja, of Chatni, (3) Iswar Santal, son of Manas Santal, (4) Loveswar Santal, son of Chamu Santal, of Bhatsole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 287 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 287 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhatsole, thana No. 139, interest No. 24 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C. within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2411—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gopi Nath Sau, son of late Ajodhya Nath Sau, of Machbinda, (2) Ashari Mahato, son of Bauri Mahato, (3) Uchit Mahato, (4) Fakir Mahato, son of late Sridhar Mahato, of Joypur, (5) Praballad Mahato, son of Krishna Mahato, of Machbinda, (6) Umesh Mahato, son of late Sankar Mahato, of Machbinda, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 320 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 320 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Shyamchak, thana No. 129, interest No. 27 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2412—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Solboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ram Chandra Paul, son of Haraprasad Paul, of Brahmanbhum, (2) Girish Ch. Paul, son of Srimanta Paul, of Anandapur, (3) Derika Nath Ghose, son of late Radha Shyam Ghose, of Dhudpathri, (4) Tuilu Santal, son of Nitai Santal of Nadyara, (5) Indra Narain Ghose, (6) Babulal Ghose, of Dhudpathri, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 326 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 326 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company of that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Khurkburisole, thana No. 1, interest No. 39 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922.

(2413—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Priyanath Ghose, (2) Annada Charan Ghose, of Bairabpur, pargana Bagri, (3) Rudhir Mahato, minor son of Kartick Mahato, of Barmesia, (3) Bipin Mahato, of Barmesia, (4) Chaitan Mahato, son of Bijoy Mahato, of Pidrakuli, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 343 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 343 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Ghagrasole, thana No. 168, interest No. 44 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore the 22nd November 1922.

(2414—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Romesh Chandra Mitra, son of late Kartik Chandra Mitra, Receiver to the estate of late Bharat Ramanuj Das, Mohonto, of Keranitola, town Midnapore, (2) Mehraj Khan, (3) Umed Khan, son of Sorijut Khan, of Bara Sijua, (4) Jibon Deshali, son of Gour Deshali, (5) Rakhal Deshali, son of Modhu Deshali, of Rosulpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 367 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 367 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Rasunpur, thana No. 42, interest No. 8 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 8th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922.

(2415—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Upendra Nath Sow, (2) Debendra Nath Sow, son of Sita Nath Sow, of Musua, (3) Gonesh Chandra Mahato, (4) Rameswar Mahato, son of Gunaram Mahato, of Kumirkata, (5) Chinibash Mahato, son of Ajodhya Mahato, of Goralata, (6) Rai Charan Das, son of Shyam Das, of Rangameta, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 516 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 516 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Goralata, thana No. 62, interest No. 74 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922.

(2417—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Adhar Chandra Paul, son of Banamali Paul, of Narsingpur, (2) Chintamani Jana, (3) Rosik Jana, (4) Sashi Bhushon Jana, son of Jadab Chandra Jana, of Narsingpur, (5) Gopinath Panda, son of late Rakhal Panda, of Bencha, (6) Sm. Kherodamoni Dasi, wife of late Nimai Hati, of Bhursa, (7) Lakshinaran Paul, (8) Adhor Chandra Paul, (9) Ishan Ch. Paul, (10) Nasikanta Paul, (11) Chandra Kanta Paul, of Narsingpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 549 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 549 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Narsingpur, thana No. 281, interest No. 73 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 8th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2418—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Gopinath Sau, (2) Nitai Sau, son of Ajodhyaram Sau, of Machbinda, (3) Rakhal Kalamuri, minor son of Arun Kalamuri, of Anandapur, (4) Khudiram Nandi, (5) Indubala Dasi, wife of late Kumed Nandi, of Satpati, (6) Blut Nath Ghose, son of Godadhar Ghose, of Abradili, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 559 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 559 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Banokana, thana No. 101, interest No. 83 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2419—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debiprassad Bhaket, son of Siba Lakshan Bhaket, of Kornelgola, town Midnapore, (2) Janaki Bhaket, son of Chabari Bhaket, of Khapral Bazar, town Midnapore, (3) Adhar Kundu, (4) Nani Kundu, (5) Kedar Kundu, (6) Rajendra Kundu, minor sons of Duari Kundu, of Saura, (7) Bhuttys Bhuan, minor son of Chintamani Bhuan, of Chandra, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 586 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 586 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Chandra, thana No. 238, interest No. 60 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2420—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debendra Nath Duari, son of Nafar Ch. Duari, of Choita, (2) Uma Charan Paul, son of Hara Krishna Paul, of Bagmari, (3) Kumed Parayal, son of Chintamani Parayal, of Salboni, (4) Pelaram Prodhon, son of Sadananda Prodhon, of Barapathar Kumkuni, (5) Sripati Ray, son of Chandra Mohan Ray, of Barapathar Kumkuni, (6) Takurdas Mahato, son of Nabin Mahato, of Kujami Gohaldihi, (7) Gokul Dogra, son of Samanta Dogra, of Kujami Gohaldihi, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 592 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 592 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Barapathar Kumkuni, thana No. 221, interest No. 60 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2421—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Debendra Nath Mohis, son of Chintamani Mohis, of Nimtala, (2) Dinu Mahato, (3) Sombhu Mahato, son of Kinu Mahato, of Hatinote, (4) Jamadar Mahato (5) Bhuson Mahato, minor grandsons of Ajodhya Ram Mahato, by their guardian mother Sumatra Mahatani, of Modhupur, (6) Shyam Mahato, (7) Bhukla Mahato, minor sons of Ramdhan Mahato, of Hatinote, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 604 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 604 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Hatinote, thana No. 74, interest No. 73 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 14th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2422—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Fazle Rahaman, son of Sheikh Amiruddin, (2) Lal Mahmed, son of Sheikh Alimuddin, of Sorisakhola, (3) Akhil Chandra Roy, son of Durga Prasad Roy, (4) Joyram Mahato, son of Sitaram Mahato, of Sitanathpur, (5) Guruprasad Ghose, son of Gangahori Ghose, of Banskapua, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 765 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 765 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Sitanathpur, thana No. 319, interest No. 29 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 5th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2423—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Bankim Behari Sing, son of Gonesh Chandra Sing, of Birkaur, pargana Brahmanbhum, (2) Nibaran Bhang, son of Arun Bhang, (3) Bireswar Mandal, son of Arun Mandal, (4) Sm. Kshemi Dasi, wife of Ram Charan Choira, (5) Mirtan Das, brother of late Srinath Das, of Amchura, (6) Bhuta Santal, son of Ramai Santal, (7) Maniram Santal, son of Mongla Santal, of Bulanpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 821 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 821 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bulanpur, thana No. 396, interest No. 21 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 14th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2424—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Biraswar Sirkar, son of Radha Mohan Sirkar, of Mianbazar, town Midnapore, (2) Gonesh Chandra De, (3) Ashutosh De, (4) Chunaram De, minor son of Fakir De, by their guardian brother Ashutosh De, of Salboni, (5) Basanta Kumar Dutta, son of Akhoy Kumar Dutta, of Kona, pargana Hatisahar, (6) Bhut Nath Bhakat, son of Durga Charan Bhakat, of Salboni, (7) Surendra Mohan Roy, son of Payari Mohan Roy, (8) Akhil Chandra Chandra, (9) Narendra Chandra, of Salboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 872 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 872 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Chaktarini, thana No. 401, interest No. 46 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 15th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8 C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2425—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Choudhuri Golam Alek, son of Choudhuri Golam Ajahur, of Bibigunj, town Midnapore, (2) Nafar Chandra Duari, son of Klundiram Duari, of Choira, (3) Prankrista Tah, (4) Koilash Chandra Tah, son of Becharam Tah, of Gobru, (5) Solish Chandra Bag, son of late Radhashyam Bag, of Anandapur, (6) Ashutosh Patra, son of Doyal Patra, of Choira, (7) Nodiarchand Ghose, (8) Upendra Nath Ghose, (9) Jogendra Nath Ghose, son of Uday Ghose, of Bhabrigeria, (10) Abinash Chandra Paul, son of Fakirdas Paul, of Jamboni, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 965 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 965 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bhabrigeria, thana No. 518, interest No. 110 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 15th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2426—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Ashutosh Laha, (2) Jadunath Laha, son of Shyam Laha, of Miyanpara, (3) Khudiram Nandi, (4) Indubala Dasi, wife of Kumed Nandi, of Satpati, (5) Mokunda Sau, (6) Raghunath Sau, sons of Iewar Chandra Sau, of Satpati, (7) Nimai Majhi, son of Bhakat Majhi, of Satpati, (8) Karan Bhumij, son of Bhakta Bhumij, of Jashpur, pargana Midnapore defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 557 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 557 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Deuli, thana No. 265, interest No. 107 in Bhanjabhum, pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2428—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Rakhal Kalamuri, of Anandapore, (2) Gopal Ch. Banerjee, of Mirbazar, town Midnapore, (3) Chintamani Mahato, son of Mothur Mahato, of Sadhusole, (4) Lakhikanta Mahato, (5) Motilal Mahato, (6) Joti Mahato, (7) Goti Mahato, minor sons of Uday Mahato, by their guardian mother Sm. Prasannamoni Dasi, of Bhangaband, (8) Gangadhar Mahato, (9) Dharani Mahato, (10) Kunja Mahato, (11) Kumed Mahato, minor sons of Kanai Mahato, by their guardian brother Gangadhar Mahato, of Nonasole, (12) Khenta Mahato, of Nonasole, minor son of Akhoy Mahato, of Nonasole, (13) Meghu Mahato, minor son of Nabin Mahato, of Nonasole, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 451 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 451 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Nonasole, thana No. 111, interest No. 196 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2427—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Bhutnath Ghose, son of late Godadar Ghose, of Abradihi, (2) Mukunda Bhumij, (3) Joydeb Bhumij, minor son of Narain Bhumij, of Joynaranpur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 601 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 601 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Joynaranpur, thana No. 9, interest No. 92 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore the 22nd November 1922. (2429—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

VERSUS

- (1) Khudu Mahato, son of Rathu Mahato, (2) Khelaram Mahato, son of Bharat Mahato, (3) Gobinda Mahato, son of Satrugghna Mahato, (4) Kubir Patra, son of Arun Chandra Patra, (5) Durgaram Mahato, son of Gombhir Mahato, of Sarasbedia, (6) Boikunta Mahato, son of Thakurdas Mahato, of Sarasbedia, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 759 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 759 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Sarashedia, thana No. 440, interest No. 87 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 11th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2430—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

VERSUS

- (1) Rakhal Ch. Kalamiri, (2) Abinash Ch. Nandi, of Anadapur, (3) Dhoniram Mahato, (4) Kartik Balari, (5) Haripada Desali, (6) Iswar Desali, of Bajbazar, (6) Bodhu Mahato, son of Roghu Nath Mahato, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 764 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 764 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Bagbasa, thana No. 75, interest No. 329 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 13th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2431—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 2nd Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

VERSUS

- (1) Rameswar Kalamurdi, son of Rakhal Kalamurdi, of Anandapur, (2) Bhola Nath Mahat, son of Haka Mahat, of Mugabari, (3) Rajendra Mahat, by his guardian mother Durga Mani Dasi, of Pochakuya, (4) Mohesh Dutta, (5) Sreekantha Mahat, of Bhangaband, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 811 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 811 of 1922 in the 2nd Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Juandanga, thana No. 331, interest No. 47 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 6th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

S. BANERJEE, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2432—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

VERSUS

- (1) Gangaram Gope, son of Durgaram Gope, (2) Sankar Gope, son of Bhagirath Gope, of Khapralbazar, town Midnapore, (3) Naran Doloi, (4) Akhil Doloi, minor son of Ishan Doloi, by his guardian mother Sm. Sindhubala Dasi, wife of late Kedar Doloi, of Kujamigohaldih, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 388 of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 388 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Susnibari, thana No. 223, interest No. 26 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALFV AHMEN, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2434—1)

NOTICE

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Jiban Das, (2) Gobardhon Das, (3) Jogendra Nath Das, (4) Kanai Das, (5) Durilav Das, (6) Komol Das, (7) Prankrishna Das, son of Srimanta Das, of Mannale, (8) Gonesh Desali, son of Bharat Desali of Mohespur, (9) Gupinath Desali, minor son of Ram Desali, of Jamdoba, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{459}{356}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{459}{356}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jamdoba, thana No. 6, interest No. 33 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2435—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Iewar Ch. Sou, (2) Babu Lal Sou, son of Brikhanu Sou, (3) Upendra Nath Sou, (4) Debedra Nath Sou, son of Sitaram Sou, (5) Sajal Hemrom, (6) Deyal Hemrom, (7) Sanaton Hemrom, by their guardian uncle Haradhon Hemrom, of Rangameti pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{407}{515}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindary Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{407}{515}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Rangameti, thana No. 63, interest No. 48 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2436—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Khudiram Nandi, (2) Indubala Dasi, of Satpati, (3) Fakir Betal, (4) Haradhon Betal, (5) Joydeb Betal, (6) Srihari Betal, (7) Karan Bhumi, of Jaspur, (8) Hari Mallik, son of Sridhar Mallik, of Dhanghori, pargana Midnapore, thana Salboni, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{508}{558}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{508}{558}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Jaspur, thana No. 264, interest No. 77 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2437—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Haru Mahato, of Galgalehati, pargana Bahadurpur, (2) Kalidas Mahato, (3) Aghor Mahato, of Paloia, (4) Chintamani Mahato, (5) Bhagirath Mahato, son of Krista Mahato, of Neria, (6) Rakhai Kalamuri, son of Arun Kalamuri, of Anandapur, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{395}{517}$ of 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{395}{517}$ of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Neria, thana No. 36, interest No. 72 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2438—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari, Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Premchand Roy, son of Ananda Roy, of Mirbajar, town Midnapore, (2) Akshay Deshali, (3) Provash Ch. Pal, minor, by his guardian grandmother Sm. Mati Dasi, (4) Bhuban Patar, (5) Bhupati Patar, (6) Apurna Patar, (7) Kalipada Patar, sons of late Jogneswar Patar, (8) Hem Ch. Ghose, (9) Sm. Makhnabala Dasi, wife of Banamali Ghose, deceased, of Kunrajuri, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. 460 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. 460 of 1922 in the 1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding manza Kunrajuri, thana No. 90, interest No. 18 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any persons having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 14th December 1922, and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2439—1)

NOTICE.

In the Court of the 1st Munsif at Midnapore.

Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, of Godapiasal, pargana Bhanjabhum, thana Salboni, Midnapore, plaintiffs,

versus

- (1) Sahab Ram Mahato, (2) Banu Mahato, (3) Umesh Mahato, minor sons of Dhunaram Mahato, (4) Gunaran Mahato, (5) Hariram Mahato, (6) Dharmadas Mahato, (7) Joydeb Santal, of Kumirkata, (8) Dinanath Mohis, of Nimala, pargana Midnapore, defendants.

TITLE SUIT No. $\frac{396}{597}$ OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Midnapore Zamindari Company, Limited, has instituted suit No. $\frac{396}{597}$ of 1922 in the

1st Munsif's Court, Midnapore. In the record-of-rights of pargana Bhanjabhum in district Midnapore various rights and profits are recorded in favour of the tenants of Bhanjabhum in the khas patit and khas jungles of the Company in that pargana. The Company seek in that suit a declaration that the entry in the record-of-rights regarding mauza Kumirkata, thana No. 76, interest No. 9 in Bhanjabhum pargana is *ultra vires* and wrong, and that the tenants have no such rights. As all the tenants of the pargana Bhanjabhum are interested in the suit and as the remedies are sought against all of them, any person having any land in Bhanjabhum and desirous of defending their allied rights may appear in the suit on 12th December 1922 and contest, if they like, under Order 1, Rule 8, C. P. C., within fifteen days from the service of this notice.

M. ALTAF AHMED, Munsif.

Midnapore, the 22nd November 1922. (2440—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 27 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 16 OF 1921.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 18th July 1921, filed by H. B. Sookias, now residing at Kuardi Colliery, near Kalipahari, thana Asansol, district Burdwan, and on the application of the aforesaid debtor and on reading his petition and hearing the pleader of the petitioner, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He should apply for discharge within six months hence.

Dated this 19th day of September 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJI, Sub-Judge.
(2453—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 27 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 3 OF 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 12th January 1922, filed by Baijnath Karia, son of late Khetsidas Karia, of Raniganj, thana Raniganj, district Burdwan, and on the application of the aforesaid debtor and on reading his petition and hearing the pleader of the petitioner it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He should apply for discharge within six months hence.

Dated this 17th day of July 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJI, Sub-Judge.
(2454—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 27 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1907.]

In the Court of the Sub-Judge at Asansol.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 10 OF 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 12th July 1922, filed by Dasarath Sutradhar, son of late Mahananda Sutradhar, of Ukhra, police-station Ondal, district Burdwan, and on the application of the aforesaid debtor and on reading his petition and hearing the pleader of the petitioner it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He should apply for discharge within six months hence.

Dated this 4th day of November 1922.

B. G. CHATTERJI, Sub-Judge.
(2455—1)

NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF APPLICATION FOR DISCHARGE.

[Section 44 (i) of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the 4th Court of the Sub-Judge at Allpore.

INSOLVENCY PETITION No. 64 OF 1921.

In the matter of Hiralal Karmakar, of Taki, thana Hasnabad, district 24-Parganas, *ex-parte*.

TAKE notice that the abovenamed insolvent has applied at the Court for his discharge, and that the Court has fixed the 18th day of December 1922, at 11 o'clock, for hearing the application.

Dated this 15th day of November 1922.

N. N. GHOSH, Sub-Judge.
(2360—1—2313)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Alipore.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 24 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 16th June 1922, made by Aminulla, son of Shaikh Kalimullah, of 38, Watgunge Street, Kidderpore, and on the application of the debtor and on reading his application and hearing his pleader it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. He must apply for his discharge within one year.

Dated this 13th day of November 1922.

E. B. H. PANTON, District Judge.
(2385—1—2311)

In the Court of the District Judge of Bakarganj.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 8 of 1922.

NOTICE is hereby given that one Mahamud Ayajaddin Mallik, son of late Mahamud Arman Mallik, of Sagardi, police-station Kotwali, has applied to this Court to be declared an insolvent, and that the 22nd December next has been fixed for hearing the petition and for examination of the petitioner.

D. C. PATTERSON, District Judge.
Barisal, the 29th November 1922. (2479—1)

In the Court of the District Judge at Bankura.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 3 of 1921.

Jugal Kishore Kundu, petitioner.

NOTICE under clause (1), section 41 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, is given that Jugal Kishore Kundu, son of late Kailash Chandra Kundu, of Bankura, who was adjudged insolvent by order of this Court, dated 2nd April 1921, has applied for an order of discharge, and that the 9th December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the application and any objections which may be made thereto.

H. M. VEITCH, District Judge.
Bankura, the 28th November 1922. (2457—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Bankura.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 8 of 1921.

Gurudoyal Dutta Karmakar, petitioner.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 1 of 1922.

Banamali Dutta Karmakar, petitioner.

NOTICE under clause (1), section 41 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, is given that Gurudoyal Dutta Karmakar and Banamali Dutta Karmakar, sons of late Iswar Chandra Dutta Karmakar, of Ajodhya, chowki Bishnupur, district Bankura, who were adjudged insolvents by order of this Court, dated 23rd January 1922, have applied for an order of discharge and that the 9th December 1922 has been fixed for hearing the applications and any objections which may be made thereto.

H. M. VEITCH, District Judge.
Bankura, the 28th November 1922. (2458—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Chittagong.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 26 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 4th July 1922 filed by Jagat Chandra Sarkar, son of late Uma Charan Sarkar, of Patenga, police-station Double-Mooring, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading his petition and hearing his pleader it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent, and is directed to apply for discharge within six months. Mr. Perceival is appointed Receiver.

Dated this 24th day of November 1922.

J. W. NELSON, District Judge.
(2495—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Chittagong.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 24 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 24th June 1922, filed by Hedayat Ali, son of Hosen Ali Moharar of Pekua, police-station Chakaria, district Chittagong, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading his petition and hearing his pleader, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent, and is directed to apply for discharge within six months. Mr. Perceival is appointed Receiver.

Dated this 23rd day of November 1922.

J. W. NELSON, District Judge.
(2496—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 16 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907.]

In the Court of the District Judge at Dacca.

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 1 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 16th February 1922, filed by Chandra Nath Shaha, son of late Baidya Nath Shaha, resident of Narsingdi, police-station Narsingdi, district Dacca, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading his petition and hearing his pleader it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent and he is directed to apply for his discharge not later than six months from the date of adjudication.

Dated this 20th day of November 1922.

W. N. DELEVINGNE, District Judge.
(2465—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 37 of 1922.

RAFIUDDIN SARKER, son of Keshushitulla Sarker, deceased, resident of Tajpur, police-station Kotwali, district Dinajpur, was adjudicated insolvent on the 23rd day of November 1922.

He must apply for discharge within twelve months from date.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.
The 27th day of November 1922. (2462—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 28 of 1922.

BABU NASYA, son of Rati Paik, deceased, resident of Maharajpur, police-station Kotwali, district Dinajpur, was adjudicated insolvent on the 23rd day of November 1922.

He must apply for discharge within six months from date.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

Dinajpur, the 1st December 1922. (2494—1)

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASES NOS. 1 AND 2 OF 1921.

(1) Dewan Mahomed Sarkar, (2) Hosain Mahomed, applicants.

THE abovenamed applicants having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated the 6th August 1921 against the petitioners be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 29th November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 39 OF 1921.

Pachkatu Pali, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 20th December 1921, against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

Dated the 29th day of November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 63 OF 1921.

Hari Mohan Pali, applicant.

THE above-named applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 11th August 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 30th November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASES NOS. 70, 71 OF 1921.

(1) Ran Mahomed Dafadar, (2) Rahimuddin Dafadar, applicants.

THE abovenamed applicants having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 2nd December 1921, against the petitioners be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 29th day of November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASES NOS. 87 AND 88 OF 1921.

Abdul Matlek and Abdul Majid, applicants.

THE above-named applicants having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated the 31st January 1922, against the applicants be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

Dinajpur, the 30th November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 100 OF 1921.

Tajral Nasya, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 7th March 1922, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated, the 27th November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

In the Court of the District Judge of Dinajpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 103 OF 1920.

Shiboo Ram Pali, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated the 11th July 1921 against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 29th November 1922.

In the Court of the District Judge at Faridpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 31 OF 1922.

TAKE notice that Golai Shekh, son of late Samu Shekh, of Char Syampur, police-station Sadarpur, district Faridpur, has applied to this Court to be declared an insolvent and that 21st December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing of his application.

G. C. SANKEY, District Judge.

Faridpur, the 13th November 1922. (2329—1—2316)

In the Court of the District Judge at Faridpur.

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 53 OF 1921.

Madhusudan Chakrabarti, son of late Iehan Chandra Chakrabarti, of Dudkhali, commonly called Habiganj, police-station Madaripur, district Faridpur, insolvent.

TAKE notice that the above-named insolvent has applied to this Court for discharge and that 13th December 1922 has been fixed for hearing his application.

G. C. SANKEY, District Judge.

Faridpur, the 1st December 1922.

(2493—1)

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 9 of 1921.

Akbarulla, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 19th April 1921, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated the 27th November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 24 of 1921.

Lal Chandra Nath, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 2nd July 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 34 of 1920.

Chika Das, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 8th July 1920, against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 29th day of November 1922.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 39 of 1920

Baccha Mohan Das, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 16th July 1920, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 24th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 40 of 1920.

Chemeva Das, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 16th July 1920, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 24th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 41 of 1921.

Hasiruddin Mahomed, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 29th June 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 41 of 1920.

Chinta Mohan Das, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 16th July 1920, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 24th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 43 of 1921.

Kutub Ali Mahomed, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 20th June 1921, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated, the 27th November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 45 of 1921.

Nathuram Agarwala, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 23rd June 1921, be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

Dated the 27th November 1922.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 54 of 1921.

Guzu Mahomed, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated the 1st July 1921 against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 58 of 1921.

Suryya Narayan Das Mohanta, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 5th July 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 59 of 1921.

Taran Chandra Mahanta, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 5th July 1921, against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 29th November 1922.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 60 of 1921.

Rudra Kanta Das, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 29th June 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 25th day of November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 62 of 1921.

Kamal Kishore Das *alias* Kannu Das, applicant.

THE above-named applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 8th July 1921, against the applicant be and the same is hereby annulled.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

The 30th November 1922.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 98 of 1920.

Dhaniram Pal, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 14th March 1921, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated the 27th November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Jaipalguri.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 99 of 1920.

Mahadeb Pal, applicant.

THE abovenamed applicant having failed to apply for discharge within the time allowed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated the 14th March 1921, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated the 27th November 1922.

C. BARTLEY, District Judge.

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Nadia.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 34 of 1922.

Petitioner Naba Krishna Poddar, son of late Nadiar Chand Poddar, of Khas Mathurapur, police-station Doulatpur, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under clause 2 of section 19 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the abovenamed petitioner has filed an Insolvency petition, and that 9th December 1922 has been fixed for the hearing thereof.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.

Krishnagar, the 27th November 1922. (2459—1)

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Nadia.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 8 of 1920.

Petitioner Harandin Biswas, son of Saku Halsana, of Chota Kulberia, police-station Gangni, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 43 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors that the order of adjudication, dated 15th May 1920, against Harandin Biswas be and the same is hereby annulled on 28th March 1922.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.

Krishnagar, the 27th November 1922. (2460—1)

**In the Court of the District Judge of
Nadia.**

INSOLVENCY CASE No. 24 of 1920.

Petitioner Behetar Hossain Mir, son of Abedali Mir, of Hatra, police-station Chapra, district Nadia.

NOTICE is hereby given, under section 43 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920, to his creditors, that the order of adjudication, dated 21st August 1920, against Behetar Hossain Mir be and the same is hereby annulled on 30th March 1922.

W. A. SEATON, District Judge.

Krishnagar, the 27th November 1922. (2461—1)

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION.

[Section 27 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, V of 1920.]

**In the Court of the District Judge at
Noakhali.**

INSOLVENCY APPLICATION No. 5 of 1922.

PURSUANT to a petition, dated 9th August 1922, filed by Shoroshi Charan Sen, son of the late Mohim Chandra Sen, of Banari, police-station Munshigonja, district Dacca, at present of Noakhali town, and on the application of the debtor himself and on reading his petition and on examining him and hearing his pleader and also the pleaders for the creditors Nos. 1 and 2 who appeared it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent, and is directed to apply for his discharge within two years. The Nazir of this Court is appointed Receiver.

Dated this 11th day of November 1922.

E. MILSOM, District Judge.

(2456—1)